

1 TITLE PAGE

2 **Total evidence phylogeny and evolutionary timescale for Australian faunivorous**  
3 **marsupials (Dasyuromorphia)**

4 Shimona Kealy, Archaeology and Natural History, School of Culture, History and Language,  
5 College of Asia and the Pacific, Australian National University, Acton, ACT, Australia,  
6 shimona.kealy@anu.edu.au

7 Robin Beck, School of Environment and Life Sciences, University of Salford, Salford M5  
8 4WT, United Kingdom; r.m.d.beck@salford.ac.uk

9 Corresponding author: Robin Beck

10

11 ABSTRACT

12 Background

13 The order Dasyuromorphia is a diverse radiation of faunivorous marsupials, comprising >80  
14 modern species in Australia and New Guinea. It includes dasyurids, the numbat (the  
15 myrmecobiid *Myrmecobius fasciatus*) and the recently extinct thylacine (the thylacinid  
16 *Thylacinus cyncocephalus*). There is also a diverse fossil record of dasyuromorphians and  
17 “dasyuromorphian-like” taxa known from Australia. We present the first total evidence  
18 phylogenetic analyses of the order, based on combined morphological and molecular data  
19 (including a novel set of 115 postcranial characters), to resolve relationships and calculate  
20 divergence dates. We use this information to analyse the diversification dynamics of modern  
21 dasyuromorphians.

22 Results

23 Our morphology-only analyses are poorly resolved, but our molecular and total evidence  
24 analyses confidently resolve most relationships within the order, and are strongly congruent  
25 with recent molecular studies. Thylacinidae is the first family to diverge within the order, and  
26 there is strong support for four tribes within Dasyuridae (Dasyurini, Phascogalini, Planigalini  
27 and Sminthopsini). Among fossil taxa, *Ankotarinja* and *Keeuna* do not appear to be  
28 members of Dasyuromorphia, whilst *Barinya* and *Mutpuracinus* are of uncertain relationships  
29 within the order. Divergence dates calculated using total evidence tip-and-node dating are  
30 younger than both molecular node-dating and total evidence tip-dating, but appear more  
31 congruent with the fossil record and are relatively insensitive to calibration strategy. The tip-  
32 and-node divergence dates indicate that Dasyurini, Phascogalini and Sminthopsini began to  
33 radiate almost simultaneously during the middle-to-late Miocene (11.5-13.1 MYA; composite  
34 95% HPD: 9.5-15.9 MYA); the median estimates for these divergences are shortly after a  
35 drop in global temperatures (the middle Miocene Climatic Transition), and coincide with a  
36 faunal turnover event in the mammalian fossil record of Australia. Planigalini radiated much

37 later, during the latest Miocene to earliest Pliocene (6.5 MYA; composite 95% HPD: 4.4-8.9  
38 MYA); the median estimates for these divergences coincide with an increase in grass pollen  
39 in the Australian palynological record that suggests the development of more open habitats,  
40 which are preferred by modern planigale species.

41

## 42 Conclusions

43 Our results provide a phylogenetic and temporal framework for interpreting the evolution of  
44 modern and fossil dasyuromorphians, but future progress will require a much improved fossil  
45 record.

46

## 47 KEYWORDS

48 Dasyuromorphia, Dasyuridae, *Myrmecobius*, Thylacinidae, marsupial, total evidence,  
49 divergence times, Miocene, middle Miocene Climatic Transition, Australia

50

51 BACKGROUND

52 Dasyuromorphia is the second most speciose order of Australian and New Guinean  
53 marsupials, after Diprotodontia (mainly herbivorous forms such as possums, kangaroos,  
54 wombats and the koala): more than 80 modern dasyuromorphian species have been named  
55 to date, and new species continue to be identified [1-9]. Dasyuromorphians are  
56 predominantly faunivorous, but they exhibit considerable ecomorphological diversity [3, 4].  
57 The body masses of living dasyuromorphians span a range of more than three orders of  
58 magnitude, from the world's smallest living marsupial, the long-tailed planigale (*Planigale*  
59 *ingrami*, body mass ~4g), to the largest living carnivorous marsupial, the Tasmanian devil  
60 (*Sarcophilus harrisii*, body mass >8kg) [3, 4]. This range is even greater when the recently  
61 extinct thylacine (*Thylacinus cynocephalus*), which weighed up to 35kg [4], is considered.  
62 Dasyuromorphia also includes the only known marsupial specialised for feeding on social  
63 insects, the numbat (*Myrmecobius fasciatus*), as well as a hopping form, the kultarr  
64 (*Antechinomys laniger*) [4]. Dasyuromorphian reproduction is also of interest: several  
65 dasyurid species are unusual among mammals in exhibiting semelparity, the males dying  
66 after a single breeding season [10, 11].

67 Modern dasyuromorphians are currently classified as comprising three families, of which two  
68 are monotypic: Myrmecobiidae (*Myrmecobius fasciatus*), Thylacinidae (*Thylacinus*  
69 *cynocephalus*) and Dasyuridae (the remaining species) [1, 4, 9]. There have been numerous  
70 published molecular studies of dasyuromorphian phylogeny, and these have confidently  
71 resolved many relationships within the order [e.g. 8, 12, 13-21]. For example, within  
72 Dasyuridae, the composition and branching order of the four currently recognised tribes  
73 (Dasyurini, Phascogalini, Planigalini and Sminthopsini) seem robustly resolved, as do  
74 several of the relationships within these clades. Inevitably, however, such molecular studies  
75 lack fossil taxa.

76 Numerous fossil dasyuromorphians and “dasyuromorphian-like” taxa have been described  
77 from various sites in Australia and New Guinea [e.g. 22, 23-35], including at least one  
78 entirely extinct family, the “hammer-toothed” malleodectids [36, 37]. At present, the oldest  
79 generally accepted crown-clade dasyuromorphian to be named appears to be *Badjcinus*  
80 *turnbulli* from Faunal Zone A deposits at Riversleigh (currently interpreted as late Oligocene  
81 in age [38, 39]), which is currently classified as a thylacinid [29, 40, 41]. However, the  
82 affinities of many other fossil taxa are unclear, largely because most are known only from  
83 dental remains; dasyuromorphians retain a relatively plesiomorphic dentition, and there is a  
84 general lack of obvious dental synapomorphies for Dasyuromorphia and for subclades within  
85 the order (notably the family Dasyuridae) [24, 42, 43].

