Beyond Black and Green: Children visioneering the future

2 Dr Emilie Whitaker

Chapter to be published as part of the *Future of Social Epistemology* edited Collection, Jim Collier (ed.), Rowman and Littlefield.

Introduction

Steve Fuller (2013) has written extensively in recent times on what he foresees to be a 'ninety degree revolution' in politics away from the traditional left/right distinction towards a politics where proponents divide along heavenly or earthly orientations. Here, he describes 'upwinging Blacks' and 'downwinging Greens.' Such binaries are presented as schemata, yet the implication for Fuller's own theologically-imbued epistemology is clear. The Blacks are the future with their proactionary, risk-taking, embracing of science and technology, pushing humanity out of a stasis. The Greens are dismissed in the main as earthly luddites. Yet, Fuller (2013) presumes not only the evident status of these binaries, but states on this political shift, "so far I have portrayed this ideological rotation from the standpoint of a younger generation that accepts it as a given." The question at hand for this chapter is simply, is this the case? Do young people align more or less with these orientations and the presumed adherence to proactionary or precautionary approaches particularly with regard to science and technology? How do they 'visioneer' their futures? Visioneering is where young people both describe their vision of the future and posit the 'engineer' aspects of the neologism, to answer how we got there (McCray, 2012).

Methodology

To investigate the visioneering activity of young people, the author and Steve Fuller have undertaken exploratory ethnographic and inductive work with groups of young people. The pattern of this burgeoning study has been to undertake semi-structured group interviews with groups of children aged 12 - 16 as part of existing events themed around science and technology. These group conversations utilise the settings, the props and the talks already given to open up discussion on what the future may hold and how they come to understand it in this way. In addition, to informal, digitally-recorded interviews, we devised visual methods creating a "postcards from the future wall" where participants describe a potential future and send it back to the present. The study is exploratory in scope and takes its ethical orientation from the new sociology of childhood which places emphasis on the participatory and agential focus of work with children and young people (Jenks, 2004; James and Prout, 1990). The interpretive lens adopted takes children and young people actors in their own right, as meaning-makers, albeit enmeshed in temporal conditions not of their own making.

- 1 The small snapshot of data provided here is taken from an event whereby over 75
- 2 children interacted with us either in group conversations or through utilising the
- 3 "postcards from the future" wall. We have accumulated over 6 hours of group data
- 4 from the event, so all that can be presented here are the very initial themes that
- 5 punctuated the day. Interestingly, in Fuller's schemata themes were predominantly
- 6 'green' rather than 'black.' In the main groups were concerned with near-future
- 7 visioneering within their lifetime and placed emphasis on the Anthropocene over the
- 8 heavens.
- 9 Two group discussions are presented here as crudely encompassing what at first
- 10 glance appear to fall neatly into the 'upwinger'/'downwinger', Black/Green
- 11 classifications charted by Steve Fuller (2013) in a widely read piece for Aeon
- magazine. Both discussions focused on the kinds of existential threats that form the
- 13 backbone of dystopian futures one on the impacts of environmental disaster
- wrought by climate change, the other on the potential impacts of a range of common
- 15 transhuman proclivities augmentation, genetic engineering, and artificial
- intelligence. But they complicated Fuller's undulating poles. In his original formulation
- 17 he implies not only an earthly or celestial/trans orientation, but links such orientations
- to an embrace of or resistance to technologies. Thus, downwingers are cast
- 19 somewhat disparagingly as resistant to transcendence of many kinds preferring
- 20 instead to cling to some Aristotelian essentialised human nature, the mark of which
- 21 is our biological encasing on earth. They embody the precautionary principle
- 22 enshrined in legislation following the atrocities committed in the twentieth century.
- 23 In contrast, upwingers receive much more favourable treatment as the bringers of
- 24 Humanity 2.0, creatively destroying old mores to pull us out of our current ideological
- 25 stasis. Upwingers are those which enact the proactionary principle (More, Fuller and
- Lipinska, 2014), they are 'proactionaries' taking calculated risks as part of a
- 27 programme for human progress, where the capacity for progress is taken to define
- 28 us as a species.

