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**Abstract (250 words max)**

Purpose: Outdoor walking groups can facilitate interaction with nature, social interaction, and physical activity, yet little is known about their efficacy in promoting mental, emotional and social well-being. National group walk programs are especially under-evaluated for these outcomes. The present study sought to identify the mental, emotional and social well-being benefits from participating in group walks in nature.

Design: Drawing on an evaluation of the Walking for Health program in England, a longitudinal study investigated the mental, emotional and social well-being of individuals who did (Nature Group Walkers) and did not (Non-Group Walkers) attend group walks in nature. Both groups were statistically matched using propensity score matching (*n* = 1,516). Between group *t*-tests and multiple regressions were performed to analyze the influence of nature-based group walks on depression, perceived stress, negative affect, positive affect, mental well-being, and social support.

Findings: Group walks in nature were associated with significantly lower depression, perceived stress, and negative affect, as well as enhanced positive affect, and mental well-being, both before and after controlling for covariates. There were no group differences on social support. In addition, nature-based group walks appear to mitigate the effects of stressful life events on perceived stress and negative affect, while synergizing with physical activity to improve positive affect and mental well-being.

Originality / Value: The present study identifies the mental and emotional well-being benefits from participation in group walks in nature and offers useful information about the potential health contribution of national outdoor group walk programs.
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**Introduction**

The projected global increase of depression, obesity, cardio-vascular disease (CVD), and dementia (Department of Health, 2011; Health and Social Care Information Centre, Lifestyle Statistics, 2013; World Federation for Mental Health, 2012; World Health Organization, 2008; World Health Organization, 2013) are alarming public health problems. Stress can exacerbate mental and physical ill health as it is a risk factor of both depression and CVD (Cohen & Janicki-Deverts, 2012; Kessler, 1997; Shevlin, Houston, Dorahy, & Adamson, 2007). Prevention and low cost amelioration of these health issues is necessary in order to reduce healthcare demands and treatment costs (UK Government, 2012; US Government, 2009). Undertaking physical activity in nature is a novel approach for the prevention of these critical health issues (Bird, 2007; Frumkin & Fox, 2011; Maller, Townsend, Pryor, Brown, & St Leger, 2005). The UK Department of Health lists use of nature as a determinant of public health (Department of Health, 2013) with potential savings for the UK’s National Health Service (NHS) of £2.1 billion per year (DEFRA, 2011 p. 46). Walking – an accessible, low risk and inexpensive form of physical exercise (Department of Health, 2011) – has been shown to reduce depression (Robertson, Robertson, Jepson, & Maxwell, 2012; World Federation for Mental Health, 2012), and physiological stress (Hartig, Evans, Jamner, Davis, & Garling, 2003), to prevent obesity (Morabia & Costanza, 2004; Pucher, Buehler, Bassett, & Dannenberg, 2010) and CVD (Boone-Heinonen, Evenson, Taber, & Gordon-Larsen, 2009), and to stabilize cognitive functioning for those at risk of dementia (Smith, Nielson, Woodard, Seidenberg, & Rao, 2013). A small body of research suggests that walking in a natural environment may provide additional benefits to well-being when compared to walking indoors (Bowler, Buyung-Ali, Knight, & Pullin, 2010; Thompson Coon et al., 2011) or in an urban environment (Bowler et al., 2010; Marselle, Irvine, & Warber, 2013). Indeed, research has shown that a single, short-term walk in a natural environment provides greater reductions in negative emotions (Berman, Jonides, & Kaplan, 2008; Hartig et al., 2003; Park et al., 2011), physiological stress (Hartig et al., 2003), and greater improvements in positive emotions (Berman et al., 2008; Hartig et al., 2003) compared to an urban environment walk. Although walking is the most common form of physical activity in the US and the UK (CDC, 2012a; Hillsdon & Thorogood, 1996; National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, 2012), less than half of adults in both countries meet the recommended levels of physical activity (CDC, 2012b; Department of Health, 2011). Finding ways to increase the uptake of moderately intense walking could contribute to meeting physical activity guidelines.