86 A few phylogenetic analyses of dasyuromorphian relationships based on morphological data  
87 have been published [29, 41, 44-46], and Archer et al. [36] presented a “molecular scaffold”  
88 analysis using a morphological dataset modified from these earlier studies. However, all of  
89 these have suffered from limited taxon sampling. In addition, they have been based solely on  
90 characters of the skull and dentition, and unconstrained analyses show several areas of  
91 conflict with molecular phylogenies [13, 15].

92 Collectively, these molecular and morphological studies have improved our understanding of  
93 dasyuromorphian phylogeny, but a number of key issues remain unresolved. Particularly  
94 important are determining exactly which putative fossil dasyuromorphian and  
95 “dasyuromorphian-like” taxa belong to Dasyuromorphia (and, if so, whether they are stem- or  
96 crown-members), and also determining whether the referral of fossil taxa to modern genera  
97 is justified or not. A major stumbling block to resolving these issues has been a failure to  
98 combine available molecular and morphological data in a total evidence approach.

99 Phylogenies based on morphological data alone are often poorly resolved, incongruent with  
100 molecular phylogenies, or both (as has been the case with published morphological  
101 phylogenies of dasyuromorphians [13, 15, 44, 46]); despite this, morphology can provide  
102 additional “hidden” support [47] for clades strongly supported by molecular data when the

103 two datatypes are analysed in combination [48]. Morphological data may also help robustly  
104 resolve relationships in parts of the phylogeny where the phylogenetic signal in molecular  
105 data is weak, for example in the case of deep, closely spaced divergences [49]. Conversely,  
106 simulations have shown that the inclusion of molecular data for extant taxa can improve the  
107 accuracy of phylogenetic estimation of fossil taxa for which molecular data is unavailable  
108 [50].

109 To date, the morphological evidence used in phylogenetic analyses of dasyuromorphians  
110 has been restricted to characters from the skull and dentition. Other anatomical systems  
111 should provide additional information, with the most obvious candidate being the postcranial  
112 skeleton: postcranial characters have already been shown to be highly informative for  
113 resolving the phylogeny of various other marsupial clades [51-59] and so may be similarly  
114 useful for relationships within Dasyuromorphia.

115 The timing of diversification within Dasyuromorphia, particularly the radiation of modern  
116 dasyurids, is also controversial. A strict reading of the fossil record [24, 27, 28, 60] suggests  
117 that modern dasyurids probably did not begin to radiate widely until the middle-to-late  
118 Miocene, with some early molecular studies reaching similar conclusions [e.g. 14]. At  
119 present, the oldest described fossil remains that can be convincingly referred to modern  
120 dasyurid genera are from the early Pliocene [24, 27, 28]. However, the most recent  
121 broadscale molecular analysis of dasyuromorphian phylogeny and divergence dates  
122 supported a much earlier diversification of modern dasyurids, with the tribes estimated as  
123 beginning to radiate in the early Miocene and all modern genera having originated by the  
124 middle Miocene [15].

125 There are several explanations for this apparent discrepancy. Despite ongoing fieldwork, the  
126 fossil record of dasyuromorphians and other Australian mammals remains highly incomplete  
127 [24, 27, 28]. Putative phascogalins and dasyurins have been reported from Faunal Zone B  
128 deposits at Riversleigh (currently interpreted as early Miocene in age, ~23.03-15.97 MYA)

129 [28, 38, 39], which would markedly extend the records of these tribes, but these specimens  
130 are not yet described [28]. The general lack of obvious dental synapomorphies for  
131 Dasyuridae and dasyurid subclades also means that, even if found, fossils belonging to  
132 modern dasyurid lineages might not be identified as such, particularly if known from isolated  
133 teeth alone.

134 Conversely, molecular divergence dates should not be viewed uncritically, because they can  
135 be affected by a range of factors. These include the choice of clock model, the choice and  
136 number of fossils used to calibrate particular nodes, and the way in which those calibrations  
137 are specified, i.e. either as point estimates or different prior probability distributions [61-63].  
138 Particularly problematic is the issue of maximum age constraints, which are difficult to  
139 specify objectively and yet are likely to have a major impact on resultant divergence time  
140 estimates [64-67]. Also of importance is the choice of tree branching prior: a uniform (= pure-  
141 birth or Yule) prior can result in much older divergence estimates than a birth-death prior,  
142 with the latter more appropriate for clades in which extinction has occurred [68].

143 A promising alternative approach to “node dating” with molecular clock models is “tip dating”,  
144 which allows phylogenetic relationships and divergence times of fossil and extant taxa to be  
145 inferred simultaneously in the context of a single analysis [69-72], rather than using fossil  
146 taxa as *a priori* calibrations. However, in several cases tip dating has been shown to result in  
147 unrealistically ancient divergence dates, particularly when a uniform tree branching prior was  
148 used [72-75], rather than a biologically more plausible fossilised birth-death (FBD) prior  
149 [either with or without the possibility of sampling ancestors; 70, 74, 76, 77]. Recently, it has  
150 been argued that node dating and tip dating should be combined into a hybrid “tip-and-node  
151 dating” approach, in which minimum age constraints of selected nodes are specified by a  
152 *priori* fossil calibrations, and maximum age constraints for nodes resulting from the  
153 interaction between node calibrations and fossil tips [72, 78].

