Expert Opinion On Investigational Drugs



Please download and read the instructions before proceeding to the peer review

What can independent research for Mesothelioma achieve to treat this orphan disease?

Journal:	Expert Opinion On Investigational Drugs
Manuscript ID	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Review (Invited)
Keywords:	chemotherapy, drug repositioning, immunotherapy, independent research, malignant pleural mesothelioma, small molecules, targeted therapy



1. Introduction

Malignant mesothelioma is a highly lethal and rare malignant neoplasm with poor prognosis¹. Mesothelioma mainly arises from mesothelial cells lining the pleura (approximately 80% of cases; malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM)) and peritoneum (approximately 20% of cases) whilst very rare mesothelioma cases have been reported to originate from the pericardium and tunica vaginalis². Between the critical factors leading to mesothelioma, exposure to asbestos is considered the primary cause, as asbestos exposure results in of chronic inflammation in the mesothelium promoting the carcinogenic processes ³. Radiation and simian virus 40 (SV40) are additional agents suspected to cause MPM⁴. Recently, it has been reported that individuals bearing BRCA1 associated protein-1 (BAP1) mutations could be genetically predisposed to MPM since families with germline BAP1 mutations develop MPM without any exposure to asbestos ⁵. In addition, Nasu et al. reported that the high percentage of *BAP1* mutations were found in sporadic MPM (>60%) suggesting that BAP1 is the most mutated gene in MPM and a potential "driver" in MPM pathogenesis ⁶. Other relevant genes found highly altered in MPM patients are NF2 (75% of the cases) and CDKN2A (60% of the cases). MPM is characterized by three different histological subtypes: the most frequent is epithelioid, which has a better prognosis than the sarcomatoid and biphasic (mixed of epithelioid and sarcomatoid subtypes) histologies. MPM occurs most frequently in adult males, with a sex ratio of approximately 3.6:1. The disease is usually diagnosed 30 to 40 years after occupational asbestos exposure and the mean age at the diagnosis is usually at 70 years ⁷. The lack of accurate and reliable biomarkers for detecting early stage of MPM makes this cancer very difficult to diagnose and treat leading to poor prognosis as the survival rate after diagnosis is around 9-14 months⁸. Recently, studies have shown that BAP1 immunohistochemistry (IHC) and p16 fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) are reliable markers of malignancy in biopsies of mesothelioma 9. Analysis based on 2008 data reported an average of 14200 new cases registered each year worldwide ¹⁰. The worldwide incidence of MPM has increased and it is estimated that a peak will be reached between 2015 to 2030. High incidence rates have been recorded in the USA, the UK, Australia and Italy¹¹ and it has been predicted to increase further in the future, in particular in developing countries where asbestos has not been banned yet ¹².

Once MPM is diagnosed, clinical staging is used to assess a prognostic score and decide the best treatment option. The most used staging classification system is the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system, that is based on TNM (tumour, node, metastasis) classification ¹³. Treatment options vary according to the TNM stage of cancer. Surgery is recommended only for selected patients with early-stage disease and stable health conditions ¹². Other options are a multimodality regimen, which consists of a combination of chemotherapy, surgery, and radiotherapy. The current standard first-line systemic treatment is combination chemotherapy of cisplatin and antifolate ¹². Nevertheless, the clinical benefit of this combination treatment over other therapeutic approaches is not clear ⁷. The reasons for the disappointing effects of current therapies are not clear, therefore there is an increased need to understand why some patients respond to immunotherapy and others do not. This review focusses on independent preclinical and clinical research related to mesothelioma selected by only the clinical trials funded by Universities, National Institutes of Health (NIH) and charitable organisations from <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/</u>, closing with an expert opinion from a translational research team.

Body 2.1. The failure of the current treatments

The current clinical trials for patients with MPM are mainly based on combination of standard chemotherapy plus one or more emerging agents (**Table 1**). In 2003, Vogelzang et al. published a clinical study which has been established as standard first line treatment using pemetrexed in combination with cisplatin for MPM patients in advanced stage disease but this combination confers a median progression-free survival (PFS) of 5.7 months ¹⁴. Many other studies have been initiated to investigate the effect of combinatory treatments as first line treatment for MPM. For instance, Van Meerbeck et al. set a phase III trial which provided confirmatory evidence that a combination of cisplatin and gemcitabine ^{16, 17}, pemetrexed and carboplatin ¹⁸. ¹⁹, bortezomib and cisplatin ²⁰ but all have shown lack of improvement in overall survival (OS) and PFS. Most recently current standard chemotherapy is combined with additional drugs, for example, cisplatin and pemetrexed were combined with bevacizumab ^{21, 22}, or Imatinib Mesylate ²³ with Amatuximab ²⁴. The most successful clinical trial is the Mesothelioma Avastin Cisplatin Pemetrexed Study (MAPS) where the OS is significantly improved by two months compared to chemotherapy only.

Several biases are met in the clinical trials for MPM patients, which could be the cause of the ineffective current experimental therapies. The principal hallmarks for the design of high quality trials are randomisation, blinding, adequate power, and a clinically relevant patient population ²⁵. Mesothelioma clinical trials rarely met all these parameters mainly due to the size of the population investigated. MPM is an orphan disease because it is rare compared to other cancers and once it has been diagnosed the survival is very poor (less than one year), which poses difficulties in the investigation of the long-term effects of a studied drug. Moreover, this cancer has high genetic and phenotypic intra-tumoral heterogeneity with additional differences of the spatial and temporal evolution of MPM, during the treatment, which increases the complications on treatment decisions ²⁶.

Table	1. Summ	ary of comple	ete clinical	l trials using	chemotherap	y with or	without	other trea	atments	for
MPM	patients.	The selected	studies we	ere funded by	Universities,	National	Institutes	of Health	(NIH)	and
charita	ble organi	sations from h	ttps://clinic	<u>altrials.gov/</u> .						

Title	# pts	Phases	Sponsor/Collaborators	NCT Number
Intrapleural Photodynamic Therapy in a Multimodal Treatment for Patients With Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	6	Phase II	University Hospital, Lille Institut National de la Santé Et de la Recherche Médicale, France University of Pennsylvania Région Nord-Pas de Calais, France	NCT02662504
Vascularity Impact on the Treatment Outcome in Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma(VITMPM)	50		Ain Shams University	NCT02603315
Active Symptom Control With or Without Chemotherapy in Treating Patients With Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	840	Phase III	Medical Research Council National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00075699

Isolated Thoracic Perfusion (ITP-F) for MPM	23		Medias Klinikum for Surgical Oncology	NCT02467426
Pemetrexed Disodium and Cisplatin Followed By Surgery and Radiation Therapy in Treating Patients With Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	59	Phase II	European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer – EORTC	NCT00227630
Pemetrexed Disodium and Cisplatin Followed by Surgery With or Without Radiation Therapy in Treating Patients With Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	153	Phase II	Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research	NCT00334594
Mesothelioma Avastin Plus Pemetrexed- cisplatin Study	448	Phase II Phase III	Intergroupe Francophone de Cancerologie Thoracique University Hospital, Caen Groupe Francais De Pneumo- Cancerologie	NCT00651456
Effect of FAS and FAS Ligand Polymorphisms on Patients With Platinum- Based -Treated Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	68		Ain Shams University	NCT02269878
Study of Carboplatin and Vinorelbine in Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	40	Phase II	Rigshospitalet, Denmark	NCT00272558
Gemcitabine in Long Infusion and Cisplatin for Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma Treatment	78	Phase II	Institute of Oncology Ljubljana Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology, Solvenia	NCT01243632
Phase II Study of IMC-A12 in Patients With Mesothelioma Who Have Been Previously Treated With Chemotherapy	20	Phase II	National Cancer Institute (NCI) National Institutes of Health Clinical Center (CC)	NCT01160458
Extrapleural Pneumonectomy /Pleurectomy Decortication, IHOC Cisplatin and Gemcitabine With Amifostine and Sodium Thiosulfate Cytoprotection for Resectable Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	141	Phase I	Brigham and Women's Hospital Dana-Farber Cancer Institute	NCT00571298
Pilot Study of Allogeneic Tumor Cell Vaccine With Metronomic Oral Cyclophosphamide and Celecoxib in Patients Undergoing Resection of Lung and Esophageal Cancers, Thymic Neoplasms, and Malignant Pleural Mesotheliomas	10	Phase I	National Cancer Institute (NCI) National Institutes of Health Clinical Center (CC)	NCT01143545
A Phase II Study of PF-03446962 in Patients With Advanced Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	17	Phase II	NCIC Clinical Trials Group Canadian Cancer Trials Group	NCT01486368
Pleurectomy/Decortication Followed by Intrathoracic/Intraperitoneal Heated Cisplatin for Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	70	Phase I	Dana-Farber Cancer Institute Brigham and Women's Hospital	NCT00165555
Phase II Study of Bevacizumab, Pemetrexed and Carboplatin as First-Line Therapy in Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	77	Phase II	Armando Santoro, MD Istituto Humanitas	NCT00407459
Cisplatin With or Without Raltitrexed in Treating Patients With Malignant Mesothelioma of the Pleura	256	Phase III	European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer – EORTC	NCT00004920
Cisplatin With or Without Pemetrexed Disodium in Treating Patients With Malignant Mesothelioma of the Pleura That Cannot be Removed by Surgery	Null	Phase III	Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00005636
Cisplatin, Interferon Alfa, Surgery, and Radiation Therapy in Treating Patients With Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	6	Phase I	Fox Chase Cancer Center National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00003263
Pemetrexed Plus Gemcitabine or Carboplatin for Patients With Advanced Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	32	Phase II	Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group National Cancer Institute	NCT00101283

			(NCI) North Central Cancer Treatment Group	
Pemetrexed, Cisplatin, and Vitamin B12 in	60	Phase II	Centre Oscar	NCT00541073
Treating Patients With Mesothelioma of the Chest That Cannot Be Removed by Surgery			Lambret National Cancer	
Decitabine in Treating Patients With Unresectable Lung or Esophageal Cancer or Malignant Mesothelioma of the Pleura	Null	Phase I	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00019825
S9810: Gemcitabine Plus Cisplatin in Treating Patients With Malignant Mesothelioma of the Pleura That Cannot Be Removed by Surgery	57	Phase II	Southwest Oncology Group National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00003723
Combination Chemotherapy Before Surgery in Treating Patients With Mesothelioma of the Lung	61	Phase II	Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research	NCT00030745
Dendritic Cell-based Immunotherapy Combined With Low-dose Cyclophosphamide in Patients With Malignant Mesothelioma	10	Phase I	Erasmus Medical Center	NCT01241682

2.2. New potential targets in MPM

2.2.1 Immunotherapy

One of the "hot" topics regarding cancer treatment is immunotherapy which aims to educate immune system components to trigger an effective immune response to kill cancer cells. Several immunotherapeutic strategies have been developed and investigated ²⁷. Immunotherapy consists of multiple strategies including the engineering of antibodies or immune cells to enhance their anti-tumour effect or stimulating the immune system to induce an effective immune response ²⁸. This strategy has been investigated in several tumours and the FDA has approved immunotherapy for treating melanoma, lung cancer, kidney cancer, and other cancers ²⁹⁻³¹. Several clinical trials assessed the effect, safety and tolerance of immunotherapy in mesothelioma and here we reported only clinical trials that have been supported by NIH, Universities and no-profit organisations (**Table 2**) (**Figure 1**).

