

Variation in predicted COVID-19 risk among lemurs and lorises

Amanda D. Melin^{1,2,3,4,*}, Joseph D. Orkin^{4,6}, Mareike C. Janiak⁵, Alejandro Valenzuela⁴, Lukas Kuderna⁴, Frank Marrone III⁶, Hasinala Ramangason¹, Julie E. Horvath^{7,8,9,10}, Christian Roos¹¹, Andrew C. Kitchener¹², Chiea Chuen Khor^{13,14}, Weng Khong Lim^{15,16,17}, Jessica G. H. Lee¹⁸, Patrick Tan^{13,15,17}, Govindhaswamy Umapathy¹⁹, Muthuswamy Raveendran²⁰, R. Alan Harris²⁰, Ivo Gut²¹, Marta Gut²¹, Esther Lizano⁴, Tilo Nadler²², Dietmar Zinner^{23,24,25}, Minh D. Le²⁶, Sivakumara Manu¹⁹, Clément J. Rabarivola²⁷, Alphonse Zaramody²⁷, Nicole Andriaholinirina²⁷, Steig E. Johnson¹, Erich D. Jarvis^{28,29,30}, Olivier Fedrigo^{28,30}, Dongdong Wu^{31,32}, Guojie Zhang^{33,34,35}, Kyle Kai-How Farh³⁶, Jeffrey Rogers²⁰, Tomas Marques-Bonet^{4,37,38,39}, Arcadi Navarro^{4,37,38}, David Juan⁴, Paramjit S. Arora⁶, James P. Higham^{40,41*}

1 Department of Anthropology and Archaeology, University of Calgary, Canada
2 Department of Medical Genetics, University of Calgary, Canada
3 Alberta Children's Hospital Research Institute, University of Calgary, Canada
4 Experimental and Health Sciences Department (DCEXS), Institut de Biologia Evolutiva, Universitat Pompeu Fabra-CSIC, Barcelona, Spain
5 School of Science, Engineering & Environment, University of Salford, United Kingdom
6 Department of Chemistry, New York University, United States
7 Genomics & Microbiology Research Laboratory, North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences, Raleigh, NC, USA
8 Department of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, North Carolina Central University, Durham, NC, USA
9 Department of Evolutionary Anthropology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA
10 Department of Biological Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA
11 Gene Bank of Primates and Primate Genetics Laboratory, German Primate Center, Leibniz Institute for Primate Research, Göttingen, Germany
12 Department of Natural Sciences, National Museums Scotland and School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
13 Genome Institute of Singapore, Agency for Science, Technology and Research, Singapore
14 Singapore Eye Research Institute, Singapore National Eye Centre, Singapore
15 SingHealth Duke-NUS Institute of Precision Medicine, Singapore Health Services, Singapore
16 SingHealth Duke-NUS Genomic Medicine Centre, Singapore Health Services, Singapore
17 Cancer and Stem Cell Biology Program, Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore
18 Department of Conservation, Research and Veterinary Services, Wildlife Reserves Singapore, Singapore
19 CSIR-Laboratory for the Conservation of Endangered Species, Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology, Hyderabad, India
20 Human Genome Sequencing Center and Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, United States
21 Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain.
22 Cuc Phuong Commune, Nho Quan District, Ninh Binh Province, Vietnam
23 Cognitive Ethology Laboratory, German Primate Center, Leibniz Institute for Primate Research, Goettingen, Germany

- 47 24 Leibniz Science Campus Primate Cognition, Goettingen, Germany
48 25 Department of Primate Cognition, Georg-August-University, Goettingen, Germany
49 26 Department of Environmental Ecology, University of Science and Central Institute for
50 Natural Resources and Environmental Studies, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Vietnam
51 27 Life Sciences and Environment, Technology and Environment of Mahajanga, University
52 of Mahajanga, Madagascar.
53 28 The Vertebrate Genomes Lab, The Rockefeller University, New York, United States
54 29 Laboratory of Neurogenetics of Language, The Rockefeller University, New York,
55 United States
56 30 Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, Maryland, United States
57 31 State Key Laboratory of Genetic Resources and Evolution, Kunming Institute of
58 Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China
59 32 Kunming Natural History Museum of Zoology, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese
60 Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China
61 33 Villum Center for Biodiversity Genomics, Section for Ecology and Evolution,
62 Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Denmark
63 34 China National Genebank, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen, 518083, China
64 35 Center for Excellence in Animal Evolution and Genetics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
65 Kunming, China
66 36 Artificial Intelligence Lab, Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA, USA
67 37 Catalan Institution of Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain
68 38 CNAG-CRG, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Barcelona Institute of Science and
69 Technology (BIST), Barcelona, Spain
70 39 Institut Català de Paleontologia Miquel Crusafont, UniversitatAutònoma de Barcelona,
71 Barcelona, Spain
72 40 Department of Anthropology, New York University, United States
73 41 New York Consortium in Evolutionary Primatology, New York, United States
74
75
76

77 & Denotes equal contribution

78
79
80 * Authors for correspondence:

81
82 Dr Amanda D. Melin, Department of Anthropology and Archaeology, University of Calgary,
83 2500 University Drive, Calgary, Alberta T2N 1N4, CA
84 amanda.melin@ucalgary.ca

85
86 Dr James P. Higham, Department of Anthropology, New York University, 25 Waverly Place,
87 New York, NY 10003, US
88 jhigham@nyu.edu

89
90
91
92
93

94 **Running title: Strepsirrhine ACE2 variation**
95 **Abstract**
96

97 The novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, which in humans leads to the disease COVID-19, has caused global
98 disruption and more than 2 million fatalities since it first emerged in late 2019. As we write, infection
99 rates are at their highest point globally and are rising extremely rapidly in some areas due to more
100 infectious variants. The primary target of SARS-CoV-2 is the cellular receptor angiotensin-converting
101 enzyme-2 (ACE2). Recent sequence analyses of the *ACE2* gene predict that many nonhuman primates are
102 also likely to be highly susceptible to infection. However, the anticipated risk is not equal across the
103 Order. Furthermore, some taxonomic groups show high ACE2 amino acid conservation, while others
104 exhibit high variability at this locus. As an example of the latter, analyses of strepsirrhine primate *ACE2*
105 sequences to date indicate large variation among lemurs and lorises compared to other primate clades
106 despite low sampling effort. Here, we report *ACE2* gene and protein sequences for 71 individual
107 strepsirrhines, spanning 51 species and 19 genera. Our study reinforces previous results and finds
108 additional variability in other strepsirrhine species, and suggests several clades of lemurs have high
109 potential susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Troublingly, some species, including the rare and
110 endangered aye-aye (*Daubentonia madagascariensis*), as well as those in the genera *Avahi* and
111 *Propithecus*, may be at high risk. Given that lemurs are endemic to Madagascar and among the primates
112 at highest risk of extinction globally, further understanding of the potential threat of COVID-19 to their
113 health should be a conservation priority. All feasible actions should be taken to limit their exposure to
114 SARS-CoV-2.