154 Here we present morphological, molecular and first total evidence analyses of modern and  
 155 fossil dasyuromorphians, using maximum parsimony (for the morphological data only), and  
 156 undated and dated Bayesian analysis. The dated Bayesian analyses employed three  
 157 different approaches: node dating using a molecular clock, tip dating using a total evidence  
 158 clock, and tip-and-node dating using a total evidence clock [72, 78], in each case using the  
 159 FBD prior and allowing for the possibility of sampled ancestors [70, 74, 76, 77]. We used the  
 160 resultant phylogenies to infer relationships within Dasyuromorphia and probable divergence  
 161 times. We investigate the pattern of diversification for the order implied by our tip-and-node  
 162 dating analyses using Lineage Through Time (LTT) plots, and compare these against  
 163 palaeoenvironmental change and patterns of faunal change in the fossil record. Finally, we  
 164 use Bayesian Analysis of Macroevolutionary Mixtures (BAMM) to identify whether there is  
 165 evidence for one or more statistically significant shifts in the rate of diversification within  
 166 Dasyuromorphia, and to calculate speciation and extinction rates.

167

168 **METHODS**

169 *Systematics.* To minimise ambiguity when discussing the phylogeny of dasyuromorphians,  
 170 we propose formal phylogenetic definitions for Dasyuromorphia, and for clades within  
 171 Dasyuromorphia that have been consistently recognised in previous studies and that have  
 172 received strong support in recent phylogenetic analyses (Table 1). For higher level  
 173 systematics we follow Aplin and Archer [79], Beck et al. [80], Jackson and Groves [9], and  
 174 Beck [81].

175

176 **Table 1. Formal phylogenetic definitions proposed here for Dasyuromorphia and**  
 177 **selected dasyuromorphian subclades.**

Clade	Definition	Definition type
-------	------------	-----------------



<b>Dasyuromorphia</b>	the most inclusive clade including <i>Dasyurus viverrinus</i> , but excluding <i>Perameles nasuta</i> , <i>Notoryctes typhlops</i> , <i>Phalanger orientalis</i> and <i>Dromiciops gliroides</i>	stem
<b>Dasyuroidea</b>	the least inclusive clade including <i>Dasyurus viverrinus</i> , <i>Myrmecobius fasciatus</i> and <i>Thylacinus cynocephalus</i>	crown
<b>Dasyuridae</b>	the most inclusive clade including <i>Dasyurus viverrinus</i> , but excluding <i>Myrmecobius fasciatus</i> and <i>Thylacinus cynocephalus</i>	stem
<b>Myrmecobiidae</b>	the most inclusive clade including <i>Myrmecobius fasciatus</i> , but excluding <i>Dasyurus viverrinus</i> and <i>Thylacinus cynocephalus</i>	stem
<b>Thylacinidae</b>	the most inclusive clade including <i>Thylacinus cynocephalus</i> , but excluding <i>Dasyurus viverrinus</i> and <i>Myrmecobius fasciatus</i>	stem
<b>Dasyurinae</b>	the most inclusive clade including <i>Dasyurus viverrinus</i> , but excluding <i>Sminthopsis crassicaudata</i>	stem
<b>Sminthopsinae</b>	the most inclusive clade including <i>Sminthopsis crassicaudata</i> , but excluding <i>Dasyurus viverrinus</i>	stem
<b>Dasyurini</b>	the most inclusive clade including <i>Dasyurus viverrinus</i> , but excluding <i>Phascogale tapoatafa</i> , <i>Planigale ingrami</i> and <i>Sminthopsis crassicaudata</i>	stem
<b>Phascogalini</b>	the most inclusive clade including <i>Phascogale tapoatafa</i> , but excluding <i>Dasyurus viverrinus</i> , <i>Planigale ingrami</i> and <i>Sminthopsis crassicaudata</i>	stem

<b>Planigalini</b>	the most inclusive clade including <i>Planigale ingrami</i> , but excluding <i>Dasyurus viverrinus</i> , <i>Phascogale tapoatafa</i> and <i>Sminthopsis crassicaudata</i>	stem
<b>Sminthopsini</b>	the most inclusive clade including <i>Sminthopsis crassicaudata</i> , but excluding <i>Dasyurus viverrinus</i> , <i>Phascogale tapoatafa</i> and <i>Planigale ingrami</i>	stem

178

179

180 *Taxon sampling for morphological data.* For our morphological dataset, we included at least  
181 one representative of each currently-recognised modern genus [1, 15]. We specifically  
182 selected our modern dasyuromorphian terminals to take into account possible generic non-  
183 monophyly. Thus, we included representatives of all five genera recognised by Van Dyck  
184 [46] within *Murexia sensu lato* - namely *Micromurexia hageni*, *Murexia longicaudata*,  
185 *Murexechinus melanurus*, *Paramurexia rothschildi* and *Phascomurexia naso* – because Van  
186 Dyck’s [46] morphological analyses failed to group these genera in a clade, although we  
187 note that molecular data strongly support monophyly of *Murexia sensu lato* [13, 15, 20, 21].  
188 Within *Sminthopsis*, we included a representative of both the ‘Macrourea’ group (*S.*  
189 *crassicaudata*) and the ‘Murina’ group (*S. murina*), to test the possibility that *Sminthopsis*  
190 might be paraphyletic with respect to either *Antechinomys*, *Ningauia*, or both [15, 19, 21]. We  
191 included *Parantechinus apicalis*, *Pseudantechinus* (= ‘*Parantechinus*’) *bilarni* and *Ps.*  
192 *macdonnellensis*, because the precise relationships between these three taxa have been  
193 controversial [16, 21, 22, 82]. Finally, we included three extant representatives of *Dasyurus*  
194 (*D. albopunctatus*, *D. hallucatus* and *D. maculatus*) to test the possibility that *Dasyurus* is  
195 paraphyletic with regard to *Sarcophilus*, as found in several morphological analyses [29, 41,  
196 44]. This resulted in a total of 31 modern dasyuromorphian terminals (Additional file 1: Table  
197 S1).