An example of immunotherapy applied to mesothelioma is the use of immunotoxic antibodies against mesothelin. Mesothelin is a 40 kDa glycoprotein with low expression in normal human tissues and high expression in many cancers, therefore this protein is an attractive antigen for antibody-based immunotherapy ³². SS1(dsFv)PE38 (SS1P) is a recombinant immunotoxin against mesothelin that consists of a murine antimesothelin variable antibody fragment (Fv) bound to PE38, a truncated portion of Pseudomonas exotoxin A ³². Two clinical trials have been assessed and supported by NIH, one (NCT01362790) investigated the effect of SS1P in combination with standard chemotherapy combination. The results showed that SS1P is well tolerated when given in combination with standard chemotherapy and 10 (77%) had a partial response, 1 had stable disease, and 2 had progressive disease ³². The other study assessed how effective SS1P is when it is given with pentostatin and cyclophosphamide (NCT01445392). The results have not been published yet.

Gene therapy in combination with immunotherapeutic option has been investigated in a phase I study using an adenoviral vector expressing interferon- β (Ad.IFN- β) in 17 patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma or malignant pleural effusions (NCT00066404)³³. After 2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

60

months, modified (Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors) RECIST responses were as follows: one partial response, two stable diseases, nine progressive diseases, and two non-measurable diseases. One patient died after 1 month.

One of the most adopted immunotherapy strategies for cancer treatment is use of antibodies that block immune checkpoints. These monoclonal antibodies inhibit the immune checkpoints by preventing the receptors and ligands from binding to each other, thereby blocking the signalling that promotes cancer survival by evading T-cell-mediated death ³⁴. The immune checkpoint receptors cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated 4 (CTLA-4) and programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) are expressed on the surface of cytotoxic T-cells and interact with their ligands binding of B7-1 (cluster CD80) and programmed death ligand-1 (PDL-1) on antigen presenting cells to promote cancer survival ³⁵. Several immune checkpoint inhibitors have been approved including ipilimumab (an anti-CTLA-4 agent), nivolumab and pembrolizumab against anti-PD-1, nivolumab, and pembrolizumab as PDL-1 inhibitor, atezolizumab against PDL-1 for treating cancers such multiple melanomas, lung and ovarian cancer ³⁶. Pembrolizumab has been investigated alone (NCT02399371) in MPM patients previously treated in a phase II study. 35 patients were enrolled and the median PFS was 6.2 months and median OS has not been reached with a high level of toxicity (grade 3/4 and 1/2). Nivolumab (Nivo) and ipilimumab (Ipi) have been assessed as 2nd/3rd line treatment in 125 patients. Generally, disease control rate (DCR) is <30% with the current drugs tested in 2nd-line but the results from the phase II clinical trials reported that twelve weeks-DCR was 42.6% with Nivo, and 51.9% with Nivo+Ipi. In the combo arm, grade/G3-4 toxicities were slightly increased compared to Nivo alone (86.9%/16.4%) vs (77.8%/9.5%) and 3 treatment-related deaths were observed 37.

Dendritic cell-based immunotherapy includes vaccinations based on the use of dendritic cells (DCs). DCs are the most potent APCs and induce the activation and proliferation of cytotoxic CD8+ and helper CD4+ T lymphocytes to eliminate cancer cells ³⁸. DCs vaccines are developed ex vivo and injected as tumour antigen pulsed dendritic cells ³⁹. This therapeutic approach has been investigated in mesothelioma. The administration of tumour lysate-pulsed dendritic cells was assessed in a clinical trial with 9 patients with mesothelioma. The aim of the study was to evaluate the safety and immunological response induced by the treatment. The results showed that the vaccination was safe with no grade 3 or 4 toxic effects, only moderate fever and after three vaccinations, cytotoxic activity against autologous tumour cells were detected in a subgroup of patients. Median survival was 19 months but nine patients died of disease; one patient is alive with disease (NCT02395679)⁴⁰. Although immunotherapy has some beneficial effects on some solid tumours, in MPM the response has been disappointing so far and there are many concerns with regard to its true impact ⁴¹. Since the role of the immune system in MPM is multifaceted, research should focus on the tumour microenvironment characteristics such hypoxia and the chronic inflammatory state, tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs), T regulatory cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts. In addition, the interaction of genetic instability and the environment promote even further the development and progression of this cancer. Therefore, targeting one of these pathways or a combination could provide promising outcomes when combined to immunotherapy and the only way to achieve these results is funding basic research not directly aimed at the translation of what already known for other tumours but paving new MPM-tailored immunotherapies⁴²⁻⁴⁴.

2.2.2 Multi-targeted therapy

2.2.2.1 Tyrosine-kinase inhibitors (TKI)

For the past decades, the knowledge about cancer biology has increased exponentially, therefore to overcome the low clinical benefit of chemotherapeutic approaches, clinical and experimental research was focussed on developing and investigating the role of small molecule inhibitors to target several molecular pathways involved in carcinogenesis. The first molecules that were targeted are growth factors, which promote uncontrolled tumour growth and tumour angiogenesis. These molecules are part of a big family of transmembrane tyrosine kinase receptors (TKRs), including epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), insulin-like growth factor (IGF) and vascular endothelial growth factor receptors (VEGFRs)². Several studies showed that EGFR protein is overexpressed in more than 50% of MPM cases. From five clinical trials enrolling mesothelioma patients treated with erlotinib, four were sponsored by NIH or other sponsors (Table 2). A phase II study enrolled 63 previously untreated MPM patients to investigate the effect of erlotinib. Immunohistochemical analysis of EGFR has shown that 75 % of patients tumours highly expressed EGFR, but nonetheless, there was no response for 33 patients with measurable disease, median OS was 10 months and PFS was only 2 months. Therefore, single-agent erlotinib was not effective in MPM (NCT00039182)⁴⁵. Another phase II study investigated the response rate, progression, survival, and toxicity of erlotinib with bevacizumab in 24 patients previously treated with one chemotherapy regimen. Complete or partial response was not achieved and OS was 5.8 months and PSF 2.2 months (NCT00137826)⁴⁶. Another drug inhibiting EGFR is gefitinib that has been assessed in a phase II study in previously untreated malignant mesothelioma patients. 43 patients were enrolled and one1 (2%) had a complete response, one (2%) had a partial response and 5 (12%) had an early death. Although the majority of mesothelioma patients had EGFR overexpression, gefitinib was not effective in malignant mesothelioma. Another class of small inhibitors has been studied in mesothelioma patients that target vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and its receptor VEGFR. Studies have shown high levels of both molecules in MPM tissue specimens (NCT00025207)⁴⁷. Numerous anti-VEGF/VEFGR inhibitors have been independently assessed in malignant mesothelioma including cediranib⁴⁸, sunitinib⁴⁹, and vatalanib⁵⁰ demonstrating no effect or poor activity, with no clinical benefits. SV40-dependent Akt pathway has been found upregulated in malignant mesothelioma, which protects against cell death in HMC and malignant mesothelioma cells after amosite (a particular kind of asbestos) exposure, therefore targeting this pathway may make MPM patients sensitive to chemotherapy. Therefore, TKR activating mutations are not the main responsible for MPM resistance rather SV40-positive human mesothelial cell and exposure to amosite fibers for long term promotes cell survival via Akt Activation⁵¹.

2.2.2.2 Antibodies based therapy

Antibodies have been developed against growth factors such as IFG-1 and VEGF. Cixutumumab, a monoclonal antibody that selectively inhibits ligand binding to IGF-1R, was tested in mesothelioma patients since *in vitro* and preclinical studies demonstrated tumour reduction after cixutumumab treatment. A phase II study has been conducted for previously treated MPM patients but the results are not available. Bevacizumab (Avastin) is the most encouraging drug that targets VEGF signalling which is a humanized monoclonal antibody against VEGFA. Bevacizumab was approved in the EU in 2005 for the treatment of many solid cancers such as non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), colorectal carcinoma and renal cell cancer ⁵²⁻⁵⁴ (Figure 1). From seven clinical trials for bevacizumab, five have been accomplished and sponsored by NIH or other sponsors. Bevacizumab has been investigated in combination

56

57

58 59

with standard chemotherapy. Bevacizumab was evaluated in combination with gemcitabine and cisplatin in a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled study in 115 MPM patients (NCT00027703). There were differences in response between bevacizumab and placebo arms (PFS; 6.9 vs 6.0 months) and OS (15.6 vs 14.7 months) ⁵⁵. Bevacizumab was also tested in combination with carboplatin and pemetrexed in a Phase II study in patients with unresectable MPM. The median PFS was 6.9 months and the median OS was 15.3 months and the study failed to achieve the primary endpoint of 50 % improvement in PFS compared to standard chemotherapy ⁵⁵. Another phase II study investigated the combination of bevacizumab with pemetrexed and cisplatin in 53 patients with previously untreated and unresectable mesothelioma (NCT00295503). Although the treatment was well tolerated, it failed to achieve its primary endpoint of 33% improvement in PFS at 6 months ²¹.