115
116

117 **Introduction**

118

119 On Friday January 15, 2021, the two-millionth human death officially attributed to COVID-19 was
120 documented by the Johns Hopkins University Coronavirus Resource Center (Dong et al., 2020; Santora &
121 Wolfe, 2021). Since this date, the rates of infection by the virus responsible for this disease, SARS-CoV-
122 2, have increased in most countries. As we write, we are reaching new global highs in active cases and
123 witnessing the spread of new, more transmissible variants (Mahase, 2020; World Health Organization,
124 2020). As coordinated efforts within and across institutions, countries, and continents seek to identify
125 treatments, develop vaccines, and curb the spread of this highly contagious virus, attention has also turned
126 to the potential risks posed to nonhuman species (Damas et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021; Melin et al., 2020;
127 Wu et al., 2020). Zoonotic transfer of diseases from humans to nonhuman primates poses a major risk

128 given the many physiological and genetic similarities shared within the Order Primates, and is a
129 potentially grave risk to already endangered and fragmented populations (Gillespie & Leendertz, 2020).

130

131 In recent studies, the susceptibility of primates and other mammals to potential SARS-CoV-2 infection
132 has been assessed by analysis of the gene sequences that code for the primary viral target, angiotensin-
133 converting enzyme-2 (ACE2; Damas et al., 2020; Delgado Blanco et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021; Melin et
134 al., 2020). Receptor-virus interaction models, including by members of the present authorship, have
135 highlighted the likely susceptibility of many species, especially of apes and monkeys of Africa and Asia
136 (Parvorder Catarrhini); meanwhile, monkeys in the Americas (Parvorder Platyrrhini) are predicted to
137 exhibit lower susceptibility (Liu et al., 2021; Melin et al., 2020). One striking feature of these analyses is
138 the uniformity within these parvorders. Across the identified primary viral binding sites, catarrhines
139 exhibit one set of amino acid residues, and platyrrhines another. Surprisingly, although gene sequences
140 are only publicly available for a few strepsirrhine species (four lemurs and one galago), ACE2 variation
141 in that suborder far exceeds variation present in the rest of the primate taxa examined to date (24 species
142 spanning 21 genera and including tarsiers (1), platyrrhines (6), and catarrhines (14)). Of particular
143 concern is the high sequence similarity at binding sites to human ACE2 of some lemur ACE2 proteins,
144 including aye-ayes (*Daubentonia madagascariensis*) and Coquerel's sifakas (*Propithecus coquereli*),
145 which exhibited residues that are far more similar to those of humans and other catarrhines than to those
146 present in platyrrhines (monkeys of the Americas; Melin et al., 2020).

147

148 These findings raise questions about the variability and molecular evolution of the *ACE2* gene across
149 Strepsirrhini, as well as about the potential susceptibility to initial infection by SARS-CoV-2 of different
150 species across the suborder. Here, we expand substantially on previous reports of strepsirrhine ACE2
151 variation (Melin et al., 2020). We report 71 *ACE2* gene sequences, including 66 from unpublished
152 strepsirrhine genomes, spanning 39 lemuriform and 12 lorisiform species. For residue variants that have
153 not been previously identified and assessed, we model the interactions between the translated ACE2
154 protein and the receptor-binding domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein to predict the susceptibility of
155 species to initial infection by SARS-CoV-2. In doing so, we seek to improve our understanding of ACE2
156 variation and evolution, and to identify which strepsirrhine species are likely to be most at risk from the
157 COVID-19 pandemic. We recognize that disease development, progression and pathogenesis in any given
158 species will also be impacted by factors influencing the efficacy of viral cellular entry and taxon-specific
159 immune responses (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021; Lukassen et al., 2020). Nonetheless, our hope
160 is that our analysis of the initial susceptibility of different lemur and loris species to SARS-CoV-2

161 infection will help to inform decisions on how best to proceed with strepsirrhine research and
162 management programs.

163

164

165 **Methods**

166

167 ***Study Species***

168 We examine the *ACE2* gene sequence of 71 individual strepsirrhines - 66 newly sequenced individuals as
169 part of the Primate Variation Genome Consortium (in preparation, Supple. Table 1), plus five obtained
170 from publicly available genomes: *Otolemur garnettii* (Northern greater galago), accession no:
171 XM_003791864.2, gene ID: 100951881; *Propithecus coquereli* (Coquerel's sifaka), accession no:
172 XM_012638731.1, gene ID: 105805773; *Microcebus murinus* (gray mouse lemur) accession no:
173 XM_020285237.1, gene ID: 105882317; *Eulemur flavifrons* (blue-eyed black lemur), accession no:
174 LGHW01000591.1, scaffold 590 (gene identified via BLAST); *Daubentonia madagascariensis*, (aye-aye)
175 accession no: PVJZ01006595.1, scaffold 13170, (gene identified via BLAST). In total, we analyze the
176 *ACE2* gene and protein sequences of 51 species (39 Lemuriformes and 12 Lorisiformes) and 19 genera
177 (12 Lemuriformes and 7 Lorisiformes; Table 1, Supple. Table 1). The number of individuals sampled per
178 species ranges from 1-4, with 13 species having at least 2 individuals sampled. The number of samples
179 per genus ranges from 1-17, with 13 genera having at least 2 individuals sampled (Supple. Table 1). In
180 addition, we model the impact of residues at binding sites recently reported for *Indri indri* *ACE2* protein
181 (Damas et al., 2020), adding another genus of lemur to our survey. Detailed statistics for these newly
182 generated genomes will be published upon their full release. *ACE2* gene and protein sequences used in
183 this study are included in supplementary materials. We confirm that this research followed all applicable
184 laws and regulations of the countries in which it was conducted, that it was approved by all appropriate
185 institutional committees, and that it conformed to the American Society of Primatologists Principles for
186 the Ethical Treatment of Non Human Primates.