*Group walking*

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and others recommend walking in a group in order to increase physical activity in the general population (CDC, 2012a; Kahn et al., 2002; Kassavou, Turner, & French, 2013). People are more likely to walk in the company of another person (Ball, Bauman, Leslie, & Owen, 2001), and prefer (Johansson, Hartig, & Staats, 2011) and enjoy (Plante et al., 2007) walking with others outdoors more than walking outdoors alone. Several researchers have found that the social connections of a walking group are a part of what attracts people to initiate and maintain participation (South, Giuntoli, & Kinsella, 2013; Wensley & Slade, 2012). Group walk programs increase walking behavior (Kassavou et al., 2013) and have high retention rates (Gusi, Reyes, Gonzalez-Guerrero, Herrera, & Garcia, 2008). Proponents argue that walking group interventions are also cost-effective in that for every £1 spent on a group walk program could save the NHS £7 (Walking for Health, 2013a). National group walking programs have been established throughout Great Britain (e.g Ramblers Association), and in England (e.g. Walking for Health), Scotland (e.g. Paths for All) and Wales (e.g. Let’s Walk Cymru). In the US, walking group programs are more grassroots and city-based (Institute at the Golden Gate, 2010), although there is at least one national program, the American Volkssport Association, with more than 300 walking clubs (American Volkssport Association, 2013). Walking for Health (WfH) is one of the largest public health interventions for physical activity in the UK (Fitches, 2011) with 70,000 people attending 3,400 group walks each week (Walking for Health, 2013c).

National group walk programs have the potential to address population public health through improved physical, mental, emotional, and social well-being. Most quantitative investigations of the effects of such programs have concentrated on physical well-being (CLES Consulting, 2010; Dawson, Boller, Foster, & Hillsdon, 2006; Jackson, 2011; Paths for All, 2013; Phillips, Knox, & Langley, 2011; Phillips, Knox, & Langley, 2012; Walking for Health, 2013c). Few studies have quantitatively evaluated the effect of national group walk programs on depression, perceived stress, or mental or social well-being. Doust and Tod (2007) found that individuals maintained high levels of mental health through continued participation in Let’s Walk Cymru. Pretty et al. (2007) found an improvement in emotional well-being and self-esteem immediately following participation in two outdoor walking groups. Qualitative research suggests that WfH group walks have a positive effect on social well-being (Dawson et al., 2006; Hynds & Allibone, 2009; South et al., 2013; Villalba van Dijk et al., 2012).

Much of the evidence about the well-being benefits of group walks in nature comes from small sample research studies. Compared to a group walk indoors or in an urban environment, group walks in natural environments significantly reduce depression (Roe & Aspinall, 2011), perceived stress (Roe & Aspinall, 2011) and negative affect (Peacock, Hine, & Pretty, 2007; Roe & Aspinall, 2011), and significantly increase positive affect (Mayer, Frantz, Bruehlman-Senecal, & Dolliver, 2009; Nisbet & Zelenski, 2011). A specific measure of positive mental well-being has not been used in a group walk context.

*Rationale for the present study*

Most evaluations of national group walk programs are in the ‘grey literature’ not published in peer-reviewed journals (e.g. CLES Consulting, 2010; Coleman, Kokolakakis, & Ramchandani, 2011; Dawson et al., 2006; Doust & Tod, 2007; Fitches, 2011; Hynds & Allibone, 2009; Jackson, 2011; Paths for All, 2013; Phillips et al., 2011; Phillips et al., 2012; Villalba van Dijk et al., 2012; Walking for Health, 2013c). These studies frequently lack a comparison group (e.g. Doust & Tod, 2007; Pretty et al., 2007) thus any identified positive effects could be due to other factors, such as physical activity, the natural environment, or being in a research study (Bird, 2007; Newton, 2007). Brown et al. (2011) highlight the need for such control or comparison groups. Additionally, insight is needed into whether well-being benefits of nature-based group walks occur independently of physical activity.

The lack of quantitative research on mental and social well-being outcomes is noticeable, highlighting a need to broaden investigations into well-being. Similarly, understanding the longer-term well-being effects from nature-interaction is under-researched as the majority of studies measure well-being immediately before and after engagement in the activity (Thompson Coon et al., 2011).

It is essential for public health research to know whether the findings from the small sample group walk studies can be found in a large, general population sample. Research of national group walk programs has the potential to satisfy the call for larger scale studies innature and health research (Bowler et al., 2010; Thompson Coon et al., 2011), as these studies would facilitate large sample sizes (> 1000) (e.g. CLES Consulting, 2010; Phillips et al., 2012).