Novel anticancer agents including histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors, nuclear factor- κ B (NF- κ B) pathway inhibitor, anti-TGF β monoclonal antibody, and anti-Met small inhibitors are all currently under investigation. Belinostat targets HDAC which regulates epigenetic mechanisms of tumour suppressor genes through chromatin remodelling with tumourigenic effects ⁵⁶. A phase II trial was designed to analyse the effect of belinostat as second-line treatment in patients with MPM (NCT00365053). 13 patients were enrolled but belinostat was not effective as a single second-line therapy in MPM patients ⁵⁷. Bortezomib is a specific proteasome inhibitor that promotes downregulation of NF- κ B and stimulates apoptosis. Although preclinical results were encouraging, the results showed low clinical activity and high toxicity in a Phase II study in pre-treated patients with MPM ⁵⁸. GC1008 is a neutralizing anti-TGF β antibody and its clinical safety and median survival were the main aims of this Phase 2 study ⁵⁹ (Figure 1). 13 pre-treated MPM patients participated to the study and the results reported that GC1008 is well tolerated; however, there was an indication that the treatment might promote the malignant cell growth in a few patients.

Ultimately in hindsight, the front-line treatment for MPM is based on pemetrexed, cisplatin, and bevacizumab, as these are the treatments shown to significantly improve patient survival. Notably, for bevacizumab, this treatment was based on solid preclinical experiments that proved VEGF to be an autocrine growth factor for mesothelioma ⁶⁰. Furthermore, it was also shown that high serum VEGF levels are linked with poorer patient prognosis and therefore represents a clear example of the kind of independent research that promoted pharmaceutical organisations to invest.



Figure 1 Summary of recent therapeutic agents tested in mesothelioma and potential targets.

Immunotherapy includes; antibody (Ab) targeting immune checkpoints (programmed cell death-1 and programmed cell death ligand 1; PD-1/PDL-1, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte–associated antigen 4; CTLA4) and immunotoxin Ab targeting mesothelin (SS1P). An example of gene therapy is an adenoviral vector expressing interferon- β (Ad.IFN- β) and vaccines have been tested with mature dendritic cells (DCs) engineered to express a tumour antigen. Bevacizumab is a monoclonal antibody targeting vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA). GC1008 is a neutralizing anti-TGF β (Transforming growth factor β) antibody. Small inhibitors include anti-EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor) and anti-VEGFR (vascular endothelial growth factor receptor). HIF- α , Hypoxia-inducible factor 1- α , miR (microRNA) TargomiR is a strategy that uses minicells carrying mimic miR-16 function.

2.3 How repositioning drugs can help mesothelioma therapy

Drug repositioning is a potential approach for the identification of new therapeutic use for already approved drugs. The majority of biochemistry and clinical proprieties such as bioavailability and safety profiles, proven formulation and manufacturing routes, and reasonably characterized pharmacology, are known for most approved molecules which favour the inclusion of these repositioned drugs in clinical phases more rapidly and at a lower cost than novel therapeutic agents ⁶¹. For rare diseases such as mesothelioma that are understudied at the preclinical and clinical levels, the development of new compounds is problematic and needs worldwide collaboration from numerous clinical trial centres in order to achieve successful outcome from innovative drugs. Drug repositioning may be an attractive strategy for diseases such as mesothelioma, by offering a reduced timeframe from preclinical research to the bedside ⁶². Furthermore, with the expected increase of incidence of MPM in developing countries, drug repositioning could offer solutions for patients living in these countries. Repositioning may apply to a wide variety of drugs (see subsequent sections) and be performed in a variety of ways. Recent research used the DRUGSURV database ⁶³ to target individual genes/proteins that were identified as important for mesothelioma based on computational modelling of TP53 and stratified patient data ⁶⁴(Figure 2).

Other approaches include drug/molecular docking; this is one of the most powerful approaches for structure-based discovery ⁶⁵, as it predicts the interaction between small molecule ligands and targets (such as proteins that are targeted for inhibition or modulation). There are numerous softwares available to conduct this, including PyRx which, in conjunction with other software and resources, has been applied in the past to identify small molecule inhibitors that break the interaction between TP53 (obviously highly important for cancer) and its inhibitors ⁶⁶. Applied to mesothelioma, drug docking could utilise databases such as the ZINC database (which offers structures for approved drugs as well as experimental drugs ⁶⁷) to obtain drug structures and perform an *in silico* screen of these drugs against proteins that are believed to be important for mesothelioma development. This approach could, therefore, identify repositioned drugs in a molecular structure-based approach. The below sections will cover a variety of repositioned drugs and how they may apply to mesothelioma.

2.3.1 Antiemetic drugs

One of the first repositioned drugs is thalidomide, an antiemetic drug, used in the past for morning sickness in pregnant women with detrimental consequences because of its teratogenic effects ⁶⁸. Later, it has been demonstrated that thalidomide has anticancer proprieties and therefore it has been assessed in several human cancers in clinical trials, which led to its approval for the treatment of multiple myeloma ⁶⁹. In MPM, thalidomide has been evaluated in clinical trials without prior investigations in preclinical models. A phase II clinical study investigated the efficacy and toxicity of thalidomide in patients with MPM. The promising results showed that 27.5% of previously treated patients and treated with thalidomide as a single agent had disease stabilization for >6 months and the median survival was 230 days. These results warrant phase III studies in MPM ⁷⁰. Unfortunately, in a randomised phase III study thalidomide failed to improve OS, 10.6 months in the thalidomide group versus 12.9 months in the active supportive care group in patients with MPM after first-line therapy in chemotherapy ⁷¹.

2.3.2 Histone deacetylase inhibitors

Valproate is another drug widely used as an antiepileptic drug and found to have several anticancer effects through its HDAC inhibiting activity ⁷². In addition, it has been shown that valproate induced tumour differentiation, reduced tumour growth and metastasis formation and promoted apoptotic cell death ⁷³. Therefore, valproate has been assessed in clinical trials for treating several cancers including glioblastoma and cervical cancer ^{74, 75}. Valproate has also been evaluated in preclinical and clinical research in patients with MPM. The synergistic activity of valproate in combination with chemotherapy contributed to the design of a phase II trial to investigate valproate in combination with doxorubicin in patients with refractory or recurrent MPM after standard first-line chemotherapy ^{76, 77}. Among 45 patients, seven (16%) obtained a partial response. The median PFS was 2.5 months and the median OS was 6.7 months ⁷⁷.

2.3.3 Statins

Another class of compounds frequently used for drug repositioning is statins. Generally, statins are used for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia and related atherosclerotic diseases, such as coronary artery disease. Statins also have anticancer proprieties ⁷⁸ and have been intensely examined *in vitro* in human MPM cells. Rubins et al. demonstrated that Lovastatin decreased

cell viability in a dose-dependent manner in human MPM cell lines, through apoptosis induction ⁷⁹. Another study showed that the combination of lovastatin and valproate reduced cell invasion of Acc-Meso-1 cells ⁸⁰. A synergistic effect of pemetrexed in combination with simvastatin induced apoptosis in MSTO-211 MPM cells by reactive oxygen species-dependent mitochondrial dysfunction and Bim induction as reported by Hwang et al. ⁸¹. It has also been shown that statins have a role in the reversal of doxorubicin resistance by accumulating nitric oxide species in human MPM cells. Furthermore, statins have been shown synergistic antiproliferative effects with γ -tocotrienol (an isoform of vitamin E) on human MPM cells, via inhibition of the mevalonate pathway, induction of endoplasmic reticulum stress and caspase 3 activations ⁸². The potential to reposition lovastatin has also been demonstrated *in vivo*. The drug reduced primary tumour and metastasis in a NOD/SCID/ γ -null (NOG) mouse model of human MPM ⁸³. However, the role of statins in MPM has not yet been investigated in clinical trials.

2.3.4 Antifungal drugs

Itraconazole is generally administrated as broad-spectrum anti-fungal agent but it has been demonstrated *in vivo*, *in vitro*, and through clinical research that it has several antineoplastic properties ⁸⁴. Itraconazole decreased the viability in a dose-dependent manner by decreasing Gli1 expression, which is a key factor of the hedgehog pathway in various human MPM cell lines of epithelioid, sarcomatoid and biphasic subtypes ⁸⁵. However, itraconazole is yet to be assessed *in vivo* or in a clinical trial in MPM.

Arsenic trioxide (ATO), a traditional Chinese medicine, has also been used for cancer treatment. Nonetheless, it has a high grade of toxicity, it was repositioned in western medicine and ATO was approved for the treatment of relapsed or refractory acute promyelocytic leukemia by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration in September 2000⁸⁶. ATO treatment has been also evaluated on human MPM cells, in the NCI-H2052 MPM cell line ATO cause apoptosis by activating c-JunNH2-terminal kinase (JNK)1/2, and the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) pathway⁸⁷. An antiproliferative effect and cytotoxic effect of ATO [62] was also reported *in vitro* and *in vivo* in MPM by apoptosis induction mediated through downregulation of E2F1 and downregulation of thymidylate synthase, which is involved in pemetrexed resistance when overexpressed⁸⁸.

2.3.5 DNA methyltransferase inhibitors

Disulfiram (DSF) is a drug of the dithiocarbamate family and is an irreversible inhibitor of aldehyde dehydrogenase approved by the FDA to treat alcoholism ⁸⁹. It has also demonstrated to inhibit tumour growth since DSF has epigenetic properties as a DNA methyltransferase inhibitor ⁹⁰.

In human MPM DSF has been studied *in vitro* together with copper to induce cytotoxicity, demonstrating that DSF–copper (DSF-Cu) complex inhibited proliferation of MPM cell lines and induced apoptosis ⁹¹. Moreover, the inhibition of tumour growth was confirmed *in vivo* model, showing a 71% decrease of tumour growth when compared to control tumours ⁹¹.