187

188 ***Gene Alignments***

189 We mapped reads from whole-genome sequence (WGS) data to the closest available annotated reference
190 assembly from among the set of partially unpublished (unp.) references (*Daubentonia madagascariensis*,
191 (unp.) *Galago moholi* (unp.), *Propithecus coquereli* (GCF_000956105.1), *Lemur catta* (unp.), *Loris*
192 *tardigradus* (unp.), *Microcebus murinus* (unp.), *Nycticebus pygmaeus* (unp.) and *Otolemur garnettii*
193 (unp.). Briefly, after removing adapter sequences using cutadapt, we mapped the reads using bwa mem
194 and processed and sorted alignments using samtools. We removed duplicates using biobambam, and

195 added read groups for variant calling using picard. We called variants using GATK4 HaplotypeCaller (v
196 4.1.6) following best practice pipelines (<https://gatk.broadinstitute.org>). After applying a set of standard
197 hard filters (Supple. Table S2), we extracted the coding regions of *ACE2* gene sequences and introduced
198 homozygous alternative calls to create the putative coding sequence of each individual. We extracted and
199 aligned *ACE2* gene sequences from the variant callfiles, which were then translated into protein
200 sequences. The consensus sequences were manually inspected and corrected where needed to remove
201 gene-flanking regions. We manually verified the absence of indels and premature stop codons for each
202 individual. We then aligned these 66 amino acid sequences using MAFFT (default settings) with those
203 extracted from publicly available genomes (Melin et al., 2020). The full nucleotide and amino acid
204 alignments used here are provided as tab-delimited text files in the Supporting Information. Following
205 alignment, we examined amino acid sequence variation within and across species along the length of the
206 *ACE2* protein, and specifically at the sites that are critical for SARS-CoV-2 binding.

207

208 *Variation in ACE2 sequences at critical sites and impact on SARS-CoV-2 binding*

209 Our method for identifying critical contact sites between the *ACE2* protein and the receptor-binding
210 domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein is detailed in (Melin et al., 2020). Briefly, we conducted
211 alanine scanning mutagenesis to assess the contribution of each human *ACE2* residue to protein-protein
212 complex formation with the SARS-CoV-2 RBD (Bogan & Thorn, 1998; Kortemme & Baker, 2002;
213 Massova & Kollman, 1999). Alanine scanning is a commonly used method, and alanine is chosen
214 because it is the smallest residue that may be incorporated without significantly impacting the protein
215 backbone conformation (Kortemme et al., 2004). We defined critical residues as those that, upon mutation
216 to alanine, decrease the binding energy by a threshold value $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{bind}} \geq 1.0$ kcal/mol. Nine sites meet this
217 criterion (Supple. Table 3). To be conservative, we also examined amino acid variation at additional sites
218 that were identified as important by different but complementary methods: cryo EM and X-ray
219 crystallography structural analysis (Lan et al., 2020; Shang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Yan et al.,
220 2020). While some of these sites overlap with the critical sites we identified using alanine scanning, three
221 do not - alanine scanning also identifies these as binding sites, but with $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{bind}} < 1.0$ kcal/mol. To be
222 conservative in the present analyses, as in Melin et al. 2020, we added these three sites to our nine sites
223 for a total of 12 critical sites (Supple. Table 3). All computational alanine scanning mutagenesis analyses
224 were performed using Rosetta (Jochim & Arora, 2010; Kortemme et al., 2004; Raj et al., 2013).

225

226 To model how variation in the *ACE2* amino acid sequences across species affects the relative binding
227 energy of the *ACE2*/SARS-CoV-2 interaction, we used the SSIPe program (Huang et al., 2020). This
228 algorithm mutates selected residues and compares the resulting binding energy to that of human *ACE2*

229 bound to the SARS-CoV-2 RBD as a benchmark (PDB 6M0J). We modeled the full suite of amino acid
230 changes occurring at critical binding sites for all unique ACE2 sequences. We further examined the
231 predicted effect of each individual amino acid change (in isolation) on protein-binding affinity to better
232 understand each residue's contribution to variation in binding affinity.

233

234 **Results**

235

236 ***Variation in ACE2 sequences at critical sites and impact on SARS-CoV-2 binding***

237 We examined variation along the length of the ACE2 protein sequence for the 66 newly sequenced
238 individuals and the ACE2 sequences from the five genomes available at NCBI. Sequences are conserved
239 within, but are variable across, strepsirrhine genera. The mean pairwise amino acid sequence identity
240 along the length of the ACE2 protein within genera is 99.25% (mean amino acid substitutions = 5.85).
241 The mean amino acid sequence identity between lemur genera was 91.67%, and between loriform
242 genera was 92.72%. When we focused solely on the critical binding sites, the pairwise amino acid identity
243 at binding sites within genera was 100%, indicating an absence of any amino acid variation among
244 species in the same genus in our study. Differences between genera are also present at the critical binding
245 sites (Figure 1), especially among lemurs, where the mean pairwise amino acid sequence identity is
246 83.18%. The mean pairwise amino acid sequence identity at binding sites between loriform genera is
247 95.04%.

248

249 We found three novel variants not previously reported for any primate at three critical binding sites: H24
250 (*Arctocebus*, *Perodicticus*), F83 (*Galagoides*), and Q353 (*Cheirogaleus*). The remaining binding site
251 variation is consistent with previous reports. The combination of residues (E24, T82) previously reported
252 for *Eulemur flavifrons*, is also found in other species of *Eulemur*, as well as in *Hapalemur*, *Lemur*, and
253 *Prolemur*. The critical binding site composition found in *Indri indri* (Damas et al., 2020) is not found in
254 any of the genera we sequenced here. The residues at binding sites 37, 42, 355, and 357 are invariant
255 across all strepsirrhines examined. None of the strepsirrhine ACE2 proteins are modeled to have higher
256 binding affinity to SARS-CoV-2 than the human (catarrhine) form (Table 1A). Among the 12 critical
257 sites, the substitutions causing the starkest drop in viral-receptor binding affinity relative to the human
258 sequence are Y41H and M82N (Table 1B). The former substitution is found in all loriforms and in
259 sportive, dwarf, mouse, and giant mouse lemurs. The latter substitution is only identified in *Indri indri*,
260 although a different substitution at the same site occurs in all other strepsirrhines (M82T). Other
261 mutations had lesser effects (Table 1B).

262

263 Looking at taxon-specific predictions based on the entire complement of amino acids at critical binding
264 sites, the species predicted to be most susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection are in the genera *Avahi*,
265 *Propithecus*, and *Daubentonia* (Table 1A). These taxa differ from humans at only one critical binding
266 site, M82T, which is predicted to lower the binding affinity between the ACE2 receptor and the SARS-
267 CoV-2 virus by 5-fold. These genera are followed by species in the genera *Eulemur*, *Lemur*, *Prolemur*
268 and *Varecia*, which differ in one additional (Q24E) substitution, which should further lower the binding
269 affinity by 2-fold. In potentially promising results, we predict that the lorises, galagos, and the dwarf,
270 mouse, giant mouse, and sportive lemurs are far less susceptible to infection than humans. This is
271 primarily due to a Y41H mutation (Figure 2), although additional changes in amino acids at binding sites
272 further lower the affinity between their ACE2 and the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. The
273 decreases in the modeled binding affinity range from 0.9 $\Delta\Delta G$ (kcal/mol) (*Avahi*, *Propithecus*,
274 *Daubentonia*; predicted most susceptible) to 5.0 $\Delta\Delta G$ (kcal/mol) (*Cheirogaleus*, predicted least
275 susceptible), relative to human ACE2 (Table 1A).

