Article



Journal of Chemical Research 1–10 © The Author(s) 2021 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/17475198211057467 journals.sagepub.com/home/chl

Journal of Chemical Research

SAGE

Nicholas Omonga¹, Zakia Zia², Hesham Ghanbour¹, Abby Ragazzon-Smith³, Howard Foster¹, John Hadfield¹ and Patricia Ragazzon⁴

Abstract

In this paper, novel synthetic methods, including microwave O-alkylation, were used to produce several chrysin derivatives. These compounds were purified, characterised and tested on different cell lines and bacterial strains. From this family, 7-(2,4-dinitrophenoxy)-5-hydroxy-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one (C3) was shown to be extremely active on bacterial strains methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* as well as having anticancer activity on a range of cancer cell lines with IC_{50} values less than 30 μ M. Chrysin has been known for their anticancer and antimicrobial properties, and this study not only corroborates this but also shows that it is possible to synthesise new improved derivatives with therapeutic possibilities.

Keywords

antibacterial, antifungal, chrysin derivatives, cytotoxicity, microwave

Date received: 3 September 2021; accepted: 18 October 2021



Introduction

In the search for new drugs to overcome drug-resistant infections and cancers, phytochemicals have become the go-to source for inspiration. In plants, isoprenoids serve as the backbone from which many phytochemicals are biosynthesised via the cytosolic mevalonate (MVA) and the 2C-methyl-*D*-erythritol-4-phosphate (MEP) pathways.¹ Some phytochemicals, like flavonoids, are synthesised via the phenylpropanoid pathway, they exhibit both

¹Biomedical Research Centre, School of Science, Engineering and Environment, University of Salford, Salford, UK ²Labcorp Clinical Research Unit Limited, Leeds, UK ³School of Science and the Environment, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK ⁴School of Pharmacy and Bioengineering, Keele University, Keele, UK

Corresponding author:

Patricia Ragazzon, Hornbeam 2.26, School of Pharmacy and Bioengineering, Keele, University, Keele, Staffordshire, ST5 %BG, UK. Email: p.ragazzon@keele.ac.uk

Creative Commons CC BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

antimicrobial and anticancer activities.² The antimicrobial activity could be a result of impairment of the cell membrane integrity and cell agglutination.³ The anticancer activity could be due to modulation of various mechanisms such as angiogenesis, apoptosis, metastasis, differentiation and cell proliferation.^{4,5} The increase in research targeted at developing new drugs for cancer and infectious diseases has gained momentum in the last decades.

Chrysin is a flavonoid predominantly present found in honey, blue passionflower and propolis.⁶ In vitro studies on chrysin and chrysin derivatives have demonstrated interesting biological activity.⁷ Chrysin has shown potential anticancer activities on several cancer cell lines including those derived from cancers of the prostate, pancreas, thyroid, glioblastoma, liver, cervical, nasopharyngeal, breast, lungs and haematological cancers.^{6,8} The mode of action of chrysin has been shown to be via apoptosis, cell proliferation and removal of inflammatory responses, suppression of NF-κB and angiogenesis.^{9–11} Antibacterial activity of flavonoids, including chrysin, has been reported against Grampositive and Gram-negative bacteria.^{12–16}

7-O-Alkylchrysin derivatives have been explored for anticancer activity.¹⁷ Our group has extensive expertise in synthesising different flavonoid derivatives.^{18–20} In this paper, we explored the effect on the biological activity of different functional groups added on the position 7 in chrysin. To compare different moieties and carbon length chains, we synthesised both known and novel chrysin derivatives. 7-O-Bromochrysin and 7-O-alkylchrysin derivatives were explored employing green microwave processes in majority of the cases. The aim of this study was to investigate the antibacterial and anticancer activity of a group of chrysin derivatives with a range of different substituents.

Results and discussions

Chemistry

Chrysin (shown in Figure 1) was used as the core flavone structure, modifications were undertaken at the 7-hydroxyl group using different methods. Different reaction solvents such as acetone, acetonitrile, dimethylformamide as well as different equivalents were used for addition of the linkers. Potassium carbonate (K₂CO₂) has been widely used in O-alkylation synthetic methods as a base reagent with the intention of producing a phenoxide anion on the chrysin scaffold.²¹ This nucleophile will then react with the electrophilic R groups (Br-alkyl groups). Different equivalents were used with some reactions favouring 2 equiv. or 4 equiv. to chrysin. Microwave chemistry has been at the front of green chemistry synthetic methods as it allows for reduction of solvent used, time and electricity as well as making some reactions more favourable.¹⁸ O-alkylation in chrysin is favoured on position 7, this is due to the interaction between the carbonyl oxygen in position 4 and the hydroxyl in position 5.19 The proximity of these two groups allows the formation of hydrogen bonding which requires harsher conditions for O-alkylation. Steric hindrance also



Figure 1. Chrysin, showing the traditional numbering of positions.

plays an important role, while the hydroxyl in position 7 is free to interact with bulky groups, only small groups would be possible to interact with the hydroxyl in position $5.^{22-24}$

We have explored all these conditions and synthetised a range of chrysin derivatives using stirring or microwave techniques, selecting the ones that presented the highest yields. Compounds C1-C3 and C5-C7 were synthesised through application of microwave-assisted O-alkylation in either acetone (method A) or acetonitrile (method C) (Scheme 1). Compounds C4 and C8 were synthesised through classical stirring O-alkylation as the microwave approach produced extremely low yields. The Mitsunobu reaction was also explored, using different conditions including sonication.²⁵ However, these gave lower yields and purification problems. The use of a microwave reactor and less-hazardous reactants were aligned to a greener chemistry approach which was beneficial for most of our compounds as it reduced the reaction time from 12-24h to just 0.2h with a yield >45%. The microwave reactions were undertaken in 35-mL Pyrex pressure vessels, sealed with SP-D Pressure Caps both from CEM UK to which the reactants were added and suspended in a minimal volume. In the case of C4, tetra-n-butylammonium bromide was brought into the reaction, and this phase transfer catalyst has been widely used in single-phase microwave reactions to improve product yields by increasing the accessibility of the reagents to the liquid phase, in this dimethylformamide.²⁶ Scheme 1 indicates the chrysin structure and the substituents employed for the O-alkylation.

7-*O*-alkylchrysin derivatives **C1**, **C2**, **C4**, **C6**, **C7** and **C8** were novel compounds. While **C3** has been recently available commercially (Akos GmbH, Germany. Sigma-Aldrich, UK. Mcule, USA), this compound has not been made available through the database Reaxys, so we present here its first characterisation.

Introduction of alkyl chains has the potential to form more lipophilic compounds, which could enhance the entrance of the compound into cells. This was explored for both carbon alkyl chains as well as halogen species opening up the possibility to form additional targets on further reaction.²⁷ Compound **3** has two nitro groups; nitro moieties have been a classic presence in drug design.²⁸

A total of eight chrysin derivatives were synthesised and characterised. The structures of the products were confirmed



Scheme I. General method for the synthesis of chrysin derivatives. Common numbering of protons on flavonoids is shown on chrysin structure. Carbon chains are numbered in the supplementary material. Yields presented in %: CI (80), C2 (52), C3 (46), C4 (75), C5 (49), C6 (47), C7 (56) and C8 (94).

by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR and Mass Spectral data. All the compounds were taken for biological studies.