2.3.6 Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

Acetylsalicylic acid or aspirin prevents the function of cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 and COX-2 and is mainly used as a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug but has been shown to promote apoptosis and suppresses the acquisition of chemoresistance ⁹². Aspirin has been investigated in human MPM cell lines showing the inhibitory effect on colony formation by secreting high

amounts of high-mobility group box (HMGB)1, a protein that regulates nucleosome assembly and chromatin structure ⁹³. The antiproliferative effect of aspirin on MPM cells was confirmed *in vivo* ⁹³. However, Aspirin has not yet been tested in clinical trials in MPM patients.

Celecoxib is a selective COX-2 inhibitor [66] approved by the FDA since December 1999 in familial adenomatous polyposis ⁹⁴. In MPM, celecoxib decreased prostaglandin E2 levels in AB1, a murine MPM cell line ⁹⁵. The effect of celecoxib has also been evaluated *in vivo* in BALB/c mice xenografted using AB1 cells, however clinical assessment of the role of COX-2 in MPM is still missing.

2.3.7 Oral antidiabetics

Metformin is a biguanide derivative, which is prescribed for type 2 diabetes. Metformin may act as an anticancer drug that promotes apoptosis, cell cycle arrest and invasion ⁹⁶. In MPM, the influence of metformin on the intercellular transfer of cellular contents has been assessed in cell lines of the biphasic, sarcomatoid and epithelioid types. Metformin suppressed tunnelling nanotube formation *in vitro* ⁹⁷. Regardless of this effect, metformin did not significantly reduce cell proliferation. So far, metformin has not been investigated *in vivo* or in clinical trials in MPM.

2.3.8 Vitamin E isoform

It is known that vitamin E has a role in cancer acting as an antioxidant adjuvant. Tocotrienol (T3) is an isoform of vitamin E which has an effect on NF- κ B, signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 3, apoptosis, nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2, hypoxiainducible factor 1 (HIF-1), growth factor receptor kinases, and angiogenic pathways ⁹⁸. Tocotrienol-rich fraction extracted from rice and source of γ -T3 synergizes with cisplatin reducing the chemoresistance in H28, a human cisplatin-resistant MPM cell line ⁹⁹. In addition, the combination of γ -T3 with statins promoted an antigrowth effect on human MPM cells through reduction of the mevalonate pathway, induction of endoplasmic reticulum stress and caspase 3 activations ⁸². γ -T3 has not been investigated *in vivo* or in clinical trials in MPM. α -tocotrienol is another isoform of tocotrienol with pro-apoptotic anticancer properties, its redox-silent analogue, 6-O-carboxypropyl- α -tocotrienol (T3E), has been tested *in vitro* in

2.3.9 Antibiotics

Anisomycin is an antibiotic produced by Streptomyces griseolus and acts as a protein synthesis inhibitor, low dose of anisomycin enhanced the sensitivity to TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) in mesothelioma cells ¹⁰¹. This sensitisation enhanced the activity of Bim by post-translational modifications which primes the cells for apoptosis via the death receptor pathway. These data have not been confirmed *in vivo* or in clinical trials.

human MPM cells which inhibited the cell proliferation of human MPM H28 cells ¹⁰⁰. α-T3

has not been investigated in vivo or in clinical trials in MPM.

2.3.10 Bisphosphonates

Bisphosphonates are approved for treatment of bone lesions such as osteoporosis, cancerinduced osteolytic bone disease and hypercalcaemia ¹⁰². Moreover, nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates such as zoledronic acid (Zol) have anticancer effects ¹⁰³. *In vivo* and in vitro experiments in mesothelioma showed that Zol induced apoptosis and S-phase arrest in a p53independent manner¹⁰⁴. Several clinical trials have been assessed the effect of Zol in mesothelioma patients. A study by Jamil et al. ¹⁰⁵ examined the effect of single agent Zol in a small group of patients with MPM who had progressed after one or more prior systemic therapies. Among eight pretreated patients, the median PFS was 2 months and the median OS was 7 months without significant toxicity. Another study by Clive et al. ¹⁰⁶, looking at the role

of Zol in malignant pleural effusions, showing that two patients with MPM had a reduction in tumour bulk on radiology after receiving two doses of ZA intravenously. A recent multicentre double-blind randomised controlled feasibility study aims to assess the recruitment and acceptability of Zol/placebo alongside chemotherapy in MPM¹⁰⁷.



Figure 2 Examples of drugs repositioning in mesothelioma.

Abbreviations: NADH; nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, ATP; Adenosine triphosphate, COX-2; Cyclooxygenase-2, VDAC; Voltage-dependent anion channel, CoA; coenzyme A reductase, HMG; 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl, FPP; farnesyl pyrophosphate, GPP; geranyl pyrophosphate, ER; endoplasmic reticulum, HDAC; histone deacetylases, NF-κB; nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells, VEGFR; vascular endothelial growth factor receptors, VEGF; vascular endothelial growth factor.

2.4 Discovery of new small molecules

Basic research has led to the discovery of new pathways relevant to the development of MPM, and the latest studies focussed on mechanisms involved mainly in tumour microenvironment such as hypoxia, caused by the lack of oxygen and formation of the abnormal tumour blood vessels. In 2006, Klabatsa et al described that mesothelioma and not mesothelial cells overexpressed HIF-1 α corresponding with the presence of hypoxia ¹⁰⁸. Later, it has been demonstrated with [F-18] fluoromisonidazole (FMISO) PET-CT that there are significant areas of hypoxia, particularly in dominant tumour masses, in mesothelioma patients, therefore mesothelioma may be considered a hypoxic tumour ¹⁰⁹. One study investigated which pathways are induced by hypoxia to promote aggressive phenotypic changes in human mesothelioma cell lines. The high CD44 cell population of mesothelioma cells was significantly increased in hypoxia when compared with normoxia. In addition, hypoxia significantly increased the resistance of mesothelioma cells to cisplatin. While cisplatin treatment decreased in normoxic condition and hypoxia also increased the ratio of Bcl-2 to Bax in mesothelioma cells treated with cisplatin. Hypoxia promoted the mobility, invasiveness and epithelial to mesenchymal transition of HMM cells ¹¹⁰. Although targeting hypoxia seems promising and topotecan, YC-

60

1, PX-478 are compounds targeting directly hypoxia through the inhibition of HIF-1, they have not been tested in clinical trials in mesothelioma ¹¹¹. MicroRNAs (miRNAs or miRs) are other small regulatory molecules widely investigated in cancer since their deregulation influences tumorigenesis. Since they are small circulating molecules, they are mainly studied as biomarkers for diagnostic and prognostic aims. A study reported that MiR-185, miR-197, and miR-299 were differentially expressed in MPM samples compared to healthy pleura. TwomiRNA prognostic signatures were identified Let-7c-5p and miR-151a-5p which are linked to hypoxia and energy metabolism respectively ¹¹². It has been found that miRNA-31 induced chemoresistance though an ABCB9-independent mechanism in MPM¹¹³. The only current clinical trial that assesses the role of miRNAs as a therapeutic tool in mesothelioma is based on testing a miR-16 mimic (Table 2). In an in vivo study, miR-16-loaded minicells called EDVTMnanocells (EDVs) were able to control tumour growth in a dose- and frequencydependent manner, with the highest dose (administered four times per week), completely inhibiting tumours ¹¹⁴. Following these data, a phase I study in MPM and NSCLC patients ('MesomiR 1') is currently assessing the safety and dose-escalation of TargomiRs (NCT03531840). Authors found that the maximum tolerated dose was 5×10^9 TargomiRs once weekly. One (5%) had a partial response, 15 (68%) had stable disease, and six (27%) had progressive disease and 21 (78%) deaths occurred, of which 20 were related to tumour progression and one was due to bowel perforation ¹¹⁵(Figure 1). Another innovative field is tumour metabolism that is acquiring more importance in mesothelioma. Mesothelioma cells are mainly glycolytic dependent even in the presence of oxygen (Warburg effect), therefore targeting glycolytic pathway may be a successful strategy to target cancer cells. Citrate, an inhibitor of phosphofructokinase (PFK) has been tested in chemoresistant mesothelioma cell line and the results showed that the inhibition of PFK by citrate in addition to depletion of ATP, diminution of the expression of the anti-apoptotic proteins and inhibition of hexokinase may promote the cytotoxic and synergistic effect with cisplatin ¹¹⁶. Another study found that the secreted frizzled-related protein 4 (sFRP4), a Wnt inhibitor may reduce and alter cancer cell metabolism, leading to sensitisation of cancer cells to chemotherapeutics and cell death ¹¹⁷. Other potential therapeutic targets are excitatory amino acid transporters, a glutamate carrier, Dishevelled3, an activator of the Wnt pathway and glutamine synthetase ¹¹⁸. Recent findings indicate that the BAP1 gene has a crucial function in mesothelioma. In vitro studies demonstrated that BAP1 regulates Ca²⁺ flux by stabilization of inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor expression promoting apoptosis ¹¹⁹. In addition, it has been demonstrated that BAP1+/- fibroblasts enhanced aerobic glycolysis and lactate secretion, in contrast, they decreased mitochondrial respiration and ATP production in comparison with BAP1 wild type (WT)¹¹⁹. However, a phase II clinical trial is recruiting patients with WT and mutant BAP1 to investigate whether patients with BAP1 mutations are more responsive to olaparib, a Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerases inhibitor that has been approved for treating germline and somatic BRCA1-mutant ovarian cancer. Since BAP1 is associated with BRCA1 activity, this trial may provide promising results (NCT03531840) (Table 2).