276

277 Discussion

278

279 We report *ACE2* gene and protein sequences for 19 genera of strepsirrhine primates, spanning 51 species
280 and 71 individuals, and examine these together with the *Indri indri* *ACE2* protein. We confirm previous
281 reports of the amino acid residue composition at viral binding sites for *Daubentonia*, *Propithecus*,
282 *Eulemur*, *Microcebus*, and *Otolemur* (Damas et al., 2020; Melin et al., 2020). Additionally, we identified
283 three novel variants at the following key binding sites: H24, F8, and Q353. These variants are modeled to
284 be protective, are not found in species reported in the previous analyses of a small subset of strepsirrhine
285 species (Melin et al. 2020), and were also not found among previous analyses of primates more generally.
286 Relative to variation seen in catarrhines and platyrrhines, strepsirrhine ACE2 variation across genera at
287 critical binding sites is remarkably high, especially among lemurs. In addition to reporting new ACE2
288 sequences spanning many strepsirrhine species, we also provide the first examination of intraspecific
289 variation in ACE2 sequences outside of humans and vervet monkeys (Cao et al., 2020; Schmitt et al.,
290 2020; Stawiski et al., 2020). Unlike Schmitt et al. (2020), who found an intraspecific polymorphism at a
291 binding site (D30G) that might impact susceptibility of vervets to SARS-CoV-2 at the individual level,
292 we find that ACE2 proteins are highly conserved within species and within genera, at least for the taxa
293 examined. However, our intraspecific and intrageneric sample sizes (maximally n=4 and n=17,
294 respectively) are small, and low-to-moderate levels of variation at ACE2 binding sites might be
295 discovered as sampling increases. Still, our results broadly indicate that members of the same species and
296 closely related species are likely to share similar initial susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. At higher

297 taxonomic levels, there does appear to be a phylogenetic effect on susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2
298 infection among the strepsirrhines. Within families, there is broad sequence similarity at ACE2 critical
299 binding sites. Accordingly, all unsampled species in the families Lemuridae and Indriidae are likely to be
300 at high risk. The amino acid conservation within the family Cheirogaleidae (*Cheirogaleus*, *Microcebus*,
301 *Mirza*, *Allocebus*) suggests that members of the genus *Phaner*, not sampled here, are likely at low risk.
302 Similarly, we did not sample species in the genera *Sciurocheirus*, *Euoticus*, or *Paragalago*, but if they follow
303 patterns of variation seen among other members of the family Galagidae (*Galagoidea*, *Galago*, *Otolemur*) they
304 should be at relatively low risk. However, given the difference in risk assessments within some members of
305 the same family, e.g., between *Indri* and the other Indriidae (*Avahi* and *Propithecus*), we caution the
306 application of risk assessments across genera, especially when we do not have samples for representative
307 species.

308
309 As with all studies based on predictive modeling, our results require experimental validation and should
310 be interpreted with caution, especially those results which predict that some strepsirrhines might be at
311 lower risk. Additional limitations include that our study examined variation at sites identified to be critical
312 for SARS-CoV-2 viral binding, but did not assess the impact of residues that are not in direct contact with
313 the virus and which may still affect binding allosterically. In addition, we did not examine genetic
314 variation or model the function of the protease (TMPRSS2) that facilitates viral entry post binding
315 (Hoffman et al. 2020), which is anticipated to impact disease progression. We also emphasize that our
316 approach investigates the likely initial susceptibility of species to SARS-CoV-2 infection. The severity of
317 viral infection responses may differ between species and is related to variation in immune and other
318 responses (Lukassen et al., 2020). Nonetheless, the results of *in vivo* infection studies conducted on
319 haplorhine primates and other mammals strongly support the predictions of protein-protein interaction
320 models about the susceptibility of different species to SARS-CoV-2 and the development of COVID-19-
321 like symptoms (Blair et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2020; Rockx et al., 2020; Shan et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2020),
322 supporting the applicability of our results. An additional tangible contribution of our study is that it
323 provides novel sequence data that can be used in site-directed mutagenesis to recreate taxon-specific
324 ACE2 proteins for cellular assays (Guy et al., 2005). At the same time, results predicting high
325 susceptibility among a large number of genera are sufficiently alarming as to warrant special care and
326 attention when interacting with these species in wild and captive management settings, including
327 zoological parks, where humans frequently come into contact with vulnerable species (for example, in
328 walk-through lemur exhibits).

329

330 The predictions of our study suggest that, among the strepsirrhines, the lemurs of the families Indriidae,
331 Daubentoniidae, and, to a lesser extent, Lemuridae are likely to be particularly vulnerable to SARS-CoV-
332 2 infection. Lemurs are considered to be among the most threatened vertebrates globally, with over 94%
333 of extant species being threatened with extinction (IUCN, 2021; Schwitzer et al., 2014). High rates of
334 deforestation that result from changing land-use patterns coupled with high human population growth are
335 among the most potent threats to lemur populations (Elmqvist et al., 2007; Harper et al., 2007). Besides
336 habitat loss, these human-induced disturbances are exposing wild lemur populations to novel interactions
337 with humans and domestic animals, and in so doing increasing risks of disease outbreaks (Barrett et al.,
338 2013). Current knowledge on the disease ecology of wild lemurs suggests that populations that are found
339 in disturbed habitats and those living in areas with a high volume of tourists are at elevated risk of bearing
340 pathogens found in humans, livestock, and other domestic animals (Bublitz et al., 2015; Junge et al.,
341 2011; Rasambainarivo et al., 2013). Furthermore, lemurs in general, including many of the larger-bodied
342 species that are predicted to be most at risk of infection by SARS-CoV-2, are highly vulnerable to new
343 diseases because they are considered to be immunologically naïve, and are unlikely to persist through a
344 major epidemic outbreak (Junge, 2007).