198 We also included 13 fossil terminals that have previously been identified as  
199 dasyuromorphians or “dasyuromorphian-like” taxa (Additional file 1: Table S1). To ensure  
200 reasonable character overlap between taxa, we included only named fossil taxa that are  
201 currently known from at least one upper molar and one lower molar. Among the  
202 “dasyuromorphian-like” taxa, we included *Ankotarinja tirarensis* and *Keeuna woodburnei*  
203 from the late Oligocene [26, 83, 84] Ditjimanka Local Fauna from the Etadunna Formation of  
204 central South Australia, which were originally described as dasyurids [85], but more recently  
205 have been referred to Marsupialia *incertae sedis* [24, 86]. We also included *Djarthia*  
206 *murgonensis* from the early Eocene Tingamarra Fauna of southeastern Queensland, which  
207 appears to be a plesiomorphic australidelphian [58, 59, 86].

208 For our outgroup terminals, we used representatives of the following modern marsupial  
209 orders: Peramelemorphia (the extant *Echymipera kalubu* and *Perameles nasuta*, and the  
210 fossil *Yarala burchfieldi*), which is a close relative of Dasyuromorphia within the superorder  
211 Agreodontia [80]; Microbiotheria (the extant *Dromiciops gliroides*), which is the closest  
212 modern relative of Australian marsupials [87]; and Didelphimorphia (the extant *Caluromys*  
213 *philander*, *Didelphis virginiana*, and *Marmosa murina*), which are relatively plesiomorphic  
214 non-australidelphian marsupials [88]. We also included three well-preserved fossil stem-  
215 marsupials, all from the early or middle Palaeocene Tiupampa Fauna in Bolivia [89-92]:  
216 *Andinodelphys cochambambensis*, *Mayulestes ferox* and *Pucadelphys andinus*. Our final  
217 morphological matrix comprised 54 taxa (Additional file 1: Table S1).

218 *Morphological characters.* Our craniodental characters were modified from those of Wroe et  
219 al. [44], Wroe and Musser [41] and Murray and Megirian [29]. We reviewed all 77 original  
220 characters defined by Wroe et al. [44], and excluded those that appeared ambiguous or that  
221 we could not score consistently. We also modified several of the remaining characters and  
222 character states to better correspond to our observations, resulting in a final set of 58  
223 craniodental characters. The 16 multistate characters that represented apparent  
224 morphoclines were ordered. We scored these characters for the additional terminals not

225 present in the Wroe et al. [44], Wroe and Musser [41] and Murray and Megirian [29]  
226 matrices. Where possible, we also reassessed the original scorings of the other terminals,  
227 and revised some character scores as a result. In general, we scored our characters based  
228 on direct observations of actual specimens or high quality casts; however, for some taxa  
229 (e.g. the Tiupampan stem-marsupials) we used published descriptions [89, 91-94]. Where  
230 possible, we examined multiple specimens for each species, with up to six specimens per  
231 species examined. In cases of intraspecific polymorphism, the modal condition was scored if  
232 one character state clearly predominated, following Voss and Jansa [88, 95]. If the different  
233 character states were approximately equally common, the character was scored as  
234 polymorphic.

235 In addition to our craniodental characters, we devised a novel set of postcranial characters  
236 intended to resolve dasyuromorphian phylogeny. The postcranial characters of Horovitz and  
237 Sánchez-Villagra [55] and Flores [57] were used for an initial assessment of variability within  
238 the marsupial postcranium. This was combined with information from other studies of  
239 postcranial morphology in marsupials and other metatherians [52, 53, 93, 96-106] and with  
240 additional personal observations to develop 115 characters that document the major  
241 morphological variability we observed among our taxon set. 31 multistate characters that  
242 represented probable morphoclines were specified as ordered. Due to a lack of postcranial  
243 specimens, we were able to score these characters for only a subset of our morphological  
244 taxon sample (32 out of 54 terminals; Additional file 1: Table S1), and for most of these we  
245 were only able to examine one or two specimens. A list of our revised morphological  
246 characters and specimens examined to score these is given in Additional file 2: Text S2. The  
247 final morphological matrix (in Nexus format) is given in Additional file 3: Text S3. The  
248 morphological matrix is also available from Morphobank (Project 858:  
249 <http://morphobank.org/permalink/?P858>).

250 *Taxon sampling for molecular data.* We obtained molecular data for all the 31 modern  
251 dasyuromorphian terminals and the six modern outgroup terminals that were scored for

252 morphological characters, plus an additional 41 extant dasyurid species. This total of 72  
253 dasyuromorphian species represents approximately 84% of named modern species  
254 (however, we note that additional species undoubtedly remain to be described, for example  
255 within *Planigale*) [8]. Our final molecular matrix included 78 taxa (Additional file 1: Table S1).

256 *Molecular sequence data.* We downloaded existing DNA sequence data from the online  
257 GenBank database for seven mitochondrial genes, namely the protein-coding cytochrome b  
258 (*MT-CYB*), cytochrome oxidase I (*MT-CO1*), cytochrome oxidase II (*MT-CO2*),  
259 NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase core subunit 1 (*MT-ND1*) and NADH:ubiquinone  
260 oxidoreductase core subunit 2 (*MT-ND2*) genes, and the ribosomal 12S RNA (*MT-RNR1*),  
261 and 16S RNA (*MT-RNR2*) genes, and eight nuclear protein-coding genes or gene  
262 fragments, namely exon 26 of apolipoprotein B (*APOB*), intron 7 of fibrinogen beta chain  
263 (*FGB*), exon 10 of BRCA1, DNA repair associated (*BRCA1*), haemoglobin subunit epsilon 1  
264 (*HBE1*), exon 1 of retinol binding protein 3 (*RBP3*; often called interphotoreceptor retinoid  
265 binding protein, or *IRBP*), protamine 1 (*PRM1*), recombination activating 1 (*RAG1*) and exon  
266 28 of von Willebrand factor (*VWF*). These genes were selected based on their use in  
267 previous molecular studies of dasyuromorphian phylogeny [8, 13, 15-17, 19], which means  
268 that there is good coverage for our modern taxon sample. In general, where more than one  
269 sequence of the same gene was available for a single species, the most recent and/or  
270 complete sequence was selected. A full list of Genbank accession numbers for the  
271 sequences used is given in Additional file 1: Table S1.