Table 2. Summary	of clinical	trials of new	potential drugs	in MPM
------------------	-------------	---------------	-----------------	--------

Target	Drugs	Combinations	Phase s	Sponsor/ Collaborators	NCT Number
--------	-------	--------------	------------	---------------------------	------------

Immunotherapy	Mesothelin	SS1P	CDDP-PEM	Phase	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT01445392
		SS1P	Pentostatin/Cycl op	Phase I/II	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT01362790
	PD-1	Pembrolizumab	Alone	Phase II	University of Chicago Collaborator: National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT02399371
	Gene therapy	Adenoviral- mediated IFN-β BG00001		Phase I	Abramson Cancer Centre of the University of Pennsylvania Collaborator: National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00066404
Tyrosine-kinase inhibitors (TKI)	Dendritic Cell-based vaccine	Tumour lysate- loaded autologous dendritic cells		Phase I	Erasmus Medical Centre	NCT02395679
	EGFR	Erlotinib	Alone	Phase II	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00039182
		Erlotinib	Bevacizumab	Phase II	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00137826
		Gefinitib (ZD1839, Iressa)	P.C.	Phase II	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00025207
Antibodies based therapy	Anti- angiogenesis inhbitors	Bevacizumab		Phase	University of Texas Southwestern Medical Centre Collaborators: University of Chicago Columbia University Duke University Information provided by (Responsible Party): Jonathan E. Dowell, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Centre National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00295503
	Histone deacetylase inhibitors	Belinostat		Phase II	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00365053
Discovery of new small molecules	A miR-16- based microRNA mimic	TargomiRs		Phase I	Asbestos Disease Research Foundation	NCT02369198
	PARP inhibitor	Olaparib		Phase II	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT03531840

3. Expert Opinion

The lack of appropriate interest and funding for research into MPM has most certainly affected the opportunity to achieve dramatic progress in the treatment of this neoplasm. As has become clear throughout this manuscript, a recurring issue that has been seen is that there is an insufficient bedrock of preclinical research to support translation to the clinic. Unfortunately, what has often been done is to attempt to reapply existing drugs that have shown success in other cancer types to treat mesothelioma. Whilst faster, this approach demonstrates its frailty through for example the use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors and, despite high hopes, immunotherapy, both of which have shown limited benefit for MPM. Should we desire to significantly improve MPM patient survival, it must first be acknowledged that there is still a long way to go.

Recent findings regarding MPM gene-driven metabolism provide new opportunities to stratify patients on the specific biological characteristics of this tumour. These studies also allow identification of a broad range of newly identified specific targets for MPM that may represent a significant improvement for patients in the near future. To achieve these results, specific independent investments are necessary. As stated, the approach of adopting drugs that have shown benefit in other tumours is of low clinical benefit, and therefore investment into new ideas leading to new therapies for this "niche" tumour would be beneficial, particularly with targeted drug repositioning that is based on a solid scientific rationale, complemented by good clinical trial design and effective endpoints such as overall survival.

Our hope is that over the next few years the research groups currently investigating MPM will find a way to integrate their knowledge and that grant submission systems will allow for the submission of team-oriented multidisciplinary project/programmes to cope with this upcoming demand of solid translational research for MPM. MPM is characterised by a low mutational load which complicates finding tailored therapy for this illness. However, as quoted above, there has been a recent surging flow of data to unravel how gene-driven metabolism¹¹⁹ affects MPM cell growth and hinders response to standard treatments. These achievements, together with the pathway through which these effects are exerted, are of potential huge interest and many efforts being aimed at their validation is currently underway. Such validated results will provide solid data for patients to reasonably rely on to continue to hope. Therefore, we also believe that independent research should be imbued by pure passion and dedication, which will help in coping with patient demands.

It has recently been shown via a retrospective study of precision medicine from 2006 to 2018 that the portion of patients who can benefit of precision treatments increased very little when compared with all the resources deployed in this direction: from 0.70 % in 2006 to 4.90 % in 2018¹²⁰. It seems reasonable to figure out that we need better multi-disciplinary integration to accelerate our achievements in this field.

Highlights box
• Mesothelioma clinical trials rarely met the principal parameters for the design of
high-quality trials mainly due to the size of the population investigated.
• Although targeted therapy and immunotherapy, in particular immune checkpoint
inhibitors, has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of cancers such as
melanoma, these therapies have shown low clinical benefit for MPM patients
• The approach of drug repositioning is based on a solid scientific rationale, combined
with a good clinical trial design and effective endpoints may increase the chance to
identify promising treatments for MPM.
• MPM is a heterogenous tumor, many molecular mechanisms including hypoxia,
metabolism, microRNAs and gene-environment interaction should be the main
areas for designing new promising therapeutic agents.
• The lack of appropriate interest and funding for research into MPM has most
certainly affected the opportunity to achieve dramatic progress in the treatment of
this neoplasm.

Conflict of Interest Statement

ement to disclose. All authors have nothing to disclose.

References

1. Mott FE. Mesothelioma: A Review. The Ochsner Journal 2012 Spring;12(1):70-79.

2. Guazzelli A, Bakker E, Tian K, Demonacos C, Krstic-Demonacos M, Mutti L. Promising investigational drug candidates in phase I and phase II clinical trials for mesothelioma. Expert opinion on investigational drugs 2017 Aug;26(8):933-44.

3. Pinton G, Manente AG, Tavian D, Moro L, Mutti L. Therapies currently in Phase II trials for malignant pleural mesothelioma. Expert opinion on investigational drugs 2013 Oct;22(10):1255-63.

4. Testa JR, Giordano A. SV40 and cell cycle perturbations in malignant mesothelioma. Seminars in Cancer Biology 2001 2001/02/01/;11(1):31-38.

5. Testa JR, Cheung M, Pei J, Below JE, Tan Y, Sementino E, et al. Germline BAP1 mutations predispose to malignant mesothelioma. Nat Genet 2011 08/28;43(10):1022-25.

** Important study on genetics of Mesothelioma

6. Nasu M, Emi M, Pastorino S, Tanji M, Powers A, Luk H, et al. High Incidence of Somatic BAP1 alterations in sporadic malignant mesothelioma. Journal of thoracic oncology : official publication of the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer 2015;10(4):565-76.

7. Opitz I. Management of malignant pleural mesothelioma—The European experience. Journal of Thoracic Disease 2014 05/07/received

05/08/accepted;6(Suppl 2):S238-S52.

8. Scherpereel A, Astoul P, Baas P, Berghmans T, Clayson H, de Vuyst P, et al. Guidelines of the European Respiratory Society and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons for the management of malignant pleural mesothelioma. The European respiratory journal 2010 Mar;35(3):479-95. ** General overview of mesothelioma.

9. Hida T, Hamasaki M, Matsumoto S, Sato A, Tsujimura T, Kawahara K, et al. BAP1 immunohistochemistry and p16 FISH results in combination provide higher confidence in malignant pleural mesothelioma diagnosis: ROC analysis of the two tests. Pathology international 2016 Oct;66(10):563-70.

10. Park EK, Takahashi K, Hoshuyama T, Cheng TJ, Delgermaa V, Le GV, et al. Global magnitude of reported and unreported mesothelioma. Environmental health perspectives 2011 Apr;119(4):514-8.

11. Robinson BM. Malignant pleural mesothelioma: an epidemiological perspective. Annals of Cardiothoracic Surgery 2012 10/10/received

11/12/accepted;1(4):491-96.

12. Bibby AC, Tsim S, Kanellakis N, Ball H, Talbot DC, Blyth KG, et al. Malignant pleural mesothelioma: an update on investigation, diagnosis and treatment. European Respiratory Review 2016;25(142):472-86.

13. Baas P, on behalf of the EGC, Fennell D, on behalf of the EGC, Kerr KM, on behalf of the EGC, et al. Malignant pleural mesothelioma: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up⁺. Annals of Oncology 2015;26(suppl_5):v31-v39.

14. Vogelzang NJ, Rusthoven JJ, Symanowski J, Denham C, Kaukel E, Ruffie P, et al. Phase III study of pemetrexed in combination with cisplatin versus cisplatin alone in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2003 Jul 15;21(14):2636-44.

15. van Meerbeeck JP, Gaafar R, Manegold C, Van Klaveren RJ, Van Marck EA, Vincent M, et al. Randomized phase III study of cisplatin with or without raltitrexed in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma: an intergroup study of the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Lung Cancer Group and the National Cancer Institute of Canada. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2005 Oct 1;23(28):6881-9.

16. Castagneto B, Zai S, Dongiovanni D, Muzio A, Bretti S, Numico G, et al. Cisplatin and gemcitabine in malignant pleural mesothelioma: a phase II study. Am J Clin Oncol 2005 Jun;28(3):223-6.

17. Kovac V, Zwitter M, Rajer M, Marin A, Debeljak A, Smrdel U, et al. A phase II trial of low-dose gemcitabine in a prolonged infusion and cisplatin for malignant pleural mesothelioma. Anti-cancer drugs 2012 Feb;23(2):230-8.

18. Castagneto B, Botta M, Aitini E, Spigno F, Degiovanni D, Alabiso O, et al. Phase II study of pemetrexed in combination with carboplatin in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM). Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology 2008 Feb;19(2):370-3.

19. Ceresoli GL, Zucali PA, Favaretto AG, Grossi F, Bidoli P, Del Conte G, et al. Phase II study of pemetrexed plus carboplatin in malignant pleural mesothelioma. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2006 Mar 20;24(9):1443-8.

20. O'Brien ME, Gaafar RM, Popat S, Grossi F, Price A, Talbot DC, et al. Phase II study of first-line bortezomib and cisplatin in malignant pleural mesothelioma and prospective validation of progression free survival rate as a primary end-point for mesothelioma clinical trials (European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer 08052). European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990) 2013 Sep;49(13):2815-22.

21. Dowell JE, Dunphy FR, Taub RN, Gerber DE, Ngov L, Yan J, et al. A multicenter phase II study of cisplatin, pemetrexed, and bevacizumab in patients with advanced malignant mesothelioma. Lung cancer (Amsterdam, Netherlands) 2012 Sep;77(3):567-71.

22. Zalcman G, Mazieres J, Margery J, Greillier L, Audigier-Valette C, Moro-Sibilot D, et al. Bevacizumab for newly diagnosed pleural mesothelioma in the Mesothelioma Avastin Cisplatin Pemetrexed Study (MAPS): a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet (London, England) 2016 Apr 2;387(10026):1405-14.