345
346 Historically, conservationists in Madagascar have strived to implement integrative conservation programs
347 to protect the biological uniqueness of the island while leveraging the sustainable development of local
348 communities (e.g., Birkinshaw et al., 2013; Corson, 2017; Dolins et al., 2010; Wright et al., 2012). In
349 addition to the considerable conservation obstacles exacerbated by frequent political unrest and extreme
350 poverty (Schwitzer et al., 2014), initiatives in Madagascar now have the concern of a viral pandemic in
351 the human population, which appears likely to pose a direct and serious risk to many lemurs. Recently,
352 there has been an emergence of integrated conservation programs that include a human health component
353 (e.g., Garchitorena et al., 2018; Mohan & Shellard, 2014), a necessary shift in a country with one of the
354 lowest levels of healthcare system financing in the world (Barmania, 2015). This is particularly urgent
355 given that COVID-19 could potentially infect up to 30% of the human population (Evans et al., 2020).
356 Furthermore, Madagascar lacks a legal framework to guide best research practices to limit exposure of
357 lemur populations to diseases (in contrast, for example, to great apes; see Gilardi et al., 2015). It is
358 important that all stakeholders involved in the conservation of lemurs coordinate to draft such guidelines,
359 which should include safeguards against the close contact between people and lemurs that occur as part of
360 the successful and widespread community-based conservation programs. Such a “One Health” approach
361 is especially important during a global pandemic. At a minimum these concerns should be carefully
362 considered for the species identified as most susceptible to SARS-CoV-2.

363

364 In potentially more promising news, our results indicate that the vulnerability of the lorisooids – and
365 indeed of many of the small-bodied lemurs (e.g. mouse lemurs) – to SARS-CoV-2 infection may be
366 substantially lower. Although the lorisooids as a group are more geographically widespread and (in relative
367 terms) of lower conservation concern than the lemurs, many remain threatened and face critical
368 challenges to their survival. Their cryptic, nocturnal habits may make the impact of emerging infectious
369 diseases especially difficult to monitor in wild populations. Nonetheless, a lower predicted susceptibility
370 to SARS-CoV-2 is a potentially positive result.

371
372 Our results are also likely to be of interest and significance for zoos and captive research facilities around
373 the world that house lemurs, lorises, and galagos. Given the close contact with humans in such
374 environments (often indoors), extra precautions may be necessary, especially when interacting with the
375 likely most at-risk lemurs. These may include many of the measures suggested for those interacting with
376 great ape and other catarrhine populations (Gilardi et al., 2015; Melin et al., 2020), such as: regular testing
377 for SARS-CoV-2, requiring face masks for human researchers and caretakers, imposing quarantines on all
378 individuals ahead of contact, and disinfecting clothes and footwear. The risk of COVID-19 to nonhuman
379 primates has been demonstrated in clinical trials (Lu et al., 2020) and recently exemplified when members
380 of a captive gorilla group tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 after exposure to an asymptomatic keeper and
381 exhibited respiratory symptoms similar to COVID-19 (San Diego Zoo, 2021). It is more difficult to assess
382 the onset of and potential for other symptoms, such as anosmia, but loss of olfactory abilities could be
383 devastating to lemurs and other primates that rely on their sense of smell to communicate, avoid
384 predators, and select foods. Regardless of where species fall in the continuum of potential risk that we
385 have presented here, we stress that it is prudent to take all feasible precautions when interacting with any
386 primate.

387
388 Over the past year, scientists have mobilized with remarkable speed and effectiveness to address the
389 COVID-19 pandemic's impacts on humans, from developing and rolling out new tests, to designing and
390 trialing vaccine candidates. The pandemic also raises major new challenges for field primatologists and
391 other biologists, zookeepers, conservationists, and all those interested in the survival and welfare of
392 primates (Bales, 2020; Douglas et al., 2020; Olival et al., 2020). Conservation efforts have already been
393 severely impeded by the pandemic. National and global lock-downs have made it difficult or impossible
394 for conservationists, primatologists, and wildlife patrol teams to enter their field sites. Governments are
395 preoccupied with efforts to curb the pandemic, and in some cases conservation funding support has been
396 reduced due to financial difficulties and new priorities. While avoiding all contact with wild primates may
397 be ideal from a zoonotic disease containment perspective, it is unlikely to be possible in practice, nor in

398 the overall interest of their conservation (Reid, 2020; Trivedy, 2020). While we hope that our results will
399 serve to inform conservation efforts, resolving the pressures that have resulted from the pandemic will
400 require input from stakeholders with complementary ethical, scientific, and socioeconomic perspectives.
401 It is our hope that as a community, we can rise to the challenge.

402

403 **Acknowledgements**

404

405 We thank the people in the Primate Genome Variation Consortium and BGI Primate Reference
406 Consortium for allowing us to publish partial gene information early due to the urgency for COVID-19
407 research. We thank all persons and groups who shared samples for this research, including many samples
408 from the Duke Lemur Center. This is Duke Lemur Center publication #1472. ADM is supported by the
409 Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC Discovery Grant) and Canada
410 Research Chairs Program. MCJ's postdoctoral appointment is supported by funding from the Natural
411 Environment Research Council (NERC NE/T000341/1). IG and MG acknowledge the support of the
412 Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation through the Instituto de Salud Carlos III and the 2014–2020
413 Smart Growth Operating Program, to the EMBL partnership and cofinancing with the European Regional
414 Development Fund (MINECO/FEDER, BIO2015-71792-P). We also acknowledge the support of the
415 Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa, and the Generalitat de Catalunya through the Departament de Salut,
416 Departament d'Empresa i Coneixement and the CERCA Programme. TMB is supported by funding from
417 the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and
418 innovation program (grant agreement No. 864203), BFU2017-86471-P (MINECO/FEDER, UE), "Unidad
419 de Excelencia María de Maeztu", funded by the AEI (CEX2018-000792-M), Howard Hughes
420 International Early Career, Obra Social "La Caixa" and Secretaria d'Universitats i Recerca and CERCA
421 Programme del Departament d'Economia i Coneixement de la Generalitat de Catalunya (GRC 2017 SGR
422 880). PSA thanks the National Institutes of Health (R35GM130333) for financial support. EL is supported
423 by CGL2017-82654-P (MINECO/FEDER, UE). EDJ and OF's contributions were supported by funds
424 from Howard Hughes Medical Institute and the Rockefeller University. Chris Smith drew the images for
425 Figure 1. The authors would like to thank the Veterinary and Zoology staff at Wildlife Reserves
426 Singapore for their help in obtaining the tissue samples, as well as the Lee Kong Chian Natural History
427 Museum for storage and provision of the tissue samples. Finally, we thank two reviewers for quick and
428 constructive comments, and Karen Bales for her supportive editorial oversight.