272 All protein-coding genes were aligned in MEGA 6.0 [107], using default settings for the  
273 alignment algorithm MUSCLE [108]. Intronic sequences were aligned using the standard  
274 MUSCLE algorithm, whilst exonic sequences were aligned using MUSCLE for codons.  
275 *HBE1* and *PRM1* were both subdivided into intronic and exonic sequences, and these were  
276 aligned separately. The mitochondrial ribosomal genes *MT-RNR1* and *MT-RNR2* were  
277 aligned manually in BioEdit 7.1.9 [109], with secondary structure (i.e. stems and loops) taken  
278 into account, based on published structures [110, 111] and the online RNA database OGRE

279 [112]. After alignment, the aligned sequences of all genes and gene fragments were  
280 concatenated into a single matrix of ~16.4kb. The final molecular matrix (in Nexus format) is  
281 given in Additional file 3: Text S3.

282 *Taxon sampling for total evidence data.* We combined our morphological and molecular  
283 matrices to produce a total evidence matrix that included all taxa represented by  
284 morphological data and all taxa represented by molecular data. Where possible, we avoided  
285 creating supraspecific hybrids when combining the morphological and molecular data. The  
286 sole exception was *Micromurexia*, for which our morphological characters were scored using  
287 *M. hageni*, whereas the molecular sequence data represented *M. habbema*. Our final total  
288 evidence matrix included 95 taxa, with 17 fossil taxa represented by morphological data  
289 only, and 41 modern taxa by molecular data only (Additional file 1: Table S1). The final total  
290 evidence matrix (in Nexus format) is given in Additional file 3: Text S3.

291 *Maximum parsimony analyses.* We carried out maximum parsimony analysis of the  
292 morphological matrix using TNT version 1.5 [113, 114]. Our maximum parsimony tree  
293 searches comprised an initial “new technology” search using ratchet, drift and tree fusing,  
294 until the same minimum length had been hit 100 times, followed by a “traditional” search  
295 using tree bisection-reconnection branch swapping among the trees saved from the initial  
296 search. All most parsimonious trees were saved, and then summarised using strict  
297 consensus. Bootstrap values were calculated in TNT as absolute frequencies, based on 250  
298 replicates.

299 *Undated Bayesian analyses.* We carried out undated, model-based Bayesian analyses using  
300 MrBayes 3.2 [115]. We analysed our morphological matrix using a single Mk model applied  
301 to the morphological characters [116], with the assumption that only variable characters  
302 were scored, and with a gamma distribution to model rate heterogeneity across characters,  
303 i.e. the Mkv+G model. For our molecular sequence data, we first used PartitionFinder v1.1.1  
304 [117] to identify an appropriate partitioning scheme and models for each partition. The

305 molecular sequences were initially partitioned by gene, codon position (for exonic sequences  
306 of protein-coding genes), and stem and loop regions (for the ribosomal genes *MT-RNR1* and  
307 *MT-RNR2*); intronic sequences were not partitioned further. For the PartitionFinder analysis,  
308 we restricted comparisons to models implemented by MrBayes, with the assumption of  
309 linked branch lengths, the “greedy” search algorithm, and with the Bayesian Information  
310 Criterion used for model selection, as preferred by Lanfear et al. [117]. The MrBayes  
311 analysis then applied the best-fitting partitioning scheme and models identified by  
312 PartitionFinder. We also carried out analyses of the nuclear genes only and the  
313 mitochondrial genes only, again using PartitionFinder to identify the best-fitting partitioning  
314 scheme and models. Finally, we carried out an undated Bayesian analysis of the total  
315 evidence matrix, using the same models as for the morphological and combined molecular  
316 analyses.

317 All undated Bayesian analyses comprised four runs of four chains (one cold, three heated)  
318 each, sampling trees every 5000 generations. The morphological and molecular analyses  
319 were run for 10 million generations, whilst the total evidence analysis was run for 20 million  
320 generations. For all three analyses, the MrBayes output was examined in Tracer v1.6 [118]  
321 to identify when stationarity and convergence between chains had been reached. The post-  
322 burn-in trees were summarised using 50% majority rule consensus, with Bayesian posterior  
323 probabilities (BPPs) as support values.

324 *Identification of unstable taxa.* Analysis of the morphological matrix using maximum  
325 parsimony and undated Bayesian analysis resulted in relatively unresolved consensus trees,  
326 particularly for relationships within Dasyuridae. The Roguenarok algorithm [119] was  
327 therefore used to identify the most unstable taxa in each analysis; these taxa were then  
328 deleted and the analyses re-run.

329 *Molecular node-dating.* To estimate divergence times within Dasyuromorphia, we carried out  
330 dated Bayesian analyses of the molecular dataset using node dating. We used a single

331 Independent Gamma Rates (IGR) clock model, implementing a fossilised birth-death tree  
332 branching prior that assumed “diversity” sampling [70] and a sample probability of 0.8 for our  
333 modern taxa; this value is slightly less than the proportion of named dasyuromorphian  
334 species in our matrices (0.84), but allows for the existence of a few additional undescribed  
335 species [see e.g. 8]. Because the molecular analyses include modern taxa only, the  
336 fossilisation prior was fixed as 0. For the molecular analyses, we employed six node  
337 calibrations, of which two were within Dasyuromorphia and four outside this clade. Details of  
338 these node calibrations are given in Additional file 4: Text S4.

339 Node calibrations can be implemented in a variety of ways, either as point estimates or as  
340 different types of probability distributions; the different implementations make different  
341 assumptions regarding the quality of the fossil record, which can have a major impact on the  
342 divergence dates estimated using those calibration(s) [61]. To investigate the impact of  
343 these, we implemented our node calibrations in two different ways. In the first scheme  
344 (NodeCalib1), all six node calibrations were specified as offset exponential distributions, with  
345 a “hard” minimum bound, and a “soft” maximum bound such that there was a 5% probability  
346 that the divergence date is older than this; this scheme assumes that the divergence date  
347 falls relatively close to the minimum bound [61]. In the second scheme (NodeCalib2), node  
348 calibrations 1 (=Didelphimorphia-Australidelphia split, i.e. the root), 2 (= crown-clade  
349 Didelphidae), 3 (= crown-clade Australidelphia) and 5 (= crown-clade Dasyuromorphia) were  
350 specified as uniform distributions with “hard” minimum and maximum bounds, reflecting the  
351 particularly poor or uncertain fossil records of these groups, whilst node calibrations 4 (=  
352 crown-clade Peramelidae) and 6 (= crown-clade Dasyuridae) were maintained as offset  
353 exponential distributions (see Additional file 4: Text S4). The molecular node-dating analyses  
354 were run for 20 million generations, with MrBayes settings as for the undated molecular  
355 analysis.