23. Tsao AS, Harun N, Lee JJ, Heymach J, Pisters K, Hong WK, et al. Phase I trial of cisplatin, pemetrexed, and imatinib mesylate in chemonaive patients with unresectable malignant pleural mesothelioma. Clinical lung cancer 2014 May;15(3):197-201.

24. Hassan R, Kindler HL, Jahan T, Bazhenova L, Reck M, Thomas A, et al. Phase II clinical trial of amatuximab, a chimeric anti-mesothelin antibody with pemetrexed and cisplatin in advanced unresectable pleural mesothelioma. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 2014 09/17;20(23):5927-36.

25. Zwierzyna M, Davies M, Hingorani AD, Hunter J. Clinical trial design and dissemination: comprehensive analysis of clinical trials.gov and PubMed data since 2005. BMJ 2018;361.

26. Oehl K, Vrugt B, Opitz I, Meerang M. Heterogeneity in Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma. International journal of molecular sciences 2018 May 30;19(6).

27. Palucka AK, Coussens LM. The Basis of Oncoimmunology. Cell 2016;164(6):1233-47.

28. Bakker E, Guazzelli A, Ashtiani F, Demonacos C, Krstic-Demonacos M, Mutti L. Immunotherapy advances for mesothelioma treatment. Expert review of anticancer therapy 2017 Sep;17(9):799-814.

29. Sul J, Blumenthal GM, Jiang X, He K, Keegan P, Pazdur R. FDA Approval Summary: Pembrolizumab for the Treatment of Patients With Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Whose Tumors Express Programmed Death-Ligand 1. The oncologist 2016;21(5):643-50.

30. Robert C, Ribas A, Wolchok JD, Hodi FS, Hamid O, Kefford R, et al. Anti-programmed-deathreceptor-1 treatment with pembrolizumab in ipilimumab-refractory advanced melanoma: a randomised dose-comparison cohort of a phase 1 trial. Lancet (London, England) 2014 Sep 20;384(9948):1109-17.

31. Xu JX, Maher VE, Zhang L, Tang S, Sridhara R, Ibrahim A, et al. FDA Approval Summary: Nivolumab in Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma After Anti-Angiogenic Therapy and Exploratory Predictive Biomarker Analysis. The oncologist 2017;22(3):311-17.

32. Pastan I, Hassan R. Discovery of mesothelin and exploiting it as a target for immunotherapy. Cancer research 2014;74(11):2907-12.

 33. Sterman DH, Recio A, Haas AR, Vachani A, Katz SI, Gillespie CT, et al. A phase I trial of repeated intrapleural adenoviral-mediated interferon-beta gene transfer for mesothelioma and metastatic pleural effusions. Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 2010 Apr;18(4):852-60.

34. Dine J, Gordon R, Shames Y, Kasler MK, Barton-Burke M. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors: An Innovation in Immunotherapy for the Treatment and Management of Patients with Cancer. Asia-Pacific journal of oncology nursing 2017 Apr-Jun;4(2):127-35.

35. Buchbinder EI, Desai A. CTLA-4 and PD-1 Pathways: Similarities, Differences, and Implications of Their Inhibition. American journal of clinical oncology 2016;39(1):98-106.

36. Zhang H, Chen J. Current status and future directions of cancer immunotherapy. Journal of Cancer 2018;9(10):1773-81.

37. Scherpereel A, Mazieres J, Greillier L, Dô P, Bylicki O, Monnet I, et al. Second- or third-line nivolumab (Nivo) versus nivo plus ipilimumab (Ipi) in malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) patients: Results of the IFCT-1501 MAPS2 randomized phase II trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2017;35(18_suppl):LBA8507-LBA07.

38. Sabado RL, Balan S, Bhardwaj N. Dendritic cell-based immunotherapy. Cell Research 2016 12/27/online;27:74.

39. Constantino J, Gomes C, Falcao A, Cruz MT, Neves BM. Antitumor dendritic cell-based vaccines: lessons from 20 years of clinical trials and future perspectives. Translational research : the journal of laboratory and clinical medicine 2016 Feb;168:74-95.

40. Hegmans JP, Veltman JD, Lambers ME, de Vries IJ, Figdor CG, Hendriks RW, et al. Consolidative dendritic cell-based immunotherapy elicits cytotoxicity against malignant mesothelioma. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 2010 Jun 15;181(12):1383-90.

41. The Lancet O. Immunotherapy: hype and hope. The Lancet Oncology 2018 Jul;19(7):845.

42. Chene AL, d'Almeida S, Blondy T, Tabiasco J, Deshayes S, Fonteneau JF, et al. Pleural Effusions from Patients with Mesothelioma Induce Recruitment of Monocytes and Their Differentiation into M2 Macrophages. J Thorac Oncol 2016 Oct;11(10):1765-73.

43. Cornelissen R, Lievense LA, Maat AP, Hendriks RW, Hoogsteden HC, Bogers AJ, et al. Ratio of intratumoral macrophage phenotypes is a prognostic factor in epithelioid malignant pleural mesothelioma. Plos One 2014;9(9):e106742.

44. Valle MT, Porta C, Megiovanni AM, Libener R, Mele L, Gaudino G, et al. Transforming growth factor-beta released by PPD-presenting malignant mesothelioma cells inhibits interferon-gamma synthesis by an anti-PPD CD4+ T-cell clone. International journal of molecular medicine 2003 Feb;11(2):161-7.

45. Garland LL, Rankin C, Gandara DR, Rivkin SE, Scott KM, Nagle RB, et al. Phase II study of erlotinib in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma: a Southwest Oncology Group Study. J Clin Oncol 2007 Jun 10;25(17):2406-13.

46. Jackman DM, Kindler HL, Yeap BY, Fidias P, Salgia R, Lucca J, et al. Erlotinib plus bevacizumab in previously treated patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma. Cancer 2008 Aug 15;113(4):808-14.

47. Govindan R, Kratzke RA, Herndon JE, Niehans GA, Vollmer R, Watson D, et al. Gefitinib in patients with malignant mesothelioma: A phase II study by the cancer and leukemia group B. Clin Cancer Res 2005 Mar 15;11(6):2300-04.

48. Campbell NP, Kunnavakkam R, Leighl N, Vincent MD, Gandara DR, Koczywas M, et al. Cediranib in patients with malignant mesothelioma: A phase II trial of the University of Chicago Phase II Consortium. Lung cancer (Amsterdam, Netherlands) 2012;78(1):76-80.

49. Nowak AK, Millward MJ, Creaney J, Francis RJ, Dick IM, Hasani A, et al. A phase II study of intermittent sunitinib malate as second-line therapy in progressive malignant pleural mesothelioma. J Thorac Oncol 2012 Sep;7(9):1449-56.

50. Jahan T, Gu L, Kratzke R, Dudek A, Otterson GA, Wang X, et al. Vatalanib in malignant mesothelioma: a phase II trial by the Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB 30107). Lung cancer (Amsterdam, Netherlands) 2012;76(3):393-96.

51. Cacciotti P, Barbone D, Porta C, Altomare DA, Testa JR, Mutti L, et al. SV40-dependent AKT activity drives mesothelial cell transformation after asbestos exposure. Cancer Research 2005 Jun 15;65(12):5256-62.

52. Summers J, Cohen MH, Keegan P, Pazdur R. FDA drug approval summary: bevacizumab plus interferon for advanced renal cell carcinoma. The oncologist 2010;15(1):104-11.

53. Cohen MH, Gootenberg J, Keegan P, Pazdur R. FDA drug approval summary: bevacizumab plus FOLFOX4 as second-line treatment of colorectal cancer. The oncologist 2007 Mar;12(3):356-61.

54. Lauro S, Onesti CE, Righini R, Marchetti P. The use of bevacizumab in non-small cell lung cancer: an update. Anticancer research 2014 Apr;34(4):1537-45.

55. Kindler HL, Karrison TG, Gandara DR, Lu C, Krug LM, Stevenson JP, et al. Multicenter, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, randomized phase II trial of gemcitabine/cisplatin plus bevacizumab or placebo in patients with malignant mesothelioma. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2012;30(20):2509-15.

56. Mossman BT, Shukla A, Heintz NH, Verschraegen CF, Thomas A, Hassan R. New insights into understanding the mechanisms, pathogenesis, and management of malignant mesotheliomas. The American journal of pathology 2013 Apr;182(4):1065-77.

57. Ramalingam SS, Belani CP, Ruel C, Frankel P, Gitlitz B, Koczywas M, et al. Phase II study of belinostat (PXD101), a histone deacetylase inhibitor, for second line therapy of advanced malignant pleural mesothelioma. J Thorac Oncol 2009 Jan;4(1):97-101.

58. Fennell DA, McDowell C, Busacca S, Webb G, Moulton B, Cakana A, et al. Phase II clinical trial of first or second-line treatment with bortezomib in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma. J Thorac Oncol 2012 Sep;7(9):1466-70.

59. Stevenson JP, Kindler HL, Papasavvas E, Sun J, Jacobs-Small M, Hull J, et al. Immunological effects of the TGFβ-blocking antibody GC1008 in malignant pleural mesothelioma patients. Oncoimmunology 2013;2(8):e26218-e18.

60. Strizzi L, Catalano A, Vianale G, Orecchia S, Casalini A, Tassi G, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor is an autocrine growth factor in human malignant mesothelioma. The Journal of pathology 2001 Apr;193(4):468-75.

61. Yella JK, Yaddanapudi S, Wang Y, Jegga AG. Changing Trends in Computational Drug Repositioning. 2018 Jun 5;11(2).

62. Boyer A, Pasquier E, Tomasini P, Ciccolini J, Greillier L, Andre N, et al. Drug repurposing in malignant pleural mesothelioma: a breath of fresh air? European respiratory review : an official journal of the European Respiratory Society 2018 Mar 31;27(147).

** Useful review on drug reposition in mesothelioma

 63. Amelio I, Gostev M, Knight RA, Willis AE, Melino G, Antonov AV. DRUGSURV: a resource for repositioning of approved and experimental drugs in oncology based on patient survival information. Cell death & disease 2014 Feb 6;5:e1051.