429 **Author Contributions**

430 ADM and JPH designed the study. ADM and JPH wrote the paper with input and edits from JDO, MCJ,
431 LK, HR, JR, TMB, SEJ, and PSA. HR led the discussion on implications for lemur conservation in

432 Madagascar. JDO and MCJ conducted genetic analyses with input from ADM. AV, LK, DJ. LK and AN
433 conducted gene sequence generation and alignment. Samples were provided by JEH, CR, ACK, CCK,
434 WKL, JGHL, PT, GU, ML, SM, CJR, AZ, and NA. JR, IG, MG, EL, RM, RAH, KK-HF, WD and GZ
435 contributed to laboratory work, sequencing, genome reference assemblies and bioinformatics. FM ran the
436 protein-protein interaction and substitution models with input from PSA. All authors have approved the
437 final submission for publication.

438

439 **Data Availability Statement**

440 All nucleotide and amino acid sequences used in this study are provided as supplemental text files.

441

442 **Competing Interests**

443 The authors declare no competing financial or non-financial interests.

444

445 **Literature Cited**

446

447 Bales, K. L. (2020). Special Issue: Primates and COVID-19. *American Journal of Primatology*, 82(8),

448 e23174.

449 Barmania, S. (2015). Madagascar's health challenges. *The Lancet*, 386(9995), 729–730.

450 Barrett, M. A., Brown, J. L., Junge, R. E., & Yoder, A. D. (2013). Climate change, predictive modeling

451 and lemur health: Assessing impacts of changing climate on health and conservation in Madagascar.

452 *Biological Conservation*, 157, 409–422.

453 Birkinshaw, C., Lowry, P. P., II, Raharimampionona, J., & Aronson, J. (2013). Supporting target 4 of the

454 Global Strategy for Plant Conservation by integrating ecological restoration into the Missouri

455 Botanical Garden's conservation program in Madagascar. In *Annals of the Missouri Botanical*

456 *Garden* (Vol. 99, Issue 2, pp. 139–146). <https://doi.org/10.3417/2012002>

457 Blair, R. V., Vaccari, M., Doyle-Meyers, L. A., Roy, C. J., Russell-Lodrigue, K., Fahlberg, M., Monjure,

458 C. J., Beddingfield, B., Plante, K. S., Plante, J. A., & Others. (2020). ARDS and cytokine storm in

459 SARS-CoV-2 Infected Caribbean Vervets. *bioRxiv*.

460 <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.18.157933v1.abstract>

461 Bogan, A. A., & Thorn, K. S. (1998). Anatomy of hot spots in protein interfaces. *Journal of Molecular*
462 *Biology*, 280(1), 1–9.

463 Bublitz, D. C., Wright, P. C., Rasambainarivo, F. T., Arrigo-Nelson, S. J., Bodager, J. R., & Gillespie, T.
464 R. (2015). Pathogenic enterobacteria in lemurs associated with anthropogenic disturbance. *American*
465 *Journal of Primatology*, 77(3), 330–337.

466 Cao, Y., Li, L., Feng, Z., Wan, S., Huang, P., Sun, X., Wen, F., Huang, X., Ning, G., & Wang, W. (2020).
467 Comparative genetic analysis of the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2) receptor ACE2
468 in different populations. *Cell Discovery*, 6, 11.

469 Corson, C. (2017). A history of conservation politics in Madagascar. *Madagascar Conservation and*
470 *Development*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.4314/mcd.v12i1>.

471 Damas, J., Hughes, G. M., Keough, K. C., Painter, C. A., Persky, N. S., Corbo, M., Hiller, M., Koepfli,
472 K.-P., Pfenning, A. R., Zhao, H., Genereux, D. P., Swofford, R., Pollard, K. S., Ryder, O. A.,
473 Nweeia, M. T., Lindblad-Toh, K., Teeling, E. C., Karlsson, E. K., & Lewin, H. A. (2020). Broad
474 host range of SARS-CoV-2 predicted by comparative and structural analysis of ACE2 in vertebrates.
475 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, 117(36), 22311–22322.

476 Delgado Blanco, J., Hernandez-Alias, X., Cianferoni, D., & Serrano, L. (2020). In silico mutagenesis of
477 human ACE2 with S protein and translational efficiency explain SARS-CoV-2 infectivity in
478 different species. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 16(12), e1008450.

479 Dolins, F. L., Jolly, A., Rasamimanana, H., Ratsimbazafy, J., Feistner, A. T. C., & Ravoavy, F. (2010).
480 Conservation education in Madagascar: three case studies in the biologically diverse island-
481 continent. *American Journal of Primatology*, 72(5), 391–406.

482 Dong, E., Du, H., & Gardner, L. (2020). An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real
483 time. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 20(5), 533–534.

484 Douglas, I., Champion, M., Clancy, J., Haley, D., Lopes de Souza, M., Morrison, K., Scott, A., Scott, R.,
485 Stark, M., Tippet, J., Tryjanowski, P., & Webb, T. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic: local to global
486 implications as perceived by urban ecologists. *Socio-Ecological Practice Research*, 2(3), 217–228.

487 Elmqvist, T., Pyykönen, M., Tengö, M., Rakotondrasoa, F., Rabakonandrianina, E., & Radimilahy, C.
488 (2007). Patterns of loss and regeneration of tropical dry forest in Madagascar: the social institutional
489 context. *PloS One*, 2(5), e402.

490 Evans, M. V., Garchitorena, A., Rakotonanahary, R. J. L., Drake, J. M., Andriamihaja, B., Rajaonarifara,
491 E., Ngonghala, C. N., Roche, B., Bonds, M. H., & Rakotonirina, J. (2020). Reconciling model
492 predictions with low reported cases of COVID-19 in Sub-Saharan Africa: insights from Madagascar.
493 *Global Health Action*, 13(1), 1816044.

494 Garchitorena, A., Miller, A. C., Cordier, L. F., Rabeza, V. R., Randriamanambintsoa, M., Razanadrakato,
495 H.-T. R., Hall, L., Gikic, D., Haruna, J., McCarty, M., Randrianambinina, A., Thomson, D. R.,
496 Atwood, S., Rich, M. L., Murray, M. B., Ratsirarson, J., Ouenzar, M. A., & Bonds, M. H. (2018).
497 Early changes in intervention coverage and mortality rates following the implementation of an
498 integrated health system intervention in Madagascar. *BMJ Global Health*, 3(3), e000762.