Antibacterial activity

In this study, we investigated the activity of the new derivatives on Gram-negative bacteria (Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Pseudomonas fluorescens and Klebsiella pneumoniae) and Gram-positive bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus). E. coli dwell mainly in the intestine of humans and animals; the pathogenic strains can cause bloody diarrhoea²³ vomiting and severe abdominal cramp. It is one of the major causes of food poisoning.^{29,30} The extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing E. coli are of major importance due to their antibiotic resistance. ESBL are enzymes that confer antimicrobial resistance to most β-lactam antibiotics such as the monobactam aztreonam, cephalosporins and penicillins.³¹ P. aeruginosa causes ventilator-associated pneumonia and other nosocomial infections as well as sepsis syndrome.32,33 Recently, the World Health Organization (WHO) has identified P. aeruginosa as a priority pathogen due to the threat of extremely drug-resistant infections.³⁴ P. fluorescens is rarely a cause of human disease; however, it might affect patients with a compromised immune system caused by cancer, cases have been reported of contaminated blood given through transfusions.35 This strain also produces antibiotics like mupirocin which are used in

creams to treat skin MRSA-active infections.³⁶ K. pneumoniae is present in the intestine, skin and mouth as a normal flora of these tissues, and it can damage the lungs (alveoli) if inhaled. They are resistant to most drugs,³⁷ and it is the third microorganism commonly isolated in blood cultures of patients with sepsis.³⁸ S. aureus is found on the skin, respiratory tract and nose, and is responsible for food poisoning, abscess, skin and soft tissue infections, wound and respiratory infections. It is also responsible for toxic shock syndrome, cellulitis, impetigo and boils.39,40 The introduction of penicillin reduced the high mortality but led to the development of β-lactamases which destroy penicillin. A semisynthetic penicillin analogue - methicillin was introduced; however, S. aureus resistant to methicillin emerged rapidly (MRSA).41 Enterococcus is associated with nosocomial infections as it rapidly acquires antibacterial resistance.⁴² The Candida albicans fungus is a lifelong, harmless commensal member of the normal human microbiome. However, C. albicans can cause infections that range from superficial infections of the skin to life-threatening systemic infections under certain circumstances.43 Several activities and factors have been identified which contributes to the pathogenic nature of this fungus. This includes biofilm formation, secretion of hydrolases, molecules which mediate invasion and adhesion to the host cells, phenotypic switching and thigmotropism.43 C. albicans can cause two major infections in humans: superficial infections and oral candidiasis and life-threatening systemic

Compounds	MIC in μgmL ^{-1*}							
	E. coli	P. aeruginosa	S. aureus	MRSA 252	E. faecalis	P. fluorescens	K. pneumonia	C. albicans
СІ	50	100	25	100	50	62.5	125	50
C2	100	200	50	250	100	125	250	400
C3	12.5	25	12.5	62.5	12.5	31.3	62.5	50
C4	100	200	100	_	_	125	250	100
C5–C7	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
C8	100	_	50	125	100	_	_	200
Chr	250	_	125	_	250	500	_	200
G	8	16	8	32	8	16	16	
F								125

Table 1. Effect of chrysin derivatives on *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Klebsiella, Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus and Bacillus cereus following 72h of drug treatment MIC is recorded in μgmL^{-1} .

MIC: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration; Chr: chrysin; G: gentamicin, this aminoglycoside has a wide range of antibacterial activity making it ideal as a positive control on activity tests;^{44,45} F: fluconazole, this antifungal agent is widely used to treat *C. albicans* infection presenting a variable range of MIC.⁴⁶

No observed inhibition at MIC values $\leq 250 \,\mu g \, m L^{-1}$.

*MIC values are expressed as a mean value \pm SD from two independent experiments performed in triplicate.

infections which could spread into vital organs.⁴⁴ *C. albicans* (up to 75%) is present in the oral cavity and remains benign in normal humans but could cause recalcitrant oral cavity infection in immunocompromised individuals.⁴³

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) is defined as the lowest concentration of an ingredient that prevents visible growth of bacteria, used to evaluate the antimicrobial efficacy of compounds. As shown in Table 1, chrysin showed very weak antibacterial activity on the tested strains. This is in agreement with different studies, in where several flavonoids including chrysin, have shown modest studies.44 C5 and C6 did not demonstrate any antibacterial activity on the tested strains. C2 and C8 showed weak activity on the strains, although they presented some selectivity for S. aureus. C4 was very weak antibacterial agents with either very low or no activity. Only C1 and C3 showed activity on our tests. C1, although active on all the strains, clearly showed selectivity for S. aureus; while C3 was the most active one with activities closer to the ones demonstrated by the positive control on all the strains, specially on Gram-negative E. coli, and Grampositive S. aureus and Enterococcus faecalis. C1 and C3 had the most polar groups in our family, with a carbonyl group (C1) and two nitro groups (C3), adding localised charges to the molecules. In respect to the parent compound, C3 showed a 20-fold increase of activity on E. coli and E. faecalis, 16-fold increase on P. fluorescens and 10-fold increase in activity on S. aureus; while C1 achieved half of C3's activity. Although the functional group NO₂ has been indicated in many cases as toxic or prone to toxicity, several antibacterial and antifungal agents containing NO₂ are currently available commercially or in late phase of clinical trial, some examples include oxamniquine, nimorazole, OPC-67683 and fexinidazole.45 While chrysin was not active on P. aeruginosa, MRSA and K. pneumoniae, compounds C1 and C3 showed activity on these strains. It is interesting that the novel compound 3 was active on the number of strains especially difficult to treat strains such as MRSA, P. aeruginosa and K. pneumoniae.

Li et al.46 had previously synthesised chrysin derivatives containing 3-carbon spacers attached to different positions. Their results on 7-OH derivatives showed they were the most effective with MIC values ranging from 3.13 to $50 \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{mL}^{-1}$ against S. aureus and E. coli, respectively. Another study using piperazine chrysin derivatives showed $IC_{50} \ \mu g \ m L^{-1}$ values in the range of 1.30 to 4 for S. aureus, 1.15 to 7 for E. Coli and 10 to >50 for P. aeruginosa.47 Only a handful of studies include chrysin or chrysin derivatives include investigation on MRSA, Alhadrami et al.48 reported MIC values of 15 and 250 µg mL⁻¹ for chrysin and hesperidin, a chrysin derivative, respectively. Similarly to the MRSA, flavonoids including chrysin have not been extensively studied on P. fluorescens; some reports related more to the food industry and packaging have reported propolis presenting antibacterial effect for P. aeruginosa and P. fluorensces.49 Zhu et al.⁵⁰ reported the synthesis of several chrysin derivatives with antimicrobial activity; results of MIC were in the range of $50 \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{mL}^{-1}$ for K. pneumoniae and $3 \,\text{mg}\,\text{mL}^{-1}$ for C. albicans.

C1 and C3 demonstrated a potentially useful antifungal activity with MIC value range of $50 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1}$. These findings are in agreement with our results. C5 and C6 did not present any antimicrobial activity.