64. Tian K, Bakker E, Hussain M, Guazzelli A, Alhebshi H, Meysami P, et al. p53 modeling as a route to mesothelioma patients stratification and novel therapeutic identification. Journal of translational medicine 2018 Oct 13;16(1):282.

65. Meng XY, Zhang HX, Mezei M, Cui M. Molecular docking: a powerful approach for structurebased drug discovery. Current computer-aided drug design 2011 Jun 1;7(2):146-57.

66. Utomo DH, Widodo N, Rifa'i M. Identifications small molecules inhibitor of p53-mortalin complex for cancer drug using virtual screening. Bioinformation 2012;8(9):426-9.

67. Irwin JJ, Sterling T, Mysinger MM, Bolstad ES, Coleman RG. ZINC: a free tool to discover chemistry for biology. Journal of chemical information and modeling 2012 Jul 23;52(7):1757-68.

URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/eoid Email: IEID-peerreview@journals.tandf.co.uk

68. Ito T, Ando H, Handa H. Teratogenic effects of thalidomide: molecular mechanisms. Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS 2011 May;68(9):1569-79.

69. Singhal S, Mehta J, Desikan R, Ayers D, Roberson P, Eddlemon P, et al. Antitumor activity of thalidomide in refractory multiple myeloma. The New England journal of medicine 1999 Nov 18;341(21):1565-71.

70. Baas P, Boogerd W, Dalesio O, Haringhuizen A, Custers F, Zandwijk Nv. Thalidomide in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma. Lung cancer (Amsterdam, Netherlands) 2005 2005/01/;48(2):291-96.

71. Buikhuisen WA, Burgers JA, Vincent AD, Korse CM, van Klaveren RJ, Schramel FM, et al. Thalidomide versus active supportive care for maintenance in patients with malignant mesothelioma after first-line chemotherapy (NVALT 5): an open-label, multicentre, randomised phase 3 study. The Lancet Oncology 2013 May;14(6):543-51.

72. Gottlicher M, Minucci S, Zhu P, Kramer OH, Schimpf A, Giavara S, et al. Valproic acid defines a novel class of HDAC inhibitors inducing differentiation of transformed cells. The EMBO journal 2001 Dec 17;20(24):6969-78.

73. Činčárová L, Zdráhal Z, Fajkus J. New perspectives of valproic acid in clinical practice. Expert opinion on investigational drugs 2013 2013/12/01;22(12):1535-47.

74. Krauze AV, Myrehaug SD, Chang MG, Holdford DJ, Smith S, Shih J, et al. A Phase 2 Study of Concurrent Radiation Therapy, Temozolomide, and the Histone Deacetylase Inhibitor Valproic Acid for Patients With Glioblastoma. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics 2015 Aug 1;92(5):986-92.

75. Coronel J, Cetina L, Pacheco I, Trejo-Becerril C, Gonzalez-Fierro A, de la Cruz-Hernandez E, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized phase III trial of chemotherapy plus epigenetic therapy with hydralazine valproate for advanced cervical cancer. Preliminary results. Medical oncology (Northwood, London, England) 2011 Dec;28 Suppl 1:S540-6.

76. Vandermeers F, Hubert P, Delvenne P, Mascaux C, Grigoriu B, Burny A, et al. Valproate, in combination with pemetrexed and cisplatin, provides additional efficacy to the treatment of malignant mesothelioma. Clin Cancer Res 2009 Apr 15;15(8):2818-28.

77. Scherpereel A, Berghmans T, Lafitte JJ, Colinet B, Richez M, Bonduelle Y, et al. Valproatedoxorubicin: promising therapy for progressing mesothelioma. A phase II study. The European respiratory journal 2011 Jan;37(1):129-35.

78. Hindler K, Cleeland CS, Rivera E, Collard CD. The role of statins in cancer therapy. The oncologist 2006 Mar;11(3):306-15.

79. Rubins JB, Greatens T, Kratzke RA, Tan AT, Polunovsky VA, Bitterman P. Lovastatin induces apoptosis in malignant mesothelioma cells. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 1998 May;157(5):1616-22.

80. Yamauchi Y, Izumi Y, Asakura K, Fukutomi T, Serizawa A, Kawai K, et al. Lovastatin and valproic acid additively attenuate cell invasion in ACC-MESO-1 cells. Biochem Bioph Res Co 2011 Jul 1;410(2):328-32.

81. Hwang KE, Kim YS, Hwang YR, Kwon SJ, Park DS, Cha BK, et al. Enhanced apoptosis by pemetrexed and simvastatin in malignant mesothelioma and lung cancer cells by reactive oxygen species-dependent mitochondrial dysfunction and Bim induction. International journal of oncology 2014 Oct;45(4):1769-77.

82. Tuerdi G, Ichinomiya S, Sato H, Siddig S, Suwa E, Iwata H, et al. Synergistic effect of combined treatment with gamma-tocotrienol and statin on human malignant mesothelioma cells. Cancer Lett 2013 Oct 1;339(1):116-27.

83. Asakura K, Izumi Y, Yamamoto M, Yamauchi Y, Kawai K, Serizawa A, et al. The Cytostatic Effects of Lovastatin on ACC-MESO-1 Cells. J Surg Res 2011 Oct;170(2):E197-E209.

84. Pounds R, Leonard S, Dawson C, Kehoe S. Repurposing itraconazole for the treatment of cancer. Oncology letters 2017;14(3):2587-97.

85. You M, Varona-Santos J, Singh S, Robbins DJ, Savaraj N, Nguyen DM. Targeting of the Hedgehog signal transduction pathway suppresses survival of malignant pleural mesothelioma cells in vitro. The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery 2014 Jan;147(1):508-16.

86. Falchi L, Verstovsek S, Ravandi-Kashani F, Kantarjian HM. The evolution of arsenic in the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia and other myeloid neoplasms: Moving toward an effective oral, outpatient therapy. Cancer 2016 Apr 15;122(8):1160-68.

87. Eguchi R, Fujimori Y, Takeda H, Tabata C, Ohta T, Kuribayashi K, et al. Arsenic Trioxide Induces
Apoptosis Through JNK and ERK in Human Mesothelioma Cells. J Cell Physiol 2011 Mar;226(3):762-68.
88. Lam SK, Li YY, Zheng CY, Ho JC. Downregulation of thymidylate synthase and E2F1 by arsenic trioxide in mesothelioma. International journal of oncology 2015 Jan;46(1):113-22.

89. Testino G, Leone S, Borro P. Treatment of alcohol dependence: recent progress and reduction of consumption. Minerva Med 2014 Dec;105(6):447-66.

90. Lin JQ, Haffner MC, Zhang YG, Lee BH, Brennen WN, Britton J, et al. Disulfiram Is a DNA Demethylating Agent and Inhibits Prostate Cancer Cell Growth. Prostate 2011 Mar 1;71(4):333-43.

91. Cheriyan VT, Wang Y, Muthu M, Jamal S, Chen D, Yang HJ, et al. Disulfiram Suppresses Growth of the Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma Cells in Part by Inducing Apoptosis. Plos One 2014 Apr 1;9(4).

92. Saha S, Mukherjee S, Khan P, Kajal K, Mazumdar M, Manna A, et al. Aspirin Suppresses the Acquisition of Chemoresistance in Breast Cancer by Disrupting an NF kappa B-IL6 Signaling Axis Responsible for the Generation of Cancer Stem Cells. Cancer Research 2016 Apr 1;76(7):2000-12.

93. Yang H, Pellegrini L, Napolitano A, Giorgi C, Jube S, Preti A, et al. Aspirin delays mesothelioma growth by inhibiting HMGB1-mediated tumor progression. Cell death & disease 2015 Jun;6.

94. Steinbach G, Lynch PM, Phillips RKS, Wallace MH, Hawk E, Gordon GB, et al. The effect of celecoxib, a cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, in familial adenomatous polyposis. New Engl J Med 2000 Jun 29;342(26):1946-52.

95. Veltman JD, Lambers MEH, van Nimwegen M, Hendriks RW, Hoogsteden HC, Aerts JGJV, et al. COX-2 inhibition improves immunotherapy and is associated with decreased numbers of myeloidderived suppressor cells in mesothelioma. Celecoxib influences MDSC function. Bmc Cancer 2010 Aug 30;10.

96. Zi F, Zi H, Li Y, He J, Shi Q, Cai Z. Metformin and cancer: An existing drug for cancer prevention and therapy. Oncology letters 2018;15(1):683-90.

97. Lou E, Fujisawa S, Morozov A, Barlas A, Romin Y, Dogan Y, et al. Tunneling Nanotubes Provide a Unique Conduit for Intercellular Transfer of Cellular Contents in Human Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma. Plos One 2012 Mar 9;7(3).

98. Kannappan R, Gupta SC, Kim JH, Aggarwal BB. Tocotrienols fight cancer by targeting multiple cell signaling pathways. Genes & nutrition 2012;7(1):43-52.

99. Nakashima K, Virgona N, Miyazawa M, Watanabe T, Yano T. The tocotrienol-rich fraction from rice bran enhances cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity in human mesothelioma H28 cells. Phytotherapy research : PTR 2010 Sep;24(9):1317-21.

100. Sato A, Ueno H, Takase A, Ando A, Sekine Y, Yano T. Cytotoxicity Induced by a Redox-silent Analog of Tocotrienol in Human Mesothelioma H2452 Cell Line via Suppression of Cap-dependent Protein Translation. Anticancer research 2016 Apr;36(4):1527-33.

101. Abayasiriwardana KS, Barbone D, Kim KU, Vivo C, Lee KK, Dansen TB, et al. Malignant mesothelioma cells are rapidly sensitized to TRAIL-induced apoptosis by low-dose anisomycin via Bim. Molecular cancer therapeutics 2007 Oct;6(10):2766-76.

102. Drake MT, Clarke BL, Khosla S. Bisphosphonates: mechanism of action and role in clinical practice. Mayo Clinic proceedings 2008;83(9):1032-45.