*Study aim and hypotheses*

The present study aims to investigate the influence of nature-based group walks on multiple aspects of well-being. The study tests three hypotheses:

(i) individuals who take part in nature-based group walks would experience significantly less a) depression, b) perceived stress, and c) negative affect compared to individuals who do not take part in such walks;

(ii) individuals who take part in nature-based group walks would experience significantly greater a) positive affect, b) mental well-being, and c) social well-being compared to individuals who do not take part in such walks;

(iii) the positive well-being from such walks would be independent of other covariates of well-being, such as physical activity and stressful life events.

**Method**

### Study design & participants

The study reported here draws from a larger observational, longitudinal study about the mental, emotional and social well-being from participation in WfH. All participants were recruited from a sampling frame, provided by WfH, of all individuals who had attended at least one WfH group walk, provided an email address, and gave consent to be contacted for evaluation purposes. Online questionnaires were used to collect data at Time 1 (T1) and 13-weeks later at Time 2 (T2). Participants were invited to take part in the study via an invitation e-mail with a weblink to the T1 questionnaire. Non-Group Walkers were defined as individuals who had not taken part in any group walk in the 6 months prior to T1 (Phillips et al., 2011) and confirmed at T2 their non-participation in a group walk during the 13-week interim. Group Walkers were defined as individuals who had attended at least one WfH walk in the 6 months prior to T1 (Phillips et al., 2011) and continued to attend at least one WfH walk between T1 and T2. All study participants were over 18 years of age and resident in England. For the study reported here, additional eligibility criterion for Group Walkers was that the main type of environment for one’s WfH walks during the 13-week interim was nature (i.e. natural and semi-natural places, green corridor, farmland, urban green space, coastal, or a mixture of any of the above) (see Marselle et al., 2013). These participants are labelled Nature Group Walkers. Individuals who stated they had walked in urban public spaces or an unclassified environment were excluded from this analysis.

### Measures

Measures included demographic and health data, covariates and outcome variables. See Figure 1 for details of the time course for data collection.

**[insert Figure 1 about here]**

Demographic and health data

Participant characteristics assessed at T1 included: age, gender, marital status, highest level of education, and social deprivation (Department for Communities and Local Government, 2011). Additional information obtained from the WfH database (Walking for Health, 2013b) included: ethnicity, whether the participant was referred to WfH by their General Practitioner (GP), health screening conditions that may affect walking group participation (e.g. pain in chest when exercising, joint pain), diagnosed medical condition (e.g. diabetes, heart disease), disability (e.g. physical, sensory), and number of days of 30 minutes of physical activity in the week prior to starting WfH (‘past physical activity’).

Covariates

*Stressful life events.* The List of Threatening Experiences (Brugha, Bebbington, Tennant, & Hurry, 1985; Brugha & Cragg, 1990) collected information on the number of stressful life events (0-11) experiencedin the year prior to T1 (‘past stressful life events’), and in the 13-weeks preceding T2 (‘recent stressful life events’). Stressful events included: serious illness or injury to self or a close relative; death of a family member or close friend; marital separation or relationship break-up; interpersonal problems; unemployment; financial crisis; legal problems or property loss (Office for National Statistics, 2002). The scale has been used in previous nature and health research (van den Berg et al., 2010).

*Frequency and duration of other nature walks.* A single item at T2 assessed the frequency of other nature walks (i.e. nature walks outside of a walking group) a participant had done in the 13-week interim, which may be alone or with others. Participants were asked, *“On average, how frequently do you walk or hike in green space (such as a local park, natural area, national park, countryside)?”.* Nature Group Walkers were instructed to exclude WfH walks. Responses were recorded on a 7-point scale (1 = *never*; 7 = *daily*). Average duration of these walks was assessed with a single item measure; responses were on an ordinal scale with 15-minute increments (range 0 - 195 minutes).

*Physical activity.*  Frequency of engaging in 30 minutes of physical activity in the week preceding T2 was assessed with a single item (‘recent physical activity’). Participants were asked, *“In the last seven days on how many days have you done a total of 30 minutes or more of physical activity, which was enough to raise your breathing rate?”* (Milton, Bull, & Bauman, 2011). All participants were asked to include any *“sport, exercise, and brisk walking or cycling for recreation or to get to and from places, but should not include housework or physical activity that is part of your job”.*Nature Group Walkers could include their WfH group walks. Responses were recorded on an 8-point scale (0 = *0 days*; 7 = *7 days*).

