

Swansea University Prifysgol Abertawe

Surface Engineering of Solid-State **Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells**

<u>Rosie Anthony</u>^{a*}, Arthur Connell^a, Leo Furnell^a, Christopher P. Kershaw^a, Diana Mezarojas^a, Eurig W. Jones^a, Dawn Geatches^b, Sebastian Metz^b, Kakali Sen^b, Peter J. Holliman^a

> ^a College of Engineering, Swansea University, Bay Campus, Swansea, SA1 8EN, UK ^b Scientific Computing Department, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, Warrington, UK

Abstract

The way that molecules interact with interfaces play a key role in the manufacture and performance of solid-state dye-sensitized solar cells (ssDSC). In order to maximise the performance of ssDSC, it is important to understand the interactions and to subsequently optimise them. The key interactions within the process, are the wetting and subsequent dyeing of the TiO₂ layer by immersion dyeing, ultra-fast pump dyeing or spin coating. During the device making process, the dyes will arrange themselves in the configuration that results from lowest energy orientation, even if this is less favourable in terms of device performance^{1, 2, 3}. This poster describes our current work on the effect of TiO₂ thickness on dye loading and device performance.

Introduction

Electrical and optical losses lower device power conversion efficiency⁴. Thus, optimising device structure is key in maximising efficiency and light harvesting. Factors that contribute to this include titania thickness, dye ε and optical density. As efficiency is a result of the function of both optical bandgap and the loss-in-potential, if voltage losses can be reduced device efficiency should increase.

Results and Discussion

C₄H₉-O

 C_4H_9-O

Highest performing LEG 4 devices, in terms of η (%), J_{sc} and V_{oc} , were those with the thinnest $(0.8\mu m)$ titania layer.



TiO₂ TCO substrate

> Surface interaction of molecules also play a key role in the performance of dye cells, thus it is key to understand these interactions when optimising device structure.

Experimental

Substrates were cleaned in acetone and O_2 L1 plasma before a TiO₂ blocking layer was spin coated at 5000 rpm for 30 s with 2000 rpm s⁻¹ sintered acceleration and 550°C. at Mesoporous titania electrodes were then prepared with 4 deposition methods to achieve different thicknesses of titania (0.8µm, $1.6\mu m$, $6.5\mu m$ and $11.6\mu m$) and sintered at 550**R6** °C. The TiO₂ electrodes were dyed in a 0.5mM dye bath for 12h. 150mM Spiro-OMeTAD (doped with 20mM LiTSFI and 200mM TBP) was deposited as the HTM and gold contacts 8.0 (µm) 11.6 were evaporated onto the device.



Monolaye



200 250 300 350 400 450 500 550 600 650 700 750 800 Wavelength (nm)

Surface orientation of dyes on titania is important to device performance. If there is too thick a layer of titania, the extra sites for dye anchorage are overcome by a large insulating layer, whilst the HTM cannot percolate through to fill all of the pores.

> 0.8 µm 2.0 µm 11.6 μm 6.5 μm

Acknowledgments We thank EPSRC (EP/P030068/1 – RA, DMR, DG, SM, KS; EP/M015254/2 – EWJ, AC), Welsh Govt for Sêr Cymru (PJH) and European **Regional Development Fund** and Welsh European Funding Office for funding (LF, CK).

Conclusions and next steps

• Dye loading:

• Optimisation by minimising voltage

extinction coefficients — optical density

• Orientation – what really is a monolayer?

losses (energy level alignment).

References

- 1. P.J. Holliman, C. Kershaw, A. Connell, E.W. Jones, R. Hobbs, R. Anthony, L. Furnell, J. McGettrick, D. Geatches and S. Metz. A perspective on using experiment and theory to identify design principles in dye-sensitised solar cells, Sci. Tech. Adv. Mater., 2018, 19(1), 599.
- 2. P.J. Holliman, M. Mohsen, A. Connell, M.L. Davies, K. Al-Salihi, M.B. Pitak, G.J. Tizzard, S.J. Coles, R.W. Harrington, W. Clegg, C. Serpa, O.H. Fontes, C. Charbonneau and M.J. Carnie, Ultra-fast co-sensitization and tri-sensitization of dye-sensitised solar cells with N719, SQ1 and triarylamine dye, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 13318.
- 3. A. Connell, P.J. Holliman, E.W. Jones, L. Furnell, C. Kershaw, M.L. Davies, C.D. Gwenin, M.B. Pitak, S.J. Coles and G. Cooke, Multiple linker half-squarylium dyes for dye-sensitized solar cells; are two linkers better than one? J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 2883.
- 4. H. Snaith, Estimating the Maximum Attainable Efficiency in Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells, Adv. Func. Mater., 2010, 20, 13.