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

Technology to remake, remould and regrow the natural

Why do nature and technology have to be in competition, combat? Why don't we use what we know about biology to rebuild nature? I mean we can grow skin, map and alter our genetic code, grow modified crops, why not turn that to support the natural. It's all living.

Yeah. Why is it that environmental concerns are ignored or put back all the time? I mean it's pretty arrogant to think we can just up and leave on some imaginary spaceship, we are here and now.

- These quotes were taken from a group discussion with three fifteen year old girls.
- 39 Two wanted to go into medicine and one was undecided although she was

interested in the interplay between "biology and engineering." The three young women were all concerned about climate change and the impact of this not only on

our natural environments but our geopolitical engagements with each other. One of

4 the group told us,

3

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

It's not just about companies and coal, it's about what the effect is of disasters in one part of the world on another. So I can see real issues with...like... environmental refugees because their villages have flooded or a tsunami has knocked out their industry.

In the visioneering work undertaken by young people on the day, such concerns

10 about climate change, environmental crisis and poverty were not marked by a

- 11 technological resistance. There was no talk of a quest for holism which can only be
- 12 realised in 'returning to nature' and rejecting the malevolence that science has
- wrought. Indeed given the patriarchal narrative which has historically aligned women
- with nature to serve a politics of oppression, this is an important point to make.
- 15 Plumwood points out (1997:19), "feminine 'closeness to nature' has hardly been a
- 16 compliment".
- 17 In fact in the accounts given by this group, these 'downwingers' were comfortable in
- harnessing the power of technology to protect the earth, to almost instigate a second
- 19 natural flourishing, not dissimilar to some of the ambitions espoused by 'Living
- 20 Architect' Rachel Armstrong (2010). When asked about the potential for synthetic
- 21 biology one of the young women responded,

You know, why not harness all that we know about biology and synthetic environments and use that to repair the planet? I just don't see why there's 'man made' in one corner and 'the natural' in the other. And we just abandon nature.

Their accounts shared commonalities with the rise of eco-modernity as an alternative to environmentalism. The ways in which the young women emphasised the human consequences of environmental degradation point not to an abandonment of science but rather its interspersion with reflexive modernisation. That is under ecomodernism, "science should be demonopolised and democratised and redirected toward a social rationality" (Bäckstrand, 2004:700). Befitting such a humanity-oriented greenness, they were not afraid to make a political case for living differently; this was a rarity as the data collated so far indicated an absence the role of the state in these future dystopias:

You've got the government to do more, I mean, people keep saying it's going to take a long time but if you don't start you never finish. If you start now we'll be done in 15-20 years. We need scientists to get into politics, they have the knowledge, if they go into politics they can spread that knowledge. They need to stop being scared, their insecurity is less important than what's going on in the world. They need to stop thinking of themselves and think about all the

1 2	people that would benefit. People are too selfish to think about the bigger problems.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Those young people who were passionately concerned about climate change described a human-centred rather than geo-centred world; there was no mention of animal sentience and the bestowing of rights to non-human creatures. In the group discussion where downwinging played a central role, the young women making the case for climate-interventionism seemed to be making it on a vitalistic rather than Darwinian premise. Such a vitalism may form a better entry point into the cartography being mapped by these young people, as their lives are complexly mediated by the blurring of the body with technology, the ecological with the manufactured. Their sophistication seemed to contest the Green/Black binary by refusing to oppose nature to culture, environment to society, art to science. The creative approach to sustainability, of utilising technology to remake the natural undermines the sharp distinction between 'black and green' as somehow indicative of a proactionary or precautionary stance to the science and technology.
16	
17	
18	Embodying risk: anti-fragility and resilience through gaming
19	SF: So how do you see the future?
20 21	Very dark really, things going down. Underdeveloped countries, pollution, resource crises
22	SF: You sound pessimistic about the future!
23	Yeah I think there are a lot of big problems.
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Such dystopian visions emerged most readily in references to gaming which emerged as a dominant theme this group of young men. Notable mention went to the cyberpunk-styled game <i>Deus Ex: Human Revolution</i> set in a near-dystopian future. <i>Human Revolution</i> tackles transhumanist themes through the eyes of the protagonist, an employee of a biochemical human augmentation firm, as he considers whether humanity's reach has exceeded its grasp. It societal setting is cataclysmic, corporations have greater power than states, corruption is rife and rebellion put down with brutal violence.
32 33 34 35 36	I play a game set in the future, Deus Ex: Human Revolution. There's global terrorism and someone releases a virus. People are getting synthetic arms, nano technology all kind of augmentation. It'll happen, you know. I've seen these things in the news already, well something like that. The thing is, if we do get these augmentations then it's going to be a taboo to be normal. That is