499 Gilardi, K. V., Gillespie, T. R., Leendertz, F. H., Macfie, E. J., Travis, D. A., Whittier, C. A., Williamson,
500 E. A., & Others. (2015). Best practice guidelines for health monitoring and disease control in great
501 ape populations. *Occasional Papers of the IUCN Species Survival Commission*, 56.
502 https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Thomas_Gillespie/publication/289539319_Best_Practice_Guidelines_for_Health_Monitoring_and_Disease_Control_in_Great_Ape_Populations/links/5690000908aecd716aedcfcd/Best-Practice-Guidelines-for-Health-Monitoring-and-Disease-Control-in-Great-Ape-Populations.pdf

506 Gillespie, T. R., & Leendertz, F. H. (2020). COVID-19: protect great apes during human pandemics.
507 *Nature*, 579(7800), 497.

508 Guy, J. L., Jackson, R. M., Jensen, H. A., Hooper, N. M., & Turner, A. J. (2005). Identification of critical
509 active-site residues in angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2) by site-directed mutagenesis. *The*
510 *FEBS Journal*, 272(14), 3512–3520.

511 Harper, G. J., Steininger, M. K., Tucker, C. J., Juhn, D., & Hawkins, F. (2007). Fifty years of
512 deforestation and forest fragmentation in Madagascar. *Environmental Conservation*, 34(4), 325–333.

513 Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., Schroeder, S., Krüger, N., Herrler, T., Erichsen, S., Schiergens, T. S.,
514 Herrler, G., Wu, N.-H., Nitsche, A., Müller, M. A., Drosten, C., & Pöhlmann, S. (2020). SARS-CoV-
515 2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease
516 inhibitor. *Cell*, *181*(2), 271–280.e8.

517 Huang, X., Zheng, W., Pearce, R., & Zhang, Y. (2020). SSIPe: accurately estimating protein–protein
518 binding affinity change upon mutations using evolutionary profiles in combination with an
519 optimized physical energy function. *Bioinformatics*, *36*(8), 2429–2437.

520 IUCN. (2021). *The IUCN red list of threatened species. Version 2020-3*. IUCN Gland, CH, and
521 Cambridge, UK. <https://www.iucnredlist.org>

522 Jochim, A. L., & Arora, P. S. (2010). Systematic analysis of helical protein interfaces reveals targets for
523 synthetic inhibitors. *ACS Chemical Biology*, *5*(10), 919–923.

524 Junge, R. E. (2007). Overview on the health and disease ecology of wild lemurs: conservation
525 implications. In L. Gould & M. L. Sauther (Eds.), *Lemurs: Ecology and Adaptation* (pp. 423–440).
526 Springer US.

527 Junge, R. E., Barrett, M. A., & Yoder, A. D. (2011). Effects of anthropogenic disturbance on indri (*Indri*
528 *indri*) health in Madagascar. *American Journal of Primatology*, *73*(7), 632–642.

529 Kortemme, T., & Baker, D. (2002). A simple physical model for binding energy hot spots in protein–
530 protein complexes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
531 *America*, *99*(22), 14116–14121.

532 Kortemme, T., Kim, D. E., & Baker, D. (2004). Computational alanine scanning of protein-protein
533 interfaces. *Science's STKE: Signal Transduction Knowledge Environment*, *2004*(219), 12.

534 Lan, J., Ge, J., Yu, J., Shan, S., Zhou, H., Fan, S., Zhang, Q., Shi, X., Wang, Q., Zhang, L., & Wang, X.
535 (2020). Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor.
536 *Nature*, *581*, 215–220.

537 Li, J., Guo, M., Tian, X., Wang, X., Yang, X., Wu, P., Liu, C., Xiao, Z., Qu, Y., Yin, Y., Wang, C.,
538 Zhang, Y., Zhu, Z., Liu, Z., Peng, C., Zhu, T., & Liang, Q. (2021). Virus-host interactome and

539 proteomic survey reveal potential virulence factors influencing SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis. *Med,*
540 2(1), 99–112.e7.

541 Liu, Y., Hu, G., Wang, Y., Zhao, X., Ji, F., Ren, W., Gong, M., Ju, X., Li, C., Hong, J., Zhu, Y., Cai, X.,
542 Wu, J., Lan, X., Xie, Y., Wang, X., Yuan, Z., Zhang, R., & Ding, Q. (2021). Functional and genetic
543 analysis of viral receptor ACE2 orthologs reveals a broad potential host range of SARS-CoV-2. In
544 *bioRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.22.046565>

545 Lukassen, S., Chua, R. L., Trefzer, T., Kahn, N. C., Schneider, M. A., Muley, T., Winter, H., Meister, M.,
546 Veith, C., Boots, A. W., & Others. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 and TMPRSS2 are
547 primarily expressed in bronchial transient secretory cells. *The EMBO Journal*, 39, e105114.

548 Lu, S., Zhao, Y., Yu, W., Yang, Y., Gao, J., Wang, J., Kuang, D., Yang, M., Yang, J., Ma, C., Xu, J., Li,
549 H., Zhao, S., Li, J., Wang, H., Long, H., Zhou, J., Luo, F., Ding, K., ... Peng, X. (2020). Comparison
550 of SARS-CoV-2 infections among 3 species of non-human primates. In *bioRxiv* (p.
551 2020.04.08.031807). <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.08.031807>

552 Mahase, E. (2020). Covid-19: UK approves Oxford vaccine as cases of new variant surge. *BMJ*, 371,
553 m4968.

554 Massova, I., & Kollman, P. A. (1999). Computational alanine scanning to probe protein- protein
555 interactions: a novel approach to evaluate binding free energies. *Journal of the American Chemical*
556 *Society*, 121(36), 8133–8143.

557 Melin, A. D., Janiak, M. C., Marrone, F., Arora, P. S., & Higham, J. P. (2020). Comparative ACE2
558 variation and primate COVID-19 risk. *Communications Biology*, 3(1), 1–9.

559 Mohan, V., & Shellard, T. (2014). Providing family planning services to remote communities in areas of
560 high biodiversity through a Population-Health-Environment programme in Madagascar.
561 *Reproductive Health Matters*, 22(43), 93–103.

562 Olival, K. J., Cryan, P. M., Amman, B. R., Baric, R. S., Blehert, D. S., Brook, C. E., Calisher, C. H.,
563 Castle, K. T., Coleman, J. T. H., Daszak, P., Epstein, J. H., Field, H., Frick, W. F., Gilbert, A. T.,
564 Hayman, D. T. S., Ip, H. S., Karesh, W. B., Johnson, C. K., Kading, R. C., ... Wang, L.-F. (2020).

565 Possibility for reverse zoonotic transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to free-ranging wildlife: A case study
566 of bats. *PLoS Pathogens*, 16(9), e1008758.

567 Raj, M., Bullock, B. N., & Arora, P. S. (2013). Plucking the high hanging fruit: A systematic approach for
568 targeting protein–protein interactions. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry*, 21(14), 4051–4057.