Outcome measures

All six outcome measures were assessed at T2.

*Depression.* The 10-item Major Depressive Inventory (Olsen, Mortensen, & Bech, 2004) assessedhow frequently participants felt symptoms of depression (e.g. *Have you lost interest in daily activities? Have you had trouble sleeping at night?*) in the past two weeks on a 6-point scale (0 = *at no time;* 5 = *all the time*). Total scores range from 0 (no depression) to 50 (extreme depression) (Olsen et al., 2004). The measure has been used in the UK in a previous nature and health study (Marselle et al., 2013). Internal consistency (Cronbach’s α) of the scale has been reported as 0.90 (Forsell, 2005).

*Perceived stress.* The 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (Cohen et al., 1983) assessed how frequently participants experienced certain thoughts and feelings (e.g. *Felt nervous or stressed? Felt you were not on top of things?*) in the past month on a 5-point scale (0 = *never*; 4 = *very often*). Total scores range from 0 to 40; higher scores indicate greater psychological stress. This measure has been used in previous nature and health studies in the UK (Ward Thompson, Roe, Aspinall, Mitchell, Clow, & Miller, 2012; Marselle et al., 2013). Internal consistencies of the scale range from .78 to .91 (Cohen & Janicki-Deverts, 2012).

*Negative & Positive affect.* The Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) (Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988) assessed both negative and positive affect. Participants rated the frequency of experiencing 10 negative (e.g. *upset, guilty*) and 10 positive (e.g. *interested, excited*) emotions in the past two weeks on a 5-point scale (1 = *very slightly or not at all*; 5 = *extremely*). For each subscale, total scores range from 10 to 50; higher scores demonstrate greater negative or positive affect. The PANAS has been used in previous nature and health studies (Berman et al., 2008; Berman et al., 2012; Marselle et al., 2013; van den Berg & Custers, 2011). Crawford and Henry (2004) report internal consistencies for the negative affect (α = 0.85) and positive affect (α = 0.89) subscales.

*Mental well-being.* Participants rated statements on the 14-item Warwick Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (Tennant et al., 2007)in relation to their experience (e.g. *I’ve been feeling optimistic about the future; I’ve been feeling useful*) during the past two weeks on a 5-point scale (1 = *none of the time*; 5 = *all of the time*). Resulting scores range from 14 to 70; higher scores indicate higher levels of mental well-being. This measure has been used in previous nature and health studies in the UK (Ward Thompson et al., 2012; Marselle et al., 2013; Mitchell, 2013). The scale has high internal consistency (α = 0.91) (Tennant et al., 2007).

*Social well-being.* Social well-being was assessed using the 10-item Appraisal subscale of the Interpersonal Support Evaluation List (ISEL) (Cohen, Mermelstein, Kamarck, & Hoberman, 1985)[[1]](#footnote-1), which measures perceived availability of emotional social support (e.g. *There are several people that I trust to help solve my problems; There is no one I feel comfortable talking to about intimate personal problems*). Two items were modified to better fit the sample[[2]](#footnote-2). Participants rated how true each statement was on a 4-point scale (0 = *definitely false*; 3 = *definitely true*), with a possible total score range of 0-30. Higher scores indicate greater emotional social support. No time frame was used. The ISEL has been used in the UK general population (Rees, Ingledew, & Hardy, 1999; Steptoe, 2000; Wood, Maltby, Gillett, Linley, & Joseph, 2008); this was the first time it has been used in nature and health research. The scale has high internal consistency (α = 0.95) (León, Nouwen, Sheffield, Jaumdally, & Lip, 2010).

*Statistical Analyses*

Propensity score analysis

At the start of the study, group differences on demographic, health status and past stressful life events were assessed with chi-square and independent samples *t*-tests. Significant differences (*p* < .05) between Nature Group Walkers and Non-Group Walkers existed on age, gender, ethnicity, education, disability, pastphysical activity, and past stressful life events. These group differences could confound analyses of the influence of nature-based group walks on well-being. To adjust for confounders and make the groups comparable, propensity score matching (PSM) (Rosenbaum & Rubin, 1983) was used. PSMhas been used in public health research investigations of outdoor physical exercise (Boer, Zheng, Overton, Ridgeway, & Cohen, 2007; Cohen et al., 2013; Hendriksen, Simons, Garre, & Hildebrandt, 2010). A propensity score is the conditional probability that a study participant belongs to the treatment group (i.e. Nature Group Walkers) given all the observed covariates.