1 a realistic possibility. Also, in the game you need money or access to 2 resources, there's no NHS you know. So only rich people benefit. 3 Another game mentioned was the post-apocalyptic game Fall Out. 4 I think a possible future is like Fall Out because of wars and resource 5 shortages. 6 SF: Do you really think nuclear war is a possibility? 7 Definitely. Nuclear war is a possibility for the future: it'll just be started over 8 different issues than before. Like Russia invaded Georgia and no one cared. 9 militias are growing in Crimea. In the future these little skirmishes become 10 more important as resources shrink. 11 These young men spoke of the gaming experience as a tool to furnish their 12 visioneering activity alongside their interest in the practice of formal scientific enquiry 13 and their own personal hopes and ambitions. The gaming activity offered up a 14 language and a set of tests – to consider difficult 'what if' scenarios. It was as though 15 the practice of gaming enabled a relationship with risk and the ethics of risk to be 16 contemplated and explored. It offered a visceral window into visioneering practice as 17 gaming was described as something experienced and embodied not merely thought 18 or seen. 19 Qvortrup (2003) uses the concept "hypercomplex society" to describe how digital 2.0 20 communication technologies enmesh the local intimately with the global. In this 21 hypercomplex society culture becomes, according to Poster (2004), a heady mix of 22 multiple meanings which young people seem to negotiate, from the early analysis of 23 our findings, with a great deal of ease. The interaction of mobility with information, 24 social movements with geographic space through the politics of the hashtag proffers 25 a very intimate vet global hypercomplex society. The four fourteen year old boys 26 considered the consequences of flooding in Bangladesh, the fall out of genetic 27 experimentation and the ethics of epidemic through their avatared prism of gaming 28 through near-future contexts provided by Deus Ex: Human Revolution. Global themes experience locally. Whilst such visions have been presented as evidence of 29 30 a dystopian generation, weary already with the atrocities and unfairness of the world, 31 perhaps these themes are present in accounts because the world reaches into and 32 mediates so many spaces experienced by young people. Moreover, they reach back. 33 From smart phones, to Second Life, twitter to rolling 24 hour news there is a degree 34 of 'hyperreality' (Baudrillard, 1983) in the visioneering accounts presented to us. The 35 four young men in this group all owned smart phones and tablets, two had twitter 36 accounts and all were using online apps and platforms to interact with friends and 37 share information across time and space. One told me, "I could not live without it 38 [smart phone]. So much of my life is on it and through it." In the case of many

participants engaged with in this session, information and communication

technologies have become "arenas for social experience" (Stone, 1995:15). In this