Anticancer activity

The growth inhibition activities of this family of chrysin derivatives were determined in a series of tumour and nontumour cell lines. These cell lines represent some of the most common cancer types including leukaemia (myeloid K562 and lymphoid MOLT-4), colorectal (HCT-116 and Caco-2), breast (MCF-7 and MDA-MB 468), hepatocellular cancer (HepG2), lung cancer (A549), malignant mesothelioma (Mero-14), along with an immortalised normal bronchial epithelium cell line (BEAS-2B). BEAS-2B was used as a control to determine if the growth inhibition activity was specific to cancerous cell lines, an ideal anticancer agent would not be active on non-cancerous cells.

The results are shown in Table 2. Chrysin was very active in our studies with IC₅₀ values ranging from 3 to 50 µM, including the non-cancerous cell line BEAS-2B. On colorectal cancer model HCT-116, all compounds were relatively active, especially C1, C2 and C3 with similar activities on Caco-2, another colorectal cancer model. On breast cancer models MCF-7 and MDA-MB468, only C1, C2 and C3 showed activity; although chrysin, the parent compound, showed to be more active than its derivatives. On the hepatic cell line HepG2, majority of the compounds showed no activity although C1, C3 and C8 showed activity while chrysin was more active than them. MOLT-4 and K562 are models for potential antileukaemia activity. Chrysin showed the lowest IC550 values on these two cell lines and was therefore the most active flavonoid, although C1, C3 and C8 showed activity as well. C1, C2 and C3 had similar ranges of activity to chrysin on K562. A549 is a relevant model of lung cancer and Mero-14 has been employed as model for malignant mesothelioma especially in relation to asbestos.^{51,54} Chrysin was active on these two cell lines, with more affinity on A549 than Mero-14; in the case of A549. C8 demonstrated a reasonable activity while C1 and C3 were slightly weaker. On Mero-14, chrysin was not very active but C1, C3 and C8 showed activities with IC_{50} lower than 10 μ M. BEAS-2B is a cell line derived from normal bronchial epithelia extracted during the autopsy of non-cancerous individuals.52,55 BEAS-2B can be used up to a certain degree to assess if a compound active on cancer cells is toxic to non-cancerous cells. Chrysin and C2 were moderately toxic with an $IC_{50} \sim 50 \,\mu\text{M}$, all the remaining compounds were either non-toxic on the cells or with low activity.

The results showed that chrysin was an active compound, but it is also toxic to non-cancerous cells. From the derivatives, **C1** and **C3** were the most active across the spectrum of cell lines with weak activities on non-cancerous cells. **C5** and **C6** showed preference for colon carcinomas. **C5**, **C6** and **C8** showed more selectivity for breast cancer model MDA-MB468 with **C8** also showing activity on malignant mesothelioma Mero-14.

Although anticancer agents bearing a nitro moiety are generally considered toxic, some compounds with NO₂ are currently being investigated, for example, misanidazole.⁵⁶ The fact that compound C3 showed lower toxicity to BEAS-2B, which makes it a promising candidate for future studies.

Chrysin alongside derivatives have been studied on our selection of cell lines. Chrysin has shown IC₅₀ higher than 200 μ M on leukaemia cells lines including K562 and MOLT-3; MOLT-3 and MOLT-4 are cell lines originated from the same patient with T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, having some differences in CD (cluster of differentiation) expression and chromosomal rearrangement.^{57,58} Samarghandian et al.⁵⁹ reported IC₅₀ values close to 20 μ M for breast cancer cell line MCF-7 while similar value was reported by Androutsopoulos et al.⁶⁰ for MDA-MB468. On a different study, Samarghandian et al.⁶¹ reported IC₅₀ values in the order of 40 μ M for chrysin on A549. Although chrysin has been tested for anticancer activity pathway elucidation on colon cancer cell models, not many have

MDA-MB 468 38.80 ± 22.90 25.41 ± 1.36 $\textbf{8.17}\pm\textbf{0.36}$ $\textbf{5.84} \pm \textbf{0.67}$ $\mathbf{5.8} \pm \mathbf{0.51}$ $\textbf{7.49}\pm\textbf{0.61}$ 16.59 ± 1.33 112.23 ± 25.78 $\bf 45.64 \pm 10.06$ 153.66 ± 45.72 43.22 ± 15.67 $\textbf{22.36} \pm \textbf{6.40}$ 156.64 ± 3.65 $\textbf{59.66} \pm \textbf{7.81}$ **BEAS-2B** 26.31 ± 4.09 $\textbf{9.56}\pm\textbf{0.82}$ 45.99 ± 3.08 3.42 ± 0.87 $\textbf{7.65} \pm \textbf{053}$ 8.71 ± 0.85 Mero-14 $\textbf{4.84} \pm \textbf{0.19}$ **18.91** ± 2.95 $\mathbf{21.26} \pm \mathbf{2.21}$ 5.89 ± 0.41 4.53 ± 0.79 4.83 ± 0.37 95.54 ± 4.72 5.27 ± 1.22 3.05 ± 1.01 5.17 ± 0.64 Caco-2 33.13 ± 2.19 $\textbf{20.01}\pm\textbf{0.78}$ 16.79 ± 1.73 $|4.64 \pm |.36$ 8.75 ± 1.24 A549 $C_{50} (x \pm SD) \ \mu M^a$ 4.93 ± 0.35 0.69 ± 0.94 5.62 ± 0.83 17.53 ± 1.01 34.82 ± 1.01 HepG2 46.53 ± 11.45 $\textbf{26.18} \pm \textbf{3.34}$ $\textbf{27.32} \pm \textbf{4.48}$ 4.20 ± 1.70 2.17 ± 0.10 17.6 ± 1.08 MCF-7 $\textbf{|5.3|}\pm\textbf{2.08}$ $\textbf{3.14}\pm\textbf{0.22}$ 7.38 ± 1.56 7.05 ± 0.47 5.58 ± 0.29 8.69 ± 2.05 K562 17.40 ± 3.50 23.58 ± 1.67 $\textbf{5.35}\pm\textbf{0.47}$ $\textbf{5.23} \pm \textbf{0.96}$ 3.87 ± 3.00 5.41 ± 0.53 7.05 ± 0.47 MOLT-4 2.17 ± 0.19 16.40 ± 1.18 17.53 ± 2.32 $\textbf{8.91}\pm\textbf{2.95}$ 44.20 ± 2.74 $\textbf{4.07} \pm \textbf{0.29}$ 5.92 ± 0.54 1.99 ± 0.24 I.56±I.7I 54.76 ± 2.77 HCT 116 Compounds CPA Chr ΰ

Table 2. Effect of chrysin derivatives on the growth of HepG2, MCF-7, HCT116, MOLT-4, K562, A549, Mero-14, MDA-MB468 and BEAS-2B cell lines following 72h of drug treatment.