356



357 *Total evidence tip-dating.* In addition to the molecular node dating analyses, we estimated  
358 divergence times within Dasyuromorphia, using tip dating of the total evidence data [69-72].  
359 As in the molecular node-dating analyses, we used a single IGR clock model, and assumed  
360 “diversity” sampling with a sample probability of 0.8 for our modern taxa. The fossilisation,  
361 extinction and speciation priors used the MrBayes default values. Tip dating requires that  
362 each terminal is specified an age, either as a point estimate or a range. Our Recent  
363 terminals were all assigned an age of 0 Ma, whereas fossil taxa were assigned age ranges  
364 based on the published literature and Gradstein et al. [120]. A full list of taxon ages and  
365 references for these is given in Additional file 5: Text S5. The age ranges of the fossil taxa  
366 were specified as uniform distributions.

367 To ensure comparability with the molecular node dating, we also included two node  
368 calibrations (see Additional file 4: Text S4). The first of these corresponds to node calibration  
369 1 (= Didelphimorphia-Australidelphia split) in the molecular node dating analysis. A further  
370 calibration, node calibration 7, was placed on the root node (= the split between the  
371 Tiupampan stem-marsupials *Andinodelphys*, *Mayulestes* and *Pucadelphys*, and the  
372 remaining taxa), as is usual for tip-dating analyses [74]. Similarly to the molecular node  
373 dating analyses, we implemented these two node calibrations either as offset exponential  
374 distributions, with a ‘hard’ minimum bound and a “soft” maximum bound such that there was  
375 a 5% probability that the divergence date is older than this (TipCalib1), or as uniform  
376 distributions with “hard” minimum and maximum bounds (TipCalib2). The two total evidence  
377 tip dating analyses were both run for 50 million generations, with MrBayes settings otherwise  
378 the same as for the undated and node dating molecular analyses.

379

380 *Total evidence tip-and-node dating.* O’Reilly and Donoghue [78] argued in favour of  
381 combining tip and node calibrations, concluding that this “makes the best use of  
382 palaeontological data in the construction of evolutionary timescales”. We therefore  
383 implemented total evidence tip-and-node dating analyses by combining the tip calibrations  
384 and all seven of the node calibrations discussed above (see Additional file 4: Text S4 and

385 Additional file 5: Text S5). Similarly to the total evidence tip dating analyses, we used a  
386 single IGR clock model, we assumed “diversity” sampling with a sample probability of 0.8 for  
387 our modern taxa, and used the MrBayes default values for fossilisation, extinction and  
388 speciation priors, with age ranges of fossil taxa specified as uniform distributions. Similarly to  
389 the molecular node dating and total evidence tip dating analyses (see above), the node  
390 calibrations were either all implemented as offset exponential distributions (TipNodeCalib1),  
391 or with node calibrations 1 (= Didelphimorphia-Australidelphia split), 2 (= crown-clade  
392 Didelphidae), 3 (= crown-clade Australidelphia), 5 (= crown-clade Dasyuromorphia) and 7  
393 (= *Andinodelphys-Mayulestes-Pucadelphys*-Marsupialia split) from the molecular node dating  
394 analyses and the root calibration from the total evidence tip dating analyses specified as  
395 uniform distributions (TipNodeCalib2). MrBayes requires that calibrated nodes are  
396 constrained to be monophyletic *a priori*; the contents of the calibrated nodes were therefore  
397 determined based on the results of the undated and tip dating total evidence analyses,  
398 resulting in (for example) *Ankotarinja*, *Keeuna* and *Djarthia* being excluded from crown-clade  
399 Australidelphia. The two total evidence tip dating analyses were both run for 50 million  
400 generations, with MrBayes settings otherwise the same as for the undated and node dating  
401 molecular analyses and total evidence tip-dating analyses.

402

403 *Summarising the results of the dated analyses.* For the six dated analyses, Tracer v1.6 was  
404 again used to identify when stationarity and convergence between chains had been  
405 reached. The post-burn-in trees were concatenated using the perl script *Burntrees.pl*  
406 (available from <https://github.com/nylander/Burntrees>), with branch lengths transformed from  
407 substitutions per site to time units. These post-burn-in trees were then summarised as  
408 maximum clade credibility (MCC) trees using *TreeAnnotator* v1.8.3, with node ages  
409 calculated as median heights. As in the undated analyses, Bayesian posterior probabilities  
410 (BPPs) were used to estimate support.