39

40

- 1 regard, the Baudrillardian hyperreality (1983:11) of distractive symbols and codes
- 2 also holds the potential for extension of the self into the world. This interpellation
- 3 may be enabled young people to equip themselves with cognitive and experiential
- 4 tools to understand and experience risky visions under terms of relative safety.
- 5 It is in this interactive reaching in and out that the duality of the 'real' and 'virtual'
- 6 begins to disintegrate, as Lévy put it (1998:23), "Consider the simple and misleading
- 7 opposition between the real and the virtual." The dystopian experiences navigated
- 8 through gaming held corporeal significance within the hyperreality, one of the
- 9 participants simply stated,
- You feel it as you get used to being him [Adam Jenson protagonist]. The noise of it, it like speeds your heart rate up, you know something's going to happen and you know you need to respond. Fight or flight isn't it?
- 13 Thus, it would be erroneous to posit a neat distinction between an embodied
- 14 'present' body and a disembodied, gaming body. Rather, gaming offers scope to
- 15 experiment with the limits and dimensions of the self, including material and
- 16 corporeal sensations.
- 17 Increasingly, sophisticated interactive gaming cultures enable transgression and
- transmogrification of the self and body through visioneering settings and
- 19 experiences. Risk can be felt, experienced, mitigated and accelerated, opening up
- 20 new worlds of cognitive consideration and vision. The expansion of experience
- 21 encountered through digital worlds is supportive of Ong's (1982) argument that the
- 22 appropriation of new forms of expression alters the very horizons for human thought
- and cognition. Here, the boys' use of gaming enables them to work through in a
- 24 pseudo-embodied sense, alternate conceptualisation of the future and their attitudes
- toward it. In this regard, perhaps Fuller and Lipinska's (2014) call for a 'proactionary'
- 26 imperative for public policy could find utility in gaming cultures as sites for trial and
- error, risk taking and risk making. Such a programme would take the idea of the
- 28 'cyborg citizen' quite seriously (Gray, 2001) as young people are encouraged to take
- risks as part of a dual programme of 'anti-fragility' and inoculation. With regard to
- 30 children and young people in particular, we can only understand risk in relation to
- of maren and young people in particular, we can only understand hisk in relation to
- resilience (Ungar, 2011; Daniel, 2003). Bolstering resilience through exposure to
- 32 managed risk has been put forward as a method for supporting the adaptive quality
- of resilience in young people (Empson and Nabuzoka, 2004). Addressing resilience
- in young people Daniel (2003:7) describes, "Resilience is not simply an absence of
- 35 psychological symptoms despite having experienced adversity, it is the possession
- of a positive adaptive ability that enables a person to feel competent despite risky
- 37 living conditions." Masten et al (1990:426) defined resilience in children as, "the
- 38 process of, capacity for, or outcome of successful adaptation despite challenging or
- 39 threatening circumstances." Thus it is not the absence of risk that undermines
- 40 resilience, but the managed exposure to and successful negotiation of the
- 41 encounter. Here Taleb's (2012) concept of 'anti-fragility' may help us to understand

- 1 the utility of visioneering activity through gaming culture. In Taleb's
- 2 conceptualisation, the 'anti-fragile' agent does not merely withstand challenge as it
- 3 arises, she seeks to improve her current condition as the environment changes,
- 4 without clinging to any preordained sense of normality. The anti-fragile agent
- 5 engages in both spread-betting ensuring multiple options are covered and
- 6 visioneering, exploring the action and consequences of routes taken and not taken.
- 7 The inoculation approach encompassed in idea of 'anti-fragility' (Taleb, 2012)
- 8 captures the active conceptualisation of resilience as adaptive and learnable quality.
- 9 In addressing Fuller and Lipinska's (2014) demand for a policy programme
- 10 supportive of risk taking in a risk anxious culture epitomised by fears about and for
- 11 young people, digital spaces may provide a test case. In the sense of a programme
- 12 for proaction, gaming enables a vicarious yet embodied engagement with the
- 13 experience of risk-taking without the fear of existential precarity. Avatars become
- less a representation, a symbolic extension of the digital self and more a site for
- potential embodiment, particularly as gaming culture and technology advances to
- 16 become still more corporeal.

Conclusion

17

18

- 19 The visioneering work of these young people rejects the kind of political generational 20 talk as filibuster arguing instead that, "we need to think differently in order to live 21 differently" and "more scientists need to get into politics." Their accounts challenge 22 common kinds of epistemological boundary work, the policing of the possible from 23 the impossible, by collapsing the now with the almost-now, the existent with the 24 becoming. In this regard, whether exploring the scope of synthetic biology to literally 25 rebuild nature, or considering geopolitical manoeuvres in cyber space, the accounts 26 of young people indicate a sympathy with Haraway's "natureculture" (2003). In this 27 vein, young people as digital natives and cyborg citizens in-the-making denote 28 Turkle's (1995:21), "transgressive mixture of biology, technology, and code." In 29 talking about their experiences in the social realm of gaming they consider alternative dystopian futures and confront technological advances within an ethical 30 31 and social framework. Some of the mechanics and poetics of this visioneering are also akin to Kelly's (1979) "double-edged vision". This concept speaks of the power 32 33 of hybridising lucid argument with political and personal passion leading to the 34 creation of alternative social blueprints. In taking an agential focus to the visioneering 35 work of the young participants, the burgeoning data suggests the importance of 36 epistemological humility in the subtleties of visioneered potentialities; subtleties that 37 challenge our neat constructions of Black and Green, Up and Down, Trans and Post-38 humanity.
- 39