5D: standard deviation; Chr: chrysin; CPZ: chlorpromazine, positive control.Values are the concentration required to cause a 50% decrease in cell growth compared to untreated control (IC₅₀) No bioactivity at doses $>200 \, \mu M$

 1 C₅₀ values are expressed as a mean value \pm SD from two replicates.

°Chlorpromazine (CPZ) has potent antitumorigenic activities, making it an ideal positive control for cancer studies.^{53,65}

reported quantifiable values.⁶² A study using Chinese and Brazilian propolis reported IC_{50} values higher than 50 μ M for Caco-2 and 12–40 μ M for HCT116, respectively.⁶³ Chrysin has also been investigated on hepatic model HepG2, with Zhang et al.⁶⁴ reporting an IC₅₀ value of 98 μ M. To the best of our knowledge, no studies using flavonoids, propolis nor chrysin have been reported through the scientific literature, so we present here first ever studies of chrysin and its derivatives on this mesothelioma cell line.

Lipophilicity (clogP)

Lipophilicity has a significant impact on various drug properties including absorption, distribution and permeability.⁶⁶ For a drug to reach its target, needs to penetrate the lipid bilayer of the cellular membranes, and one of the first barriers a drug encounters is situated in the enterocytes in the intestinal epithelia. In general, a rule of thumb indicates that lipophilic drugs have good absorption.⁶⁷

Lipophilicity indicates partition between two immiscible phases. In the case of enterocytes, the drug needs to be absorbed through the apical side, for this being a lipophilic molecule might be helpful; but once the drug is inside, it needs to travel through the cytoplasm to be able to exit through the basolateral side of the cell. In this situation, there needs to be a delicate equilibrium between lipophilicity and lipophobicity, if the molecule is highly lipophilic, it might not be able to leave the lipid layer. One way of early detection during the drug design process is to employ the Lipinski's Rule of Five, for which the calculated \log_{10} P is \leq 5.⁶⁸ Lipophilicity can be predicted using software, for example, ChemDraw, where a highly lipophilic drug would have a clogP >3.69 Highly lipophilic drugs would also have solubility problems. The clogP of several molecules in the market for oral delivery present clogP in the ranges of -1 to 5, with the maximum between 1.5 and 3.70 In the case of antibacterial commercial drugs, it appears that general physicochemical properties for these compounds are outside the range of other types of pharmaceutical molecules. An in-depth analysis of marketed antibacterial molecules showed that for around 34.4% of them, their logP were in the range of $0-5.^{71}$

Chrysin has a clogP of 2.29 and is widely known to have absorption problems, therefore affecting its bioavailability, calculated to be 0.003%-0.02% through the oral route of administration.^{72,73} C1 has a clogP of 2.83, the ester group can enhance the lipid solubility of this molecule respect to chrysin, making it more available to cross the cellular barrier. C3 has a clogP of 4.29, and the two nitro groups capable of ionisation can enhance the solubility of this compound. In the antimicrobial panel, only C1 and C3 showed to be active; while compounds C2 and C4-C7 had clogP between 3.78 and 6.30, and they did not show any relevant antimicrobial activity. The halogenated compound C8 had a clogP of 4.26, but no interesting antimicrobial properties. In relation to anticancer activity, most of the compounds had clogP lower than 5 (except C2 and C5-C7). C2 presented high anticancer activities in general but

C5–C7 were quite inactive. The pKa for these derivatives were also predicted using ChemDraw 20.1, and they were in the range between 5.89 and 7.02 making them more of neutral molecules. It certainly emphasises than although lipophilicity is an extremely important property, other features of the molecule are as relevant. From our group of compounds, C3 had a clogP \leq 5 and was the best biologically active molecule.

Conclusion

Chrysin is certainly a promising molecule for anticancer studies and can be an excellent scaffold for drug design as some derivatives offer incredible biological activities.^{50,74} The synthetic procedures employed to produce the chrysin derivatives were designed and optimised to produce high yields of the novel compounds utilising greener chemistry conditions. In our microbiological studies, **C1** and **C3** showed a remarkable increase of activity, but **C3** when compared to chrysin, showed the most activity on all the strains especially ESBL *E. coli, E. faecalis* and *S. aureus*. This compound was also active on *P. aeruginosa*, MRSA, *K. pneumoniae* and the fungus *C. albicans*.

In our anticancer studies, we tested the compounds on a wide range of cancer models, like breast, hepatic, lung, leukaemia, colon cancer and malignant mesothelioma as well as non-cancerous cells. While chrysin was very active on the cancer models, it also showed toxicity to non-cancerous cells, maybe due to lack of selectivity for any target. Again, C1 and C3 were the most active compounds with lower activity on non-cancerous cells with C3 being the most promising one.

Some antibiotics have been shown to also exert anticancer activity, so during early drug design it is important to assess new chemical entities for activity on both types of targets.^{75,76} The identification of the lead **C3** through a greener synthetic method will permit the development of new agents against bacteria and cancer.

Experimental

Materials and instruments

Unless stated otherwise all chemicals and reagents were used as received. Synthetic reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Apollo Scientific or TCI-UK. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance DPX 400 MHz spectrometer using DMSO-d₆ or CDCl₃ as the solvent, and tetramethylsilane (TMS; $\delta = 0$) as the internal reference. All solvents used for NMR analysis were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Incorporated. J-values are given in Hz. High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry for novel compounds were outsourced, and they were analysed using the positive electrospray ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry (TOF MS ES) on Waters I-Class UPLC at Cambridge Analytical Services, University of Cambridge, UK. Calculated M + H were performed using ChemDraw 20.1 software. Infrared spectra were measured on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 and

melting point analysis was performed on a Stuart Melting Point SMP20. Microwave-assisted reactions were performed in a CEM Discover SP microwave reactor. Silica gel chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 Å with a pore size of 40–63 μ m (Fluorochem Limited, Glossop, UK). Silica thin-layer chromatography was performed on precoated aluminium sheets with a 0.2-mm thickness obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK. Anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was distilled over sodium and benzophenone prior to use. All other anhydrous solvents were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Method A, synthesis of CI, C2 and C3

Chrysin (0.12g, 4.7 mmol) was added to a suspension of potassium carbonate (4.0 equiv., 0.52g, 8.4 mmol) in acetone (10.0 mL). 4-Bromobutyl acetate (2.0 equiv., 184 µL, 9.4 mmol), 1-(bromomethyl)-3,5-dimethylbenzene (2.00 equiv., 0.085 g, 6.4 mmol) or 1-bromo-2,4-dinitrobenzene (116.6 g, 4.7 mmol) were added to synthesise C1, C2 and C3, respectively, and the resulting suspension irradiated in a microwave reactor for 10 min at 120 °C, 900 W for C1 and C3 and 5 min at 80 °C, 900 W for C2. This was rapidly cooled by means of a vapour coolant to room temperature. This was then poured into crushed ice, leading to the formation of a pale brown precipitate which was left at 4°C for 24h. After 24h, the precipitate was filtered and washed with ice cold water, followed by petroleum ether, and eluted on silica gel using 2:1 ethyl acetate:petroleum ether, v/v. The solvent was evaporated, and the precipitate dried in an oven for 24 h at 50 °C to yield the desired product.