569 Rasambainarivo, F. T., Gillespie, T. R., Wright, P. C., Arsenault, J., Villeneuve, A., & Lair, S. (2013).
570 Survey of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in lemurs from the Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar.
571 *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, 49(3), 741–743.

572 Reid, M. J. C. (2020). Is 2020 the year when primatologists should cancel fieldwork? *American Journal*
573 *of Primatology*, 82(8), e23161.

574 Rockx, B., Kuiken, T., Herfst, S., Bestebroer, T., Lamers, M. M., Oude Munnink, B. B., de Meulder, D.,
575 van Amerongen, G., van den Brand, J., Okba, N. M. A., Schipper, D., van Run, P., Leijten, L.,
576 Sikkema, R., Verschoor, E., Verstrepen, B., Bogers, W., Langermans, J., Drosten, C., ... Haagmans,
577 B. L. (2020). Comparative pathogenesis of COVID-19, MERS, and SARS in a nonhuman primate
578 model. *Science*, 368(6494), 1012–1015.

579 San Diego Zoo. (2021, January 11). Gorilla troop at the San Diego Zoo Safari Park test positive for
580 COVID-19. *Press Release*. [https://zoo.sandiegozoo.org/pressroom/news-releases/gorilla-troop-san-](https://zoo.sandiegozoo.org/pressroom/news-releases/gorilla-troop-san-diego-zoo-safari-park-test-positive-covid-19)
581 [diego-zoo-safari-park-test-positive-covid-19](https://zoo.sandiegozoo.org/pressroom/news-releases/gorilla-troop-san-diego-zoo-safari-park-test-positive-covid-19)

582 Santora, M., & Wolfe, L. (2021, January 15). Covid death toll over 2 million worldwide. *The New York*
583 *Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/15/world/covid-death-news.html>

584 Schmitt, C. A., Bergey, C. M., Jasinska, A. J., Ramensky, V., Burt, F., Svardal, H., Jorgensen, M. J.,
585 Freimer, N. B., Grobler, J. P., & Turner, T. R. (2020). ACE2 and TMPRSS2 variation in savanna
586 monkeys (*Chlorocebus* spp.): Potential risk for zoonotic/anthroponotic transmission of SARS-CoV-2
587 and a potential model for functional studies. *PloS One*, 15(6), e0235106.

588 Schwitzer, C., Mittermeier, R. A., Johnson, S. E., Donati, G., Irwin, M., Peacock, H., Ratsimbazafy, J.,
589 Razafindramanana, J., Louis, E. E., Jr, Chikhi, L., Colquhoun, I. C., Tinsman, J., Dolch, R., LaFleur,
590 M., Nash, S., Patel, E., Randrianambinina, B., Rasolofoharivelo, T., & Wright, P. C. (2014).

591 Averting lemur extinctions amid Madagascar's political crisis. *Science*, 343(6173), 842–843.

592 Shan, C., Yao, Y.-F., Yang, X.-L., Zhou, Y.-W., Wu, J., Gao, G., Peng, Y., Yang, L., Hu, X., Xiong, J.,
593 Jiang, R.-D., Zhang, H.-J., Gao, X.-X., Peng, C., Min, J., Chen, Y., Si, H.-R., Zhou, P., Wang, Y.-Y.,
594 ... Yuan, Z.-M. (2020). Infection with novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) causes pneumonia in
595 Rhesus macaques. *Cell Res.*, 30(8), 670–677.

596 Shang, J., Ye, G., Shi, K., Wan, Y., Luo, C., Aihara, H., Geng, Q., Auerbach, A., & Li, F. (2020).
597 Structural basis of receptor recognition by SARS-CoV-2. *Nature*, 581, 221–224.

598 Shi, J., Wen, Z., Zhong, G., Yang, H., Wang, C., Huang, B., Liu, R., He, X., Shuai, L., Sun, Z., Zhao, Y.,
599 Liu, P., Liang, L., Cui, P., Wang, J., Zhang, X., Guan, Y., Tan, W., Wu, G., ... Bu, Z. (2020).
600 Susceptibility of ferrets, cats, dogs, and other domesticated animals to SARS-coronavirus 2. *Science*,
601 368(6494), 1016–1020.

602 Stawiski, E. W., Diwanji, D., Suryamohan, K., Gupta, R., Fellouse, F. A., Fah Sathirapongsasuti, J., Liu,
603 J., Jiang, Y.-P., Ratan, A., Mis, M., Santhosh, D., Somasekar, S., Mohan, S., Phalke, S., Kuriakose,
604 B., Antony, A., Junutula, J. R., Schuster, S. C., Jura, N., & Seshagiri, S. (2020). Human ACE2
605 receptor polymorphisms predict SARS-CoV-2 susceptibility. In *bioRxiv* (p. 2020.04.07.024752).
606 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.07.024752>

607 Trivedy, C. (2020). Is 2020 the year when primatologists should cancel fieldwork? A reply. *American*
608 *Journal of Primatology*, 82(8), e23173.

609 Wang, Q., Zhang, Y., Wu, L., Niu, S., Song, C., Zhang, Z., Lu, G., Qiao, C., Hu, Y., Yuen, K.-Y., Wang,
610 Q., Zhou, H., Yan, J., & Qi, J. (2020). Structural and functional basis of SARS-CoV-2 entry by using
611 human ACE2. *Cell*, 181(4), 894–904.e9.

612 World Health Organization. (2020, December 9). WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard.
613 <https://covid19.who.int/>

614 Wright, P. C., Erhart, E. M., Tecot, S., Baden, A. L., Arrigo-Nelson, S. J., Herrera, J., Morelli, T. L.,
615 Blanco, M. B., Deppe, A., Atsalis, S., Johnson, S., Ratelolahy, F., Tan, C., & Zohdy, S. (2012).
616 Long-Term Lemur Research at Centre Valbio, Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar. In P. M.

617 Kappeler & D. P. Watts (Eds.), *Long-Term Field Studies of Primates* (pp. 67–100). Springer Berlin
618 Heidelberg.

619 Wu, L., Chen, Q., Liu, K., Wang, J., Han, P., Zhang, Y., Hu, Y., Meng, Y., Pan, X., Qiao, C., Tian, S.,
620 Du, P., Song, H., Shi, W., Qi, J., Wang, H.-W., Yan, J., Gao, G. F., & Wang, Q. (2020). Broad host
621 range of SARS-CoV-2 and the molecular basis for SARS-CoV-2 binding to cat ACE2. *Cell*
622 *Discovery*, 6(1), 68.

623 Yan, R., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Xia, L., Guo, Y., & Zhou, Q. (2020). Structural basis for the recognition of
624 SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. *Science*, 367(6485), 1444–1448.

625