Propensity scores were estimated using logistic regression with group walk participation as the outcome variable and selected covariates (i.e. age, gender, ethnicity, education, disability, past physical activity, past stressful life events) as predictors. Participants were matched using 1:1 nearest neighbour matching with replacement, the recommended approach when there are fewer ‘control’ than ‘treated’ participants (Dehejia & Wahba, 1999). Each ‘control’ participant (Non-Group Walker) was thus matched to one or more ‘treated’ participant(s) (Nature Group Walkers) with the most similar propensity score. ‘Control’ participants “receive a frequency weight that reflects the number of times they were selected as a match” (Stuart, 2010 p. 13). To ensure ‘good’ matches, a caliper of .25 standard deviations of the logit of the propensity score was applied (Ho, Imai, King, & Stuart, 2007); ‘control’ participants not identified as a ‘good’ match for a ‘treated’ participantwere ‘pruned’ from the sample (Stuart, 2010). The end result is a reduced sample of participants that have similar propensity scores. The PSM procedure was performed using the SPSS PSM plug-in ‘psmatching’ (Thoemmes, 2012).

The propensity matched sample was assessed statistically, numerically and graphically to ensure that the two groups were similar on the selected covariates after matching. The PSM output indicated that matching improved covariate balance and reduced standardized mean differences between the two groups (output available upon request).

### Study Analyses

All analyses were performed using SPSS 20.0 and were weighted by the propensity score weight. The choice of statistical analyses was influenced by available analyses in SPSS that can accommodate fractional weights (Maletta, 2007; F. Thoemmes, personal communication, 12 February 2013; UCLA: Statistical Consulting Group, 2013). Chi-square and independent samples *t*-tests evaluated group differences on demographics, pre-existing health, and covariate variables on the matched sample. Effect size was calculated using Pearson’s correlation coefficient *r* (Field, 2009).

Group differences on all six outcome measures were assessed with independent samples *t*-tests; negative affect and depression were log-transformed prior to analysis due to substantial positive skewness. Listwise deletion was applied in all independent *t*-tests with Bonferroni corrected significance levels of *p* < .008 (α = .05 / 6).

Standard multiple regression assessed the effect of nature-based group walks on multiple aspects of well-being, after controlling for covariates. Separate regression models were conducted for each outcome variable using the same procedure. Health screening conditions (0 = No health conditions, 1 = 1 or more health conditions), recent stressful life events, frequency of other nature walks, duration of other nature walks, recent physical activity, and group walk participation (0 = Non-Group Walkers, 1 = Nature Group Walkers) were entered as predictors. Following examination of residual plots, depression and negative affect were both log-10 transformed, and social support was reflected and square root transformed (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2013). The reflected and transformed social support variable has the opposite interpretation of the original variable. No multicollinearity was found in the predictor variables. Listwise deletion was applied and significance levels were set at *p* < .05.

### **Results**

### Characteristics of the study population

Figure 2 shows the participant flow through the study. Valid responses on both questionnaires were obtained from 1,991 participants. Following removal based on eligibility criteria, missing data or PSM pruning, the final propensity matched sample consisted of 1,516 participants (*n* = 1,081 Nature Group Walkers, *n* = 435 Non-Group Walkers).

**[insert Figure 2 about here]**

Table 1 details the demographic, health status and covariates of the propensity matched sample. The propensity matched sample were mostly aged 55 and over (88.3%), female (66.2%), partnered marital status (71.1%), white ethnicity (96.8%), highly educated (53%) and lived in the least socially deprived areas of England (51%). After matching, the two groups remained significantly different on health screening conditions only (Table 1); this was subsequently included as a covariate in the regression analyses. Regarding planned covariates collected at T2, Nature Group Walkers spent significantly more time on other nature walks, and engaged in more recent physical activity, than Non-Group Walkers (Table 1).

**[insert Table 1 about here]**

*Results on multiple aspects of well-being*

Table 2 details the mean scores for all six well-being outcome variables for both groups. On average, Nature Group Walkers experienced significantly less depression, perceived stress and negative affect, and significantly greater mental well-being and positive affect, compared to Non-Group Walkers; effect sizes were small to medium (.19 - .24 range) (see Table 2). There were no significant group differences in social support.