Method B, synthesis of C4

To a solution of chrysin (0.10 g, 0.40 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.79 mmol; 2.0 equiv.) and tetra-*n*-butylammonium bromide (0.2 equiv., 0.025 g, 0.08 mmol) in dimethylformamide (10 mL) was added 1-bromo-2-methylpropane (2.0 equiv., 84 μ L, 0.99 mmol). The reaction was allowed to stir for 72 h at 50 °C. After 72 h, the mixture was diluted with ice cold water, acidified with HCl (6 N) and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was concentrated to yield a yellowish-brown solid which was chromatographed on silica gel using ethyl acetate: petroleum ether (20:80 to 100:0) as mobile phase.

Method C, synthesis of C5, C6 and C7

Potassium carbonate (2.00 equiv., 0.26 g, 4.2 mmol) was suspended in acetonitrile (10.0 mL) containing chrysin (0.12 g, 0.47 mmol). Two equiv. of bromoalkane (1-bromooctane (142.6 μ L, 9.4 mmol) for C5, 1-bromononane (195 μ L, 9.45 mmol) for C6 or 1-bromodecane (235.3 μ L, 9.8 mmol) for C7) were added to a thick-walled microwave tube and the resulting suspension irradiated vigorously in a microwave reactor at 120 °C for 8 min at 900 W. The reaction was cooled rapidly by means of an auto-installed cooler system to a temperature of about 30 °C and poured unto crushed ice. The precipitate formed was left at 4 °C for 8–12 h after which the precipitate was washed with ice cold

Method D, synthesis of C8

Potassium carbonate (4.00 equiv., 0.52 g, 8.4 mmol) was suspended in acetonitrile (10.0 mL) and stirred in a microwave tube for 30 min. Chrysin (0.12 g, 0.47 mmol) and 1 equiv. of 1,4-dibromopentane (93 μ L, 4.72 mmol) were added and the resulting suspension stirred for 24 h at 80 °C. The resulting suspension was allowed to cool to room temperature and poured into crushed ice, leading to the formation of pale-yellow precipitate which was left at 4 °C for 24 h. After 24 h, the precipitate was filtered and washed with ice cold water, followed by petroleum ether, and eluted in silica gel using 2:1 ethyl acetate:petroleum ether, v/v. The solvent was evaporated, and the precipitate dried in an oven for 24 h at 50 °C.

4-((5-hydroxy-4-oxo-2-phenyl-4H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)butyl acetate (C1). Yield: 80%; m.p. 122–124°C; clogP: 2.83. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 1.83–1.94 (4H, m, 3"–H₂ and 4"–H₂), 2.09 (3H, s, 8"–H₃), 4.06 (2H, t, *J*=4.4Hz, 5"–H₂), 4.24 (2H, t, *J*=5.88Hz, 2"–H₂), 6.38 (1H, s, 6–H), 6.51 (1H, s, 8–H), 6.69 (1H, s, 3–H), 7.55 (3H, m, 2'–H, 3'–H, 4'–H), 7.92 (2H, d*J*=7.3, 2'–H, 6'–H), 12.9 (1H, s, 5-OH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 20.9 (8"), 25.3 (3"), 25.6 (4"), 63.9 (5"), 67.9 (2"), 76.7–77.3 (CDCl₃), 93.1 (8), 98.5 (6), 105.73), 105.9 (4a), 126.3 (3'/5'), 129.1 (4'), 131.3 (2'/6'), 131.8 (1'), 157.8 (8a), 162.2 (5), 163.9 (2), 164.9 (7), 171.1 (7"), 182.4 (4). IR (cm⁻¹): 1165.42 (C–O–C, large ring C–O stretch), 1031.25, 1242.32 (C–O, phenolic), 1588.12 (C=C aromatic), 1605.21 (C=O, ketone), 1751.27 (OC=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for C₂₁H₂₀O₆ [M + 1]=369.1293, found 369.1330.

7-((3,5-dimethylbenzyl)oxy)-5-hydroxy-2-phenyl-4H-chromen-4one (C2). Yield: 52%; m.p.: 122-124°C; clogP: 5.26. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 2.25 (6H, s, 9"-H₃, 10"-H₃), 4.95 (2H, s, 4"-H₂), 6.34 (1H, d, J=2.4Hz, 6-H), 6.48 (1H, d, J=2.4 Hz, 8–H), 6.57 (1H, d, J=2.7 Hz, 3–H), 6.92 (1H, s, 6"-H), 6.97 (2H, s, 2"-H, 8"-H), 7.45 (3H, m, 3'-H, 4'-H, 5'-H), 7.80 (2H, dd, J=7.3, 2.6 Hz 2'-H, 6'-H), 12.80 (1H, s, 5-OH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 23.3 (9"/10"),70.4–77.4 (CDCl₃), 93.5 (8), 100.9 (6), 105.9 (3), 105.9 (4a), 125.3 (4"/8"), 126.3 (3'/5'), 129.1 (4'), 130.1 (2'/6'), 131.4 (1'), 131.4 (6"), 135.5 (5"/7"), 136.4 (3"), 157.7 (8a), 162.2 (5), 164.0 (2), 164.8 (7), 182.5 (4). IR (cm⁻¹): 1158.49 (C–O–C, large ring C-O stretch), 1246.45 (C-O, phenolic), 1583.85 (C=C aromatic), 1602.10 (C=O, ketone), 2854.31 (C-H, alkane), 3001.46 (C-H, aromatic). HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for $C_{24}H_{22}O_4$ [M + 1]=373.1395, found 373.1433.

7-(2,4-dinitrophenoxy)-5-hydroxy-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one (C3). Yield: 46%; m.p. 292–294 °C; clogP: 4.29. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, δ ppm) 6.71 (1H, D, *J*=3.5 Hz, 6–H), 7.11 (1H, d, *J*=3.5 Hz, 8–H), 7.19 (1H, s, 3–H), 7.65 (4H, m, 3'–H, 4'–H, 5'–H, 3"–H), 8.12 (2H, d, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2'–H, 6'–H), 8.57 (1H, dd, *J*=10.5, 3.5 Hz, 4"–H,), 8.98 (1H, d, *J*=3.5 Hz, 6"–H), 13.02 (1H, s, 5-OH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, δ ppm) 30.7–40.4 (trace cyclohexane/acetone), 93.0 (8a), 102.1

(6), 105.3 (4a), 107.8 (3), 122.0 (6"), 122.5 (3"), 126.6 (3'/5'), 129.2 (4'), 130.2 (2'/6'), 132.5 (1'), 140.7 (7"), 140.8 (5"), 152.4 (1"), 157.1 (5), 160.4 (2"), 161.2 (7), 182.5 (4). IR (cm⁻¹): 1149.55 (C–O–C, large ring C–O stretch), 1248.32 (C–O, phenolic), 1535 (N=O, aromatic) 1593.47 (C=C aromatic), 1623.80 (C=O, ketone). HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for $C_{22}H_{16}N_2O_8$ [M + 1]=421.0627, found 421.0661.