411

412 *Analyses of diversification.* To analyse the pattern of diversification among Dasyuromorphia,  
413 we produced Lineage Through Time (LTT) plots of the post-burn-in trees from all six dated  
414 analyses using the R package *paleotree* [121]. The post-burn-in trees were first pruned to  
415 include only modern members of Dasyuromorphia (as defined here – see “Systematics”  
416 above and Table 1). LTT plots for the pruned trees were then produced using the multiDiv  
417 command, showing the median diversity curve and 95% quantiles, and with interval length  
418 set to 0.01 MYA. We also calculated individual median diversity curves for the modern  
419 representatives of the dasyurid tribes Dasyurini, Phascogalini, Planigalini and Sminthopsini.  
420 To investigate whether the diversification of modern dasyurids might be linked to  
421 environmental change, namely the development of more open, drier habitats driven by falling  
422 temperatures, and/or ecological replacement of thylacinids [14, 15, 28, 42, 43], we compared  
423 the LTT plots with a recent estimate of global surface temperatures over the Cenozoic [122:  
424 fig. 4a], and with current estimates of thylacinid generic diversity (based on formally named  
425 taxa only) from the late Oligocene onwards [24, 27-31].  
426 We also used BAMM 2.5.0 to test for shifts in the rate of diversification within  
427 Dasyuromorphia [123, 124], using the MCC trees from all six dated analyses. We first used  
428 the R package *BAMMtools* [124] to identify appropriate priors for each MCC tree, and then  
429 ran BAMM for 10 million generations, sampling every 2000 generations, using these priors.  
430 We corrected for incomplete sampling of modern dasyurids by specifying the sampling  
431 fraction of each dasyurid genus, based on current estimates of species numbers [1-9].  
432 Because there is only a single modern representative of Thylacinidae (*Thylacinus*  
433 *cynocephalus*) and a single modern representative of Myrmecobiidae (*Myrmecobius*  
434 *fasciatus*), we carried out two BAMM analyses for each MCC tree, firstly for modern  
435 dasyuromorphians as a whole, and secondly with the tree pruned to modern dasyurids only;  
436 this was to see if inclusion or exclusion of these two monotypic lineages had a major impact  
437 on the inference of rate shifts. We then used *BAMMtools* to produce 95% credible sets of  
438 rate shift configurations for each of the 12 analyses (i.e. six MCC trees, for either modern  
439 dasyuromorphians as a whole or modern dasyurids only), assuming a 10% burn-in.

440 We also used *BAMMtools* to calculate speciation and extinction rates for the MCC trees from  
441 each of the six dated analyses, for the following groups: modern dasyuromorphians as a  
442 whole; non-dasyurid dasyuromorphians (i.e. Myrmecobiidae and Thylacinidae), dasyurids,  
443 and the four dasyurid tribes.

444

445

446

447

## 448 *Results*

### 449 *Undated analyses*

450 *Morphology.* Both maximum parsimony and undated Bayesian analysis of the full  
451 morphological dataset result in highly unresolved strict consensus trees. The Roguenarok  
452 algorithm [119] indicated that *Myoictis leucura* acted as a rogue taxon in the maximum  
453 parsimony analysis, and that *Parantechinus apicalis* did the same in the Bayesian analysis.  
454 Repeating the analyses with the relevant rogue taxon deleted resulted in the phylogenies  
455 shown in Figure 1. Both analyses place the early or middle Miocene *Ankotarinja* and *Keeuna*  
456 in a clade with the early Eocene *Djathia* with moderate support (bootstrap = 62%; BPP =  
457 0.80), with this clade falling outside Dasyuromorphia. Monophyly of Dasyuromorphia is  
458 recovered, but without strong support (bootstrap < 50%; BPP = 0.67). In the maximum  
459 parsimony analysis (Figure 1a), *Barinya* (originally described as a dasyurid) and  
460 *Mutpuracinus* (originally described as a thylacinid) are placed in a polytomy with  
461 *Myrmecobius*, Dasyuridae and Thylacinidae. A similar arrangement is seen in the Bayesian  
462 analysis (Figure 1b), except that *Myrmecobius* is weakly supported as sister to Thylacinidae  
463 (BPP = 0.57). Monophyly of Thylacinidae is relatively strongly supported in the Bayesian  
464 analysis (BPP = 0.91) but not in the maximum parsimony analysis (bootstrap <50%).  
465 Relationships within Thylacinidae are broadly similar between the two analyses, with

466 *Ngamalacinus* sister to the remaining taxa, and *Thylacinus* spp. forming a clade, within  
467 which there is moderate-to-strong support for *T. potens*+*T. cynocephalus* (bootstrap = 67%;  
468 BPP = 0.96).

469 Monophyly of Dasyuridae is also recovered in both analyses, and receives moderate support  
470 in the Bayesian analysis (BPP = 0.80). Relationships within Dasyuridae are also similar in  
471 the two analyses: monophyly of *Murexia sensu lato* is not supported, and instead these taxa  
472 form a grade at the base of Dasyuridae, as also found by Van Dyck [46]. Both analyses  
473 recovered a clade comprising *Antechinus*, *Antechinomys*, *Ningai*, *Planigale*,  
474 *Pseudantechinus* and *Sminthopsis*, which receives moderate support in the Bayesian  
475 analysis (BPP = 0.81). In the maximum parsimony analysis (Figure 1a), the remaining taxa  
476 form a single clade, whereas the Bayesian analysis (Figure 1b) is slightly less resolved. In  
477 the maximum parsimony analysis, *Sminthopsis* and *Antechinomys* form a clade, but  
478 *Pseudantechinus* spp. are part of a polytomy, whereas the reverse is true in the Bayesian  
479 analysis. *Dasyurus* is not monophyletic in either analysis, with *D. maculatus* instead sister to  
480 *Sarcophilus*; this relationship receives relatively strong support in the Bayesian analysis  
481 (BPP = 0.91) but not in the maximum parsimony analysis (bootstrap <50%).

482 Overall, the morphological results are broadly similar to those of previous morphological  
483 analyses of dasyuromorphians, which is perhaps unsurprising given that our craniodental  
484 characters and taxon set has been developed from these earlier studies [29, 36, 41, 44].  
485 Support values are generally low in both analyses: only one clade within Dasyuromorphia  
486 (*Thylacinus potens* + *T. cynocephalus*) has >50% bootstrap support and none have >70%  
487 [125] in the maximum parsimony analysis (Figure 1a), and only one clade (again, *Thylacinus*  
488 *potens* + *T. cynocephalus*) has BPP >0.95 in the Bayesian analysis (Figure 1b).

489 *Molecular*. In contrast to the morphological analyses, the undated analysis of the combined  
490 nuclear and mitochondrial genes is characterised by high support values (BPP >0.95) for  
491 most clades (Figure 2a). Within Dasyuromorphia, *Myrmecobius* is strongly supported as

492 sister to Dasyuridae (BPP = 1.00), with *Thylacinus* the first taxon to diverge, in agreement  
493 with previous molecular studies [15, 20, 21, 126]. Relationships within Dasyuridae are also in  
494 agreement with most recent molecular phylogenies [8, 15, 17, 19, 20].