**[Insert Table 2 about here]**

Results of the standard multiple regression analyses are shown in Table 3. The variance explained by all six regression models significantly differed from zero. The variance explained by all predictors combined was 10.4% in depression, 9.5% in perceived stress, 7.3% in negative affect, 10.2% in positive affect, 6.6% in mental well-being, and 1.8% in social support.

**[Insert Table 3 about here]**

*Depression*

Controlling for other significant predictors, group walks in nature were significantly associated with lower depression. Recent stressful life events were significantly associated with an increase in depression. Increased frequency of other nature walks, and recent physical activity were both significantly associated with less depression. Duration of other nature walks was a marginally significant predictor of lower depression. The standardised regression coefficients are directly comparable and provide insight into the relative rank of a predictor in the model (Field, 2009). Group walk participation was the strongest predictor of less depression (β = -.19), followed by the positive predictor, recent stressful life events (β = .17) (Table 3).

*Perceived stress and Negative affect*

Group walks in nature were significantly associated with less perceived stress and less negative affect, controlling for other predictors. Recent stressful life events were significantly associated with greater perceived stress and negative affect. Increased frequency of other nature walks and recent physical activity were both significantly associated with reduced perceived stress and negative affect. Recent stressful life events was the strongest predictor of more perceived stress (β =.21) and more negative affect (β =.19). Group walk participation was the second strongest predictor of perceived stress (β = -.15) and negative affect (β = -.16), in the opposite direction.

*Positive affect and Mental well-being*

Controlling for other predictors, Nature Group Walkers were significantly associated with greater mental well-being and positive affect compared to Non-Group Walkers. Greater frequency of other nature walks and recent physical activity were both significantly associated with greater positive affect and mental well-being. Recent stressful life events were significantly associated with less mental well-being and positive affect. Duration of other nature walks was significantly associated with greater positive affect only.Recent physical activity was the strongest predictor for both mental well-being (β = .13) and positive affect (β = .20), followed by group walk participation (β = .12, β = .14 respectively).

*Social support*

Due to transformation of the data, negative regression coefficients indicate greater social support in Table 3. Group walk participation was not a significant predictor of social support. The size and direction of the regression coefficients suggest that having a health condition prior to starting WfH or a recent stressful life event were associated with significantlyless social support. Frequency of other nature walks was significantly associated with greater social support; this variable was the strongest predictor of social support (β = -.10).

## Discussion

This study investigated the influence of nature-based group walks on mental, emotional and social well-being. A national group walk program in England, Walking for Health (WfH), was evaluated. To our knowledge this is the first study to explore the multiple aspects of well-being from participating in group walks in nature on a national scale.

Consistent with our hypotheses, individuals who attended group walks in nature reported significantly less depression, perceived stress and negative affect, and significantly greater mental well-being and positive affect than individuals who did not take part in group walks. No group difference was present on social support. Controlling for the effects of health condition, recent stressful life events, frequency and duration of other nature walks, and recent physical activity did not alter the results. Our findings add to the limited base of evidence for the positive well-being benefits of outdoor group walks for depression (Armstrong & Edwards, 2003; Armstrong & Edwards, 2004; Gusi et al., 2008; Robertson et al., 2012; Roe & Aspinall, 2011), perceived stress (Roe & Aspinall, 2011), and positive and negative affect (Hine, Wood, Barton, & Pretty, 2011; Mayer et al., 2009; Nisbet & Zelenski, 2011; Peacock et al., 2007). The research on group walking, to date, has not examined positive mental well-being as a specific outcome variable, thus the results presented here add new insight.

Our results show that the strongest predictor of levels of depression was group walking in nature, controlling for the effect of recent stressful life events or recent physical activity. With depression projected to be the number one cause of global burden of disease by 2030 (World Federation for Mental Health, 2012), the results suggest that nature-based group walks could be used to help manage depressive feelings in individuals and in the general population.