5-hydroxy-7-isobutoxy-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one (C4). Yield; 75%; m.p. 143–145 °C; clogP: 3.78. ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, \delta ppm)$ 1.03 (6H, d, J=6 Hz, 4"-H₃, 5"-H₃), 1.60 (trace water), 2.12 (1H, m, 3"-H), 3.83 (2H, d, J=6Hz, 2"-H₂), 6.36 (1H, s, 6–H), 6.52 (1H, s, 8–H), 6.68 (1H, s, 3–H), 7.55(3H, d, J=8.4Hz, 3'-H, 4'-H, 5'-H), 7.91 (2H, d, J=12 Hz, 2'-H, 6'-H), 12.78 (1H, s, 5-OH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 19.2 (4"/5"), 28.1 (2"/3"), 74.9–77.3 (CDCl₃), 93.1 (8), 98.7 (6), 105.6 (4a), 105.9 (3), 126.3 (3'/5'), 129.1 (4'), 131.4 (2'/6'), 131.8 (1'), 157.8 (8a), 162.1 (5), 163.9 (2), 165.3 (7), 182.5 (4). IR (cm⁻¹): 1149.55 (C-O-C, large ring C-O stretch), 123.99 cm⁻¹ (C-O, phenolic), 1587.00 (C=C aromatic), 1614.79 (C=O, ketone), 2859.02 (C-H, alkane), 2953.21 (CH₃, alkane), 3076.84 (CH, aromatic). HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for C19H18O4 [M+1]=311.1239, found 311.1278.

5-hydroxy-7-(octyloxy)-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one (C5). Yield: 49.3%; m.p. 77-80°C; clogP: 5.47. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 0.92 (3H, t, J=7.0 Hz, 9"-H₃), 1.35 (8H, m, 5"-H₂, 6"-H₂, 7"-H₂, 8"-H₂), 1.48 (2H, m, 4"-H₂), 1.49 (2H, m, 4"-H₂) 1.85 (2H, quint, J=7.0 Hz, 3"-H₂), 4.03 (2H, t, J=7.2 Hz, 2"-H₂), 6.47 (1H, d, J=4.0 Hz, 6-H), 6.52 (1H, d, J=4.0Hz, 8-H), 6.68 (1H, s, 3-H), 7.27 (CDCl₃) 7.55 (3H, d, J=11 Hz, 3'-H, 4'-H, 5'-H), 7.91 (2H, d, J=9Hz, 2'-H, 6'-H), 12.9 (1H, s, 5-OH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 14.1 (9"), 22.7 (8"), 25.9 (7"), 28.9 (6"), 29.2 (4"/5"), 29.3 (3"), 31.8 (2"), 68.7 (1"), 76.7-77.4 (CDCl₃), 93.1 (8), 98.6 (6), 105.6 (4a), 105.8 (3), 126.2 (3'/5'), 129.1 (4'), 131.4 (2'/6"), 131.8 (1'), 157.8 (8a), 162.2 (5), 163.9 (2), 165.2 (7), 182.5 (4). IR (cm⁻¹): 1169.51 (C-O-C, large ring C-O stretch), 1272.96 (C-O, phenolic), 1587.89 (C=C aromatic), 1607.79 (C=O, ketone), 2643.39 (C-H, alkane), 2918.76 (C-H, alkane), 3023.26 (C-H, aromatic). HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for C23H26O4 [M+1] = 367.1865, found 367.1899.

5-hydroxy-7-(nonyloxy)-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one (C6). Yield: 47%; m.p. 73–76 °C; clogP: 5.88. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 0.92 (3H, t, J=7.0Hz, 10"–H₃), 1.32 (10H, m, 5"–H₂, 6"–H₂, 7"–H₂, 8"–H₂, 9"–H₂), 1.48 (2H, m, 4"–H₂), 1.85 (2H, quint, J=6.4 Hz, 3"–H₂), 4.03 (2H, t, J=7.6 Hz, 2"–H₂), 6.37 (1H, s, 3–H), 6.50 (1H, s, 8–H), 6.68 (1H, s, 3–H), 7.28 (CDCl₃) 7.55 (3H, m, 3'–H, 4'–H, 5'–H), 7.90 (2H, d, J=13 Hz, 2'–H, 6'–H), 12.71 (1H, s, 5-OH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 14.1 (10"), 25.7 (9"), 25.9 (8"), 28.9 (7"), 29.3 (6"), 29.3 (4"/5"), 29.5 (3"), 31.9 (2"), 68.7 (1"), 76.7–77.3 (CDCl₃), 93.1 (8), 98.6 (6), 105.6 (4a), 105.9 (3), 126.3 (3'/5'), 129.1 (4'), 131.4 (2'/6'), 131.8 (1'), 157.8 (8a), 162.1 (5), 163.9 (2), 165.2 (7), 182.5 (4). IR (cm⁻¹): 1169.51 (C–O–C, large ring C–O stretch), 1272.96 (C–O, phenolic), 1505.20 (C=C aromatic), 1660.79 (C=O, ketone), 2323.48 (C–H, alkane), 2643.39 (C–H, alkane), 2918.76 (CH, alkane), 3018.56 (C–H, aromatic). HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for $C_{24}H_{28}O_4$ [M + 1]=381.2021, found 381.2057.

7-(decyloxy)-5-hydroxy-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one (C7). Yield: 56%; m.p. 74–76°C; clogP: 6.30. ¹H NMR $(CDCl_2, \delta ppm) 0.89 (3H, t, J=4.9 Hz, 11"-H_2), 1.32 (12H, 1.32)$ m, 5"-H₂ 6"-H₂, 7"-H₂, 8"-H₂, 9"-H₂, 10"-H₂), 1.48 (2H, m, 4"-H₂), 1.85 (2H, quint, J=7.4 Hz, 3"-H₂), 4.06 (2H, t, $J=7.4\,\text{Hz}, 2''-\text{H}_2$), 6.38 (1H, s, 6–H), 6.52 (1H, s, 8–H), 6.78 (1H, s, 3-H), 7.52 (3H, m, 3'-H, 4'-H, 5'-H), 7.90 (2H, d, *J*=7.8 Hz, 2'–H, 6'–H), 12.75 (1H, s, 5-OH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 14.1 (11"), 22.7 (10"), 25.9 (9"), 28.9 (8"), 29.3 (7"/6"), 29. 6 (4"/5"), 31.9 (3"), 68.7 (2"), 76.7-77.4 (CDCl₃), 93.1 (8), 98.6 (6), 105.6 (4a), 105.9 (3), 126.3 (3'/5'), 129.1 (4'), 131.4 (2'/6'), 131.8 (1'), 157.8 (8a), 162.1 (5), 163.9 (2), 165.2 (7), 182.5 (4). IR (cm^{-1}) : 1169.51 (C-O-C, large ring C-O stretch), 1283.27 (C-O, phenolic), 1512.15 (C=C aromatic), 1663.49 (C=O, ketone), 2286.36 (C-H, alkane), 2323.33 (C-H, alkane), 2645.76 (C-H, alkane), 3014.51 (C-H, aromatic). HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for $C_{25}H_{30}O_4$ [M + 1]=395.2178, found 395.2208.