103. Perry CM, Figgitt DP. Zoledronic acid: a review of its use in patients with advanced cancer. Drugs 2004;64(11):1197-211.

104. Okamoto S, Kawamura K, Li Q, Yamanaka M, Yang S, Fukamachi T, et al. Zoledronic Acid Produces Antitumor Effects on Mesothelioma Through Apoptosis and S-Phase Arrest in p53-

Independent and Ras prenylation-Independent Manners. Journal of Thoracic Oncology 2012 2012/05/01/;7(5):873-82.

105. Jamil MO, Jerome MS, Miley D, Selander KS, Robert F. A pilot study of zoledronic acid in the treatment of patients with advanced malignant pleural mesothelioma. Lung Cancer-Targets 2017;8:39-44.

106. Clive AO, Hooper CE, Edey AJ, Morley AJ, Zahan-Evans N, Hall D, et al. A randomised controlled trial of intravenous zoledronic acid in malignant pleural disease: a proof of principle pilot study. Plos One 2015;10(3):e0118569.

107. de Fonseka D, Morley A, Stadon L, Keenan E, Walker S, Smith S, et al. Zoledronic acid in the management of mesothelioma - a feasibility study (Zol-A Trial): study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials 2018 2018/08/29;19(1):467.

108. Klabatsa A, Sheaff MT, Steele JPC, Evans MT, Rudd RM, Fennell DA. Expression and prognostic significance of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF- 1α) in malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM). Lung cancer (Amsterdam, Netherlands) 2006 2006/01/01/;51(1):53-59.

109. Francis RJ, Segard T, Morandeau L, Lee YC, Millward MJ, Segal A, et al. Characterization of hypoxia in malignant pleural mesothelioma with FMISO PET-CT. Lung cancer (Amsterdam, Netherlands) 2015 Oct;90(1):55-60.

110. Kim M-C, Hwang S-H, Kim N-Y, Lee H-S, Ji S, Yang Y, et al. Hypoxia promotes acquisition of aggressive phenotypes in human malignant mesothelioma. BMC Cancer 2018 2018/08/15;18(1):819.

111. Nabavi N, Bennewith KL, Churg A, Wang Y, Collins CC, Mutti L. Switching off malignant mesothelioma: exploiting the hypoxic microenvironment. Genes & cancer 2016;7(11-12):340-54. ** Informative review on hypoxia in mesothelioma.

112. De Santi C, Melaiu O, Bonotti A, Cascione L, Di Leva G, Foddis R, et al. Deregulation of miRNAs in malignant pleural mesothelioma is associated with prognosis and suggests an alteration of cell metabolism. Scientific reports 2017;7(1):3140-40.

** Useful information on microRNAs

113. Moody HL, Lind MJ, Maher SG. MicroRNA-31 Regulates Chemosensitivity in Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma. Molecular therapy Nucleic acids 2017 Sep 15;8:317-29.

114. Reid G, Pel ME, Kirschner MB, Cheng YY, Mugridge N, Weiss J, et al. Restoring expression of miR-16: a novel approach to therapy for malignant pleural mesothelioma. Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology 2013 Dec;24(12):3128-35.

115. van Zandwijk N, Pavlakis N, Kao SC, Linton A, Boyer MJ, Clarke S, et al. Safety and activity of microRNA-loaded minicells in patients with recurrent malignant pleural mesothelioma: a first-in-man, phase 1, open-label, dose-escalation study. The Lancet Oncology 2017 Oct;18(10):1386-96.

116. Zhang X, Varin E, Allouche S, Lu Y, Poulain L, Icard P. Effect of citrate on malignant pleural mesothelioma cells: a synergistic effect with cisplatin. Anticancer research 2009 Apr;29(4):1249-54.

117. Perumal V, Pohl S, Keane KN, Arfuso F, Newsholme P, Fox S, et al. Therapeutic approach to target mesothelioma cancer cells using the Wnt antagonist, secreted frizzled-related protein 4: Metabolic state of cancer cells. Experimental Cell Research 2016 2016/02/15/;341(2):218-24.

118. Li T, Hou S-C, Mao J-H, Wang Y-C, Lu X-D, Tan J-L, et al. The expression of Dishevelled-3 and glutamine metabolism in malignant pleural mesothelioma. Journal of clinical pathology 2012;65(9):855-58.

119. Bononi A, Giorgi C, Patergnani S, Larson D, Verbruggen K, Tanji M, et al. BAP1 regulates IP3R3mediated Ca(2+) flux to mitochondria suppressing cell transformation. Nature 2017 Jun 22;546(7659):549-53.

120. Massard C, Michiels S, Ferté C, Le Deley M-C, Lacroix L, Hollebecque A, et al. High-Throughput Genomics and Clinical Outcome in Hard-to-Treat Advanced Cancers: Results of the MOSCATO 01 Trial. Cancer Discovery 2017.

** Useful study for understing the direction of future personalized medicine by Massard and colleagues.

For Peer Review Only





Figure 2 Examples of drugs repositioning in mesothelioma.

Abbreviations: NADH; nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, ATP; Adenosine triphosphate, COX-2; Cyclooxygenase-2, VDAC; Voltage-dependent anion channel, CoA; coenzyme A reductase, HMG; 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl, FPP; farnesyl pyrophosphate, GPP; geranyl pyrophosphate, ER; endoplasmic reticulum, HDAC; histone deacetylases, NF-κB; nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells, VEGFR; vascular endothelial growth factor receptors, VEGF; vascular endothelial growth factor.

338x190mm (300 x 300 DPI)

URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/eoid Email: IEID-peerreview@journals.tandf.co.uk

Title	#	Dhasas	Sponsor/Collaborators	NCT Number
The	# pts	Phases	Sponsor/Collaborators	NCI Number
Intrapleural Photodynamic Therapy in a Multimodal Treatment for Patients With Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	6	Phase II	University Hospital, Lille Institut National de la Santé Et de la Recherche Médicale, France University of Pennsylvania Région Nord-Pas de Calais, France	NCT02662504
Vascularity Impact on the Treatment Outcome in Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma(VITMPM)	50		Ain Shams University	NCT02603315
Active Symptom Control With or Without Chemotherapy in Treating Patients With Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	840	Phase III	Medical Research Council National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00075699
Isolated Thoracic Perfusion (ITP-F) for MPM	23		Medias Klinikum for Surgical Oncology	NCT02467426
Pemetrexed Disodium and Cisplatin Followed By Surgery and Radiation Therapy in Treating Patients With Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	59	Phase II	European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer – EORTC	NCT00227630
Pemetrexed Disodium and Cisplatin Followed by Surgery With or Without Radiation Therapy in Treating Patients With Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	153	Phase II	Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research	NCT00334594
Mesothelioma Avastin Plus Pemetrexed- cisplatin Study	448	Phase II Phase III	Intergroupe Francophone de Cancerologie Thoracique University Hospital, Caen Groupe Francais De Pneumo- Cancerologie	NCT00651456
Effect of FAS and FAS Ligand Polymorphisms on Patients With Platinum- Based -Treated Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	68	2	Ain Shams University	NCT02269878
Study of Carboplatin and Vinorelbine in Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	40	Phase II	Rigshospitalet, Denmark	NCT00272558
Gemcitabine in Long Infusion and Cisplatin for Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma Treatment	78	Phase II	Institute of Oncology Ljubljana Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology, Solvenia	NCT01243632
Phase II Study of IMC-A12 in Patients With Mesothelioma Who Have Been Previously Treated With Chemotherapy	20	Phase II	National Cancer Institute (NCI) National Institutes of Health Clinical Center (CC)	NCT01160458
Extrapleural Pneumonectomy /Pleurectomy Decortication, IHOC Cisplatin and Gemcitabine With Amifostine and Sodium Thiosulfate Cytoprotection for Resectable Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	141	Phase I	Brigham and Women's Hospital Dana-Farber Cancer Institute	NCT00571298
Pilot Study of Allogeneic Tumor Cell Vaccine With Metronomic Oral Cyclophosphamide and Celecoxib in Patients Undergoing Resection of Lung and Esophageal Cancers, Thymic Neoplasms, and Malignant Pleural Mesotheliomas	10	Phase I	National Cancer Institute (NCI) National Institutes of Health Clinical Center (CC)	NCT01143545
A Phase II Study of PF-03446962 in Patients With Advanced Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	17	Phase II	NCIC Clinical Trials Group Canadian Cancer Trials Group	NCT01486368
Pleurectomy/DecorticationFollowedbyIntrathoracic/IntraperitonealHeatedCisplatin for Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma	70	Phase I	Dana-FarberCancerInstitute BrighamandWomen's Hospital	NCT00165555

	Farget	Drugs	Combinations	Phase	Sponsor/	NCT Num
				3	Collaborators	
Immunotherapy	Mesothelin	SS1P	CDDP-PEM	Phase I	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT01445
		SS1P	Pentostatin/Cycl	Phase	National Cancer	NCT01362
	PD-1	Pembrolizumab	Alone	Phase II	University of Chicago Collaborator: National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT02399
	Gene therapy	Adenoviral- mediated IFN-β BG00001		Phase I	Abramson Cancer Centre of the University of Pennsylvania Collaborator: National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT000664
Tyrosine-kinase inhibitors (TKI)	Dendritic Cell-based vaccine	Tumour lysate- loaded autologous dendritic cells		Phase I	Erasmus Medical Centre	NCT023950
	EGFR	Erlotinib	Alone	Phase II	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00039
		Erlotinib	Bevacizumab	Phase II	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00137
		Gefinitib (ZD1839, Iressa)	4	Phase II	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT000252
Antibodies based therapy	Anti- angiogenesis inhbitors	Bevacizumab		Phase	University of Texas Southwestern Medical Centre Collaborators: University of Chicago Columbia University Duke University Information provided by (Responsible Party): Jonathan E. Dowell, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Centre National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00295:
	Histone deacetylase inhibitors	Belinostat		Phase II	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT00365
Discovery of new small molecules	A miR-16- based microRNA mimic	TargomiRs		Phase I	Asbestos Disease Research Foundation	NCT02369

PARP inhibitor	Olaparib	Phase II	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	NCT03531840