Recently experienced stressful life events were the strongest predictor of perceived stress and negative affect, contributing to an increase in both. Group walks in nature - as the second strongest predictor - were associated with significantly less perceived stress and negative affect. The results suggest that nature-based group walks may mitigate or ‘un-do’ the increase in perceived stress and negative affect associated with stressful life events, although additional research is required to discern this. Previous research has found working or living near to a natural environment can buffer the relationship between stressful life events and well-being (Corraliza & Collado, 2011; Leather, Pyrgas, Beale, & Lawrence, 1998; Ottosson & Grahn, 2008; van den Berg et al., 2010; Wells & Evans, 2003). Qualitative studies suggest that gardening can help individuals cope with stress (Stuart, 2005; Hawkins, Mercer, Thirlaway & Clayton, 2013). Future research could determine whether nature-based group walks moderate the negative effects of stressful life events on perceived stress and negative affect.

Physical activity was the strongest predictor of mental well-being and positive affect. This is unsurprising considering the wealth of data on the benefits of physical activity for psychological well-being (Biddle & Mutrie, 2008). However, nature-based group walks were the second strongest predictor of both variables, positively influencing mental well-being and positive affect, controlling for physical activity. These results suggest that both physical activity and group walks in nature benefit these aspects of well-being. Further research could usefully investigate if there is an additive benefit from the interaction of nature-based group walks and physical activity on mental well-being and positive affect.

Our nonsignificant finding for group walks in nature on social support is consistent with some previous literature (Armstrong & Edwards, 2003; Armstrong & Edwards, 2004; Hawkins, Thirlaway, Backx, & Clayton, 2011; Irvine, Warber, Devine-Wright, & Gaston, 2013). Nevertheless, it is unexpected as qualitative research has identified social benefits to participation in WfH (Dawson et al., 2006; Hynds & Allibone, 2009; South et al., 2013; Villalba van Dijk et al., 2012). The nonsignificant result from this study may be due to measurement choice; the Appraisal subscale of the ISEL has been criticized for measuring both tangible practical support and emotional support (Parkinson, 2008) and may also tap intimate areas of support that might not easily come up in a group setting. Other researchers have investigated social well-being from nature-interaction with measures of loneliness and lack of social contacts (Maas, van Dillen, Verheij, & Groenewegen, 2009). Future quantitative investigations of group walks may want to use a measure of loneliness, as recent research suggests that loneliness is a risk factor of early death in older people (Sample, 2014). Alternatively, future studies investigating social support in nature and health studies may need to use new measures of social well-being grounded in the understandings revealed in qualitative research (South et al., 2013, Wensley & Slade, 2012).

Interestingly, other nature walks did significantly affect social support. There are several possible reasons for this apparently anomalous result. First, these other nature walks may not be alone; one could be accompanied with friends or family not involved in WfH group walks. Second, these walks may bring the individual in social contact with other people, which may foster friendship or a sense of community in the neighbourhood (Toohey, McCormack, Doyle-Baker, Adams & Rock, 2013), and thus social support. Third, other nature walks may be done with an intimate other (e.g. partner, best friend) with whom the participant may have the sort of intimate discussions that address items on the ISEL scale. Indeed, one might anticipate that such discussions may be more likely with one other person rather than in a walking group.

The frequency of other nature walks was significantly associated with less depression, perceived stress, and negative affect, and greater positive affect and mental well-being. Duration of other nature walks was significantly associated with greater positive affect only. The findings suggest that frequency of walking in nature may be more predictive of well-being than the amount of time spent in it. National guidelines recommend 30 minutes of physical activity – which can include walking – five days a week to improve health (Department of Health, 2011). Our results suggest that recommending short but frequent nature walks may also improve multiple aspects of well-being.

*Limitations and strengths*

This study has a number of limitations. First, while the PSM method ensured there were no significant group differences on measured covariates, it remains possible that differences existed on unmeasured confounding variables (Harder, Stuart, & Anthony, 2010). Second, although we controlled for the effect of other predictors of well-being in the regression model, other explanatory variables could account for group differences. Third, due to eligibility criteria, it is possible that Nature Group Walkers may not have attended a WfH walk during the assessment of their well-being. However, the majority (83.3%) of Nature Group Walkers did indicate attending a WfH walk in the previous fortnight. Fourth, the measure of physical activity by self report can be imprecise (Tucker, Welk, & Beyler, 2011), although participants specifically were asked about number of days of the week when they engaged in physical activity lasting 30 minutes or more that is enough to raise the breathing rate, giving an approximation of intensity. Fifth, the low overall predictive power of the final models is likely due to unmeasured variables influencing these complex outcomes, such as genetics, temperament, or social interactions (Kendler, Kessler, Neale, Heath, & Eave, 1993), but could be influenced by any remaining mismatch in sample selection or the methods chosen for variable measurement. Sixth, reverse causality cannot be resolved in this research design. Finally, participants were mostly female, older, white, and affluent; while likely to be unrepresentative of the adult general population living in England, participants were representative of the population involved in WfH (Fitches, 2011).