7-((4-bromopentyl)oxy)-5-hydroxy-3-phenyl-4H-chromen-4one or 7-O-2-bromopentylchrysin (C8). Yield: 94%; m.p. 123–124 °C; clogP: 4.26. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 1.42 (trace cyclohexane), 1.62 (trace water),1.78 (3H, d, J=9.6 Hz, 6"-H₂), 2.08 (4H, m, 3"-H₂, 4"-H₂), 4.08 (2H, t, J=4.8Hz, 2"-H₂), 4.22 (1H, s, 5"-H), 6.38 (1H, s, 6-H), 6.53 (1H, s, 8-H), 6.69 (1H, s, 3-H), 7.28 (CDCl₃), 7.55 (3H, m, 3'-H, 4'-H, 5'-H), 7.91 (2H, d, J=8.1 Hz, 2'-H, 6'-H), 12.80 (1H, s, 5-OH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₂, δ ppm) 26.6 (6"), 27.4 (3"), 37.3 (4"), 50.7 (5"), 67.7 (2"), 76.8-79.4 (CDCl₃), 93.9 (8), 98.6 (6), 105.7 (4a), 108.9 (3), 126.3 (3'/5'), 130.1 (4'), 131.1 (2'/6'), 131.8 (1'), 157.6 (8a), 162.2 (5), 163.8 (2), 164.9 (7), 182.5 (4). IR (cm⁻¹): 765.53 (C-Br), 1172.08 cm⁻¹ (C–O–C, large ring C–O stretch), 1101.61 and 1270.95 cm⁻¹ (C–O, phenolic), 1603.28 cm⁻¹ $(C=C \text{ aromatic}), 1621.23 \text{ cm}^{-1}(C=O, \text{ketone}), 2854.31 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (C-H, alkane), 2943.23 cm⁻¹ (C-H, alkane), 2965.54 cm⁻¹ (C-H, alkane), 3068.12 cm⁻¹ (C-H, aromatic). HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for $C_{20}H_{19}BrO_4 [M + 1] = 405.0534$, found 405.0521.

Cell culture and growth inhibition studies

DMEM supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS, L-glutamine (1.0 mM) and streptomycin (1.0 mM) was used to culture MCF-7 and Mero-14 cell lines. DMEM supplemented with 20% heat inactivated FBS, L-glutamine (1.0 mM) and streptomycin (1.0 mM) was used to culture Caco-2 cell line. RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS, L-glutamine (1.0 mM) and streptomycin (1.0 mM) was used to culture K652, HepG2, MDA-MB 468, A549 and MOLT-4 cell lines. McCoys 5A supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS, L-glutamine (1.0 mM) and streptomycin (1.0 mM) and streptomycin (1.0 mM) and streptomycin (1.0 mM) was used to culture K652, HepG2, MDA-MB 468, A549 and MOLT-4 cell lines. McCoys 5A supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS, L-glutamine (1.0 mM) and streptomycin (1.0 mM) was used to culture

the HCT-116 cell line. Bronchial Epithelial Basal Medium (BEBM) from Lonza was used to culture the HCT-116 cell line. Culture was undertaken in a humidified incubator with $5\%~\text{CO}_2$ at $37\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ until 75% confluence was reached. The general method undertaken for growth inhibition studies used cells grown in T-75 cell culture flasks before being aliquoted into sterile 96-well plates and incubated for 12h. The cells were then treated with a concentration gradient (200- $0\,\mu$ M) of compounds for 72 h. Following this, the cells were aspirated and 30.0 µL of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) (5.0 mgmL⁻¹ in PBS) were added. The plate was incubated for 3.5 h at 37 °C in an environment of 5% CO2 before the wells were aspirated and 100 µL of DMSO added. The 96-well plates were agitated using an orbital stirrer for 60s before absorbance readings at 570 and 630 nm were taken using a Thermo Labsystems MultiSkan Ascent system. For suspension cell lines, the same method was undertaken; however, MTS was used in place of MTT, following the manufacturer's guidelines (Promega, UK). The growth inhibition studies using MTT/MTS assays were performed on a Thermo Labsystems MultiSkan Ascent system.

Bacterial and fungal growth and growth inhibition studies

Nutrient agar (agar, 15 gL^{-1} , meat extract, 1 gL^{-1} , peptone, 5 gL^{-1} , sodium chloride, 5 gL^{-1} , yeast extract, 2 gL^{-1}) was used to culture *Bacillus cereus* ATCC 10876, *S. aureus* ATCC 25923, *Enterococcus faecalis* NCIMB 13280, *P. aeruginosa* NCTC 13437, *P. fluorescens* ATCC 13525, Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) producing *E. Coli* NCTC 13353, *MRSA* 252 and *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 13439, and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) was used to culture *C. albicans* MTCC227. Strains were cultured overnight (22–25 h) at 37 °C on nutrient broth for the preparation of cell suspensions. Suspensions of bacterial cells (dissolved in PBS) were homogenised such that the spectrophotometry standard of 5×10^5 CFU mL⁻¹ (0.5 McFarland standards) was obtained.

Minimum inhibitory concentration studies

MIC is considered the concentration of sample that prevents a colour change of the media and inhibited bacterial growth completely. All samples were dissolved in Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB)/DMSO with a DMSO final concentration of 2.5%. The resulting solution was added to MHB. Inoculum prepared in appropriate broth – MHB ($100 \,\mu L$) was then added. These plates were sealed and agitated using a plate shaker incubated for 18h at 37 °C. A negative control (wells containing broth, DMSO and 100 µL of inoculum) was also prepared. Gentamicin was used as the reference antibiotic for the bacterial strains while fluconazole was the antifungal agents tested on C. albicans. The p-iodonitrotetrazolium chloride (INT) colorimetric assay was used to determine MIC. The assay measures the production of NADH. In order to determine MIC, $40 \,\mu\text{L}$ of $0.2 \,\text{mg}\,\text{mL}^{-1}$ of INT were added after incubation for 18h at 37 °C and samples were screened for colour change from violet to red.

cLogP calculation

Calculated logP values were predicted using ChemDraw 20.1.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the technical staff at the University of Salford and Salford Analytical Services, in particular Mr Kirit Amin for his outstanding analytical contributions. The authors also express their gratitude to Dr Nanda Puspita for her outstanding help with cell culture.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article: This study was supported by the Nigerian Government and the University of Salford.

ORCID iDs

John Hadfield D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7984-8319 Patricia Ragazzon D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0374-4569

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Hemmerlin A, Harwood JL and Bach TJ. Prog Lipid Res 2012; 51: 95–148.
- Falcone Ferreyra ML, Rius SP and Casati P. Front Plant Sci 2012; 3: 222.
- Babii C, Bahrin LG, Neagu AE, et al. *J Appl Microbiol* 2016; 120: 630–637.
- 4. van de Velde ME, Kaspers GL, Abbink FCH, et al. *Crit Rev* Oncol Hematol 2017; 114: 114–130.
- 5. Xuan HZ, Zhang JH, Wang, et al. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2016; 26: 570–574.
- 6. Mani R and Natesan V. Phytochemistry 2018; 145: 187-196.
- Kedika B, Thotla K, Noole V, et al. *J Chem Pharm Res* 2016; 8: 1210–1222.
- Li BW, Zhang FH, Serrao E, et al. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2014; 22: 3146–3158.
- Khan MS, Devaraj H and Devaraj N. *Toxicol Appl Pharma*col 2011; 251: 85–94.
- 10. Zeinali M, Rezaee SA and Hosseinzadeh H. Biomed Pharmacother 2017; 92: 481–489.
- 11. Peng SM, Zou XQ, Ding HL, et al. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2009; 19: 1264–1266.
- 12. Yixi X, Weijie Y, Fen T, et al. *Curr Med Chem* 2015; 22: 132–149.
- 13. Mani R and Natesan V. Phytochemistry 2018; 145: 187-196.
- Farhadi F, Khameneh B and Iranshahi I. *Phytotherapy Res* 2018; 33: 13–40.
- Babu KS, Babu TH and Srinivas PV. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2006; 16: 221–224.
- Khachatryan DS and Matevosyan KR. *Russian Chem Bull* 2016; 65: 14–28.