1 References

- 2 Armstrong, R., (2010). "Living Architecture". News in Conservation, International
- 3 Institute for Conservation, 20, pp.4-5.
- 4 Baudrillard, J. (1983). Simulations. New York: Semiotext[e].
- 5 Daniel, B. (2003). "The Value of Resilience as a Concept for Practice in Residential
- 6 Settings." Scottish Journal of Residential Care, 2 (1), pp. 6-15.
- 7 Empson, J.M. and Nabuzoka, D. (2004). Atypical child development in context.
- 8 London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- 9 Fuller, S. (2013). "Ninety-degree revolution Right and Left are fading away. The real
- 10 question in politics will be: do you look to the earth or aspire to the skies?" Aeon
- 11 *Magazine*, 24 October.
- 12 Fuller, S. and Lipinska, V. (2014). The Proactionary Imperative: A Foundation for
- 13 Transhumanism. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- 14 Gray, C. H. (2001). *Cyborg Citizen Politics in the Posthuman Age*. London:
- 15 Routledge.
- 16 Haraway, D. (2003). The Companion Species Manifesto. Dogs, People, and
- 17 Significant Otherness. Chicago: Prickly Paradigm press.
- 18 James, A., & Prout, A. (Eds.). (1990). Constructing and reconstructing childhood.
- 19 London: Falmer.
- Jenks, C. (2004). "Constructing Childhood Sociologically" in Kehily, M. (2004) An
- 21 Introduction to Childhood Studies. London: Open University Press
- 22 Kelly, J. (1979). "The Double-edged Vision of Feminist Theory." Feminist Studies, 5
- 23 (1), pp. 216 277.
- 24 Lévy, P. (1998). Becoming Virtual. Reality in the Digital Age. New York: Plenum
- 25 trade.
- 26 McCray, P. (2012). The visioneers: How a group of elite scientists pursued space
- 27 colonies, nanotechnologies, and a limitless future. Princeton: Princeton University
- 28 Press.
- 29 Masten, A.S., Best, Garmezy, N., (1990). "Resilience and Development:
- 30 Contributions from the study of children who overcome adversity." *Development and*
- 31 Psychopathology, 2, pp. 425-444.
- 32 Ong, W. J. (1982). Orality and literacy. The technologizing of the word. London:
- 33 Routledge.
- 34 Plumwood, V. (1997). Feminism and the Mastery of Nature. London: Routledge.

- 1 Poster, M. (2004). "Perfect Transmissions: Evil Bert Laden." In: P. Hernwall (ed.)
- 2 Envision. The New Media Age and Everyday Life. Digital Thoughts, 2 & ViS reports,
- 3 Stockholm University.
- 4 Qvortrup, L. (2003). The Hypercomplex Society. London: Peter Lang Publishing.
- 5 Sagy S, Dotan N. (2001). "Coping resources of maltreated children in the family: A
- 6 salutogenic approach." Child Abuse and Neglect, 25(11), pp. 1463-1480.
- 7 Stone, R. A. (1995) The War of Desire and Technology at the Close of the
- 8 Mechanical Age. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- 9 Taleb, N.N. (2012). Antifragile: How to Live in a World We Don't Understand.
- 10 London: Allen Lane.
- 11 Turkle, S. (1995). Life on the Screen. Identity in the Age of the Internet. London:
- 12 Weidenfeld & Nicolson.
- 13 Ungar, M. (2011). "The Social Ecology of Resilience: Addressing Contextual and
- 14 Cultural Ambiguity of a Nascent Construct." American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 81
- 15 (1), pp. 1–17.