This study makes an important contribution to the literature on the effects of nature-based group walks on mental, emotional and social well-being. The large sample of adults from the general population of England, engaged in a national walking program, enabled statistical control of other significant predictors of well-being, and sufficient power to detect a small yet significant effect. Use of propensity score matching technique improved the ability to investigate the effect of participation in a national outdoor group walk program on mental and emotional well-being.

*Future research*

Future exploration of the effects of nature-based group walks on mental and emotional well-being could utilize quasi-experimental pre-post or randomized research designs that would have better inferential potential, and reduce limitations of sampling and reverse causality. Further research is needed to discern whether nature group walks moderate, or buffer, the relationship between stressful life events and multiple aspects of well-being. Future studies could also usefully investigate if there is an optimum frequency and duration of contact with green space - whether alone or in groups - for mental and emotional well-being. The mechanisms through which nature-based group walks affect these aspects of well-being could also be explored. Three proposed mechanisms of the nature-health relationship include: physical activity; social interaction; and restorative benefits of nature (Hartig, Mitchell, de Vries, & Frumkin, 2014). Future research could usefully investigate whether these mechanisms explain the positive relationships reported in this study. Future studies may also want to assess whether the type and quality of the natural environment for a group walk have any impact on well-being. Qualitative studies may explore the individual differences in well-being benefits from nature group walks, based on familiarity, attachment and childhood experience with both the natural environment and walking.

*Implications*

The research presented here provides support for national outdoor group walk programs as a public health intervention. Such programs have previously been shown to increase levels of physical activity (Kassavou et al., 2013) and our study suggests that the benefits go beyond those from physical activity only. Group walks in nature were associated with less depression, negative affect and perceived stress, as well as greater positive affect and mental well-being. These positive psychological results may be useful for motivating participation in an outdoor walking program (Williams et al., 2008). Public health walking programs could utilize these findings to communicate the positive well-being outcomes from participation in nature-based group walks. Such positive framed messages may foster greater behavior change (Kobau et al., 2011).

Likewise, health care professionals, who are in position to identify individuals at risk for depression, life stress, or negative emotions, may welcome this additional non-pharmacologic approach as a part of a comprehensive package of care. In fact, WfH was originally initiated by a GP, Dr William Bird, who realized the potential for group walks in improving the health of individuals as well as the public (Walking for Health, 2010). We note that only 6.2% of Nature Group Walkers were referred to WfH by their GP, thus there may be scope for outreach to GPs and other health professionals about the benefits of group walks in nature.

**Conclusion**

The present study found that group walks in nature were associated with significantly less depression, perceived stress and negative affect, and greater positive affect and mental well-being. Given the increase in mental ill health and physical inactivity in the population in the developed world, group walk programs in local natural environments may make a potentially important contribution to both public health and individual well-being with benefits in mental health, coping with stress and improved emotions.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** Time course of data collection indicating information collected at each time point.  Items collected ‘Before 1st WfH Walk’ came from the WfH database (Walking for Health, 2013b); items from Time 1 and Time 2 were collected via online questionnaires.

**Figure 2.** Composition of study groups: Group Walkers were removed if they had not walked in nature; participants from either group were removed if they had missing values on covariate measures; and propensity score matching procedures ‘pruned’ Non-Group Walkers that did not match Nature Group Walkers within .25 standard deviation of the logit of the propensity score.
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1. Items on the ISEL have been updated since 1985. For the updated version see [http://www.psy.cmu.edu/~scohen](http://www.psy.cmu.edu/~scohen/)/. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. The item *“There is someone I could turn to for advice about making career plans or changing my job”* was inappropriate for a sample that could contain retired individuals. It was modified to *“There is someone I could turn to for advice about changing my job or volunteer focus”* (T.C. León, personal communication, 23 July 2011)*.* The item, *“There really is no one who can give me an objective view of how I’m handling my problems”,* was modified by changing the word ‘objective’ to ‘honest’ (León et al., 2010). [↑](#footnote-ref-2)