- 17. Mayer S, Keglevich P, Abranyi-Balogh P, et al. *Molecules* 2020; 25: 888.
- McGown A, Ragazzon-Smith A, Hadfield JA, et al. *Lett Org Chem* 2019; 16: 66–75.
- Ragazzon PA, Bradshaw T, Matthews C, et al. *Anticancer* Res 2009; 29: 2273–2283.
- Almelah E, Smith DPT, McGown A, et al. *Anticancer Res* 2016; 36: 6043–6050.
- 21. Moreira J, Ribeiro D and Silva PMA. *Molecules* 2019; 241: 129.
- 22. van Acker SABE, de Groot MJ, van den Berg DJ, et al. *Chem Res Toxicol* 1996; 9: 1305–1312.
- 23. Kasprzak MM, Erxleben A and Ochocki. *RSC Adv* 2015; 5: 45853–45877.
- 24. Panda AK. Asian J Chem 2009; 21: 4856–4860.
- 25. Lepore SD and He TJ. Org Chem 2003; 68: 8261-8263.
- 26. Koussini R and Al-Shihria AS. Jordan J Chem 2008; 3: 103–107.
- 27. Lu Y, Liu Y, Xu Z, et al. *Expert Opin Drug Dis* 2012; 7: 375–383.
- Baumann M and Baxendale IR. J Org Chem 2013; 9: 2265– 2319.
- 29. Lei L, Rehman MU, Huang S, et al. *Acta Trop* 2018; 182: 111–114.
- Suardana W, Widiasih DA, Ngurah G, et al. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2015; 5: 915–920.
- Ben-Ami R, Rodriguez-Bano J, Arslan H, et al. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; 49: 682–690.
- 32. Fine MJ, Smith MA, Carson CA, et al. *JAMA* 1996; 275: 134–141.
- Gholizadeh P, Maftoon H, Aghazadeh M, et al. *Rev Med Microbiol* 2017; 28: 97–103.
- Skariyachan S, Sridhar VS, Packirisamy S, et al. Folia Microbiol 2018; 63: 413–432.
- Gershman MD, Kennedy DJ, Noble-Wang J, et al. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 47: 1372–1379.
- Fuller AT, Mellows G, Woolford M, et al. *Nature* 1971; 234: 416–417.
- 37. Arnold RS, Thom KA, Sharma S, et al. *Southern Med J* 2011; 104: 40–45.
- Xu Z, Li, Shirtliff ME, et al. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2011; 17: 714–718.
- Cole AM, Tahk S, Oren A, et al. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* 2001; 8: 1064–1069.
- Kluytmans J, van Belkum A and Verbrugh H. Clin Microbiol Rev 1997; 10: 505–520.
- Paterson DL and Bonomo RA. Clin Microb Rev 2005; 18: 657–686.
- Kilbas I and Ciftei IH. J Global Antimicrob Res 2018; 12: 26–30.
- 43. Mayer FL, Wilson D and Hube B. *Virulence* 2013; 4: 119–128.
- 44. Wilson D. Trends Microbiol 2019; 27: 188–189.
- Nepali K, Lee HY and Liou JP. J Med Chem 2019; 62: 2851– 2893.
- Li HQ, Shi L, Li QS, et al. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2009; 17: 6264–6269.

- 47. Li HX, Wang ZC, Qian YM, et al. *Chem Biol Drug Des* 2017; 89: 136–140.
- 48. Alhadrami HA, Hamed AA, Hassan HM, et al. *Antibotics* 2020; 9: 562.
- 49. Petruzzi L, Corbo MR, Campaniello D, et al. *Foods* 2020; 9: 559.
- 50. Zhu Y, Yao X and Long J. *Nat Prod Commun* 2019; 14: 1–11.
- 51. Kowalska-Krochmal B and Dudek-Wicher R. *Pathogens* 2021; 10: 165.
- 52. Sueke H, Kaye S, Neal T, et al. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010; 51: 2519–2524.
- 53. Dagi HT, Findik D, Senkeles C, et al. Ann Clin Microb Antimicrob 2016; 15: 36.
- Versnel VA, Hoogsteden HC and Hagemeijer A. Cancer Genet Cytogen 1989; 42: 115–128.
- 55. Park YH, Kim D, Da J, et al. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2015; 287: 240–245.
- 56. Wardman P. BJR 2018; 92: 1093.
- 57. Mahbub AA, Le Maitre C, Haywood-Small S, et al. *Anticancer Agents Med Chem* 2013; 13: 1601–1613.
- Greenberg JM, Gonzalez-Sarmiento R, Arthur DC, et al. Blood 1988; 72: 1755–1760.
- Samarghandian S, Azimi-Nezhad M, Borji A, et al. *Pharmacogn Mag* 2016; 12: S436–S440.
- Androutsopoulos VP, Rupareli K, Arroo RRJ, et al. *Toxicology* 2009; 264: 162–170.
- 61. Samarghandian S, Nezhad MA and Mohammadi G. *Anticancer Agents Med Chem* 2014; 14: 901–909.
- Choi JK, Jang YH, Lee S, et al. Food Chem Toxicol 2017; 110: 142–150.
- Ishihara M, Noai K, Hashita M, et al. Oncol Rep 2009; 22: 349–354.
- Zhang Q, Ma S, Liu B, et al. *Exp Ther Med* 2016; 12: 469– 474.
- 65. Kamgar-Dayhoff P and Brelidze TI. *Oncotarget* 2021; 12: 1406–1426.
- 66. Liu Z, Wang S and Hu M. *Pharm Theory Pract* 2009; 263: 265–288.
- 67. Benet LZ, Hosey CM, Ursu O, et al. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2016; 101: 89–89.
- 68. Effinger A, O'Driscoll CM, McAllister M, et al. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 2018; 71: 674–698.
- 69. Dalafave DS. Biomed Eng Comput Biol 2010.
- 70. Pajouhesh H and Lenz GR. NeuroRx 2005; 2: 541-553.
- Ebejer JP, Charlton MH and Finn PW. J Cheminform 2016; 8: 30.
- 72. Jung J. Nanoscale Biol Mat 2016; 2016: 2894089.
- Walle T, Otake Y, Brubaker JA, et al. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2001; 51: 143–146.
- 74. Talebi M, Talebi M, Farkhondeh T, et al. *Cancer Cell Int* 2021; 21: 214.
- Saeidnia S. New approaches to natural anticancer drugs (Springer briefs in pharmaceutical science & drug development). Cham: Springer, 2015, pp. 51–66.
- Lindahl LM, Wilerslev-Olsen A and Gjerdrum LMR. *Blood* 2019; 134: 1072–1083.