495 There is strong support for monophyly of the subfamilies Dasyurinae and Sminthopsinae, the  
496 dasyurine tribes Dasyurini and Phascogalini, and the sminthopsine tribes Sminthopsini and  
497 Planigalini (see Table 1). Within Dasyurini, *Dasyurus* is monophyletic and sister to  
498 *Sarcophilus*, with this clade sister to *Neophascogale*+*Phascolosorex*. In turn, this clade is  
499 sister to a clade comprising *Dasyercus*, *Dasykaluta*, *Dasyuroides*, *Myoictis* and  
500 *Parantechinus*. *Myoictis* is monophyletic, *Parantechinus* is sister to *Myoictis*, and  
501 *Dasyercus* and *Dasyuroides* form a clade. Within Phascogalini, *Antechinus*, *Phascogale*  
502 and *Murexia sensu lato* are all monophyletic, with *Phascogale* sister to *Murexia*. Within  
503 Sminthopsini, *Sminthopsis* is paraphyletic, with *Antechinomys* sister to *S. crassicaudata*, and  
504 *Ningau* spp. sister to a clade corresponding to the “Macroure” group of Krajewski et al.  
505 (2012).

506 When the nuclear and mitochondrial genes were analysed separately, the nuclear-only  
507 phylogeny showed greater overall topological similarity to the combined analysis than did the  
508 mitochondrial-only phylogeny (see Additional file 6: Text S6). However, the nuclear-only  
509 analysis weakly supports a *Myrmecobius*+*Thylacinus* clade (BPP = 0.68). The mitochondrial-  
510 only analysis agrees with the combined analysis in supporting a *Myrmecobius*+Dasyuridae  
511 clade; however, this clade receives lower support in the mitochondrial-only analysis (BPP =  
512 0.88) than in the combined analysis (BPP = 1.00; Fig. 2a), suggesting that the nuclear genes  
513 may be providing hidden support [47].

514 *Total evidence*. Similarly to the undated molecular analysis, the undated total evidence  
515 analysis placed Thylacinidae as the first family to diverge within Dasyuromorphia (Figure  
516 2b), but support for *Myrmecobius*+Dasyuridae is only moderate (BPP = 0.73). Monophyly of  
517 Thylacinidae is strongly supported (BPP = 0.97), although, as in the morphological analyses,

518 *Mutpuracinus* is not recovered as a member of this clade. Instead, *Mutpuracinus* is in a  
519 polytomy with Dasyuridae and *Barinya+Myrmecobius*. The latter clade is intriguing and has  
520 not been found in previous published analyses, but receives only weak support (BPP =  
521 0.60). Monophyly of Dasyuridae receives strong support (BPP = 0.92), with relationships  
522 among modern dasyurids essentially identical to those found in the undated molecular  
523 analysis; however, many support values are lower, presumably because of the destabilising  
524 effect of including fossil taxa that lack sequence data. The fossil *Dasyuroides achilpatna* is  
525 recovered as sister to *Dasycercus cristicauda*, not *Dasyuroides byrnei*, although this is  
526 relatively weakly supported (BPP = 0.64). The fossil *Sminthopsis floravillensis* is placed  
527 within Sminthopsini, but does not form a clade with any particular sminthopsin subgroup.

528

#### 529 Dated analyses

530 Unsurprisingly, the two dated molecular analyses (NodeCalib1 and NodeCalib2) and four  
531 dated total evidence analyses (TipCalib1, TipCalib2, TipNodeCalib1 and TipNodeCalib2)  
532 recovered overall topologies that are very similar to their undated equivalents (Figure 3 and  
533 Additional file 6: Text S6). However, *Badjcinus* is placed as a stem-dasyuromorphian rather  
534 than within Thylacinidae in both TipNodeCalib1 and TipNodeCalib2, whilst *Mutpuracinus* is  
535 sister to *Barinya+Myrmecobius* in TipNodeCalib1 and a stem-member of Dasyuridae in  
536 TipNodeCalib2, although these relationships are only very weakly supported (BPP <0.5:  
537 Additional file: Text S6).

538 Similarly unsurprisingly, divergence dates in the NodeCalib1 analysis (in which all fossil  
539 calibrations were specified as exponential distributions) were consistently younger than  
540 those from the NodeCalib2 analysis (in which four of the six fossil calibrations were specified  
541 as uniform distributions); this is particularly marked for the deepest divergences, (e.g.  
542 Marsupialia, crown-clade Australidelphia, Agreodontia), but divergence dates within  
543 Dasyuromorphia are also 15-25% older in NodeCalib2 than in NodeCalib1 (Table 2 and

544 Additional file: Text S6). By contrast, age estimates for the two tip-dating analyses (TipCalib1  
545 and TipCalib2) are almost identical (Table 2). It is striking that median estimates for  
546 divergence dates within Dasyuromorphia in TipCalib1 and TipCalib2 are very similar to those  
547 in NodeCalib1, but slightly younger; the 95% HPD intervals are also slightly narrower (Table  
548 2 and Additional file: Text S6). This is despite the fact that no nodes within Dasyuromorphia  
549 were calibrated in the tip-dating analyses. Median estimates and 95% HPD intervals for most  
550 divergence dates are almost identical between the two tip-and-node dating analyses  
551 (TipNodeCalib1 and TipNodeCalib2), despite the difference in calibration strategy between  
552 the two.



553 **Table 2. Summary of divergence dates for selected nodes from our six dating analyses and from two recent molecular studies.**

554 NodeCalib1 and NodeCalib2 are molecular dating analyses, TipCalib1 and TipCalib2 are total evidence tip dating analyses, and TipNodeCalib1  
 555 and TipNodeCalib2 are total evidence tip-and-node dating analyses. Values in brackets represent 95% highest posterior densities (HPDs),  
 556 where available.

Analysis								
Clade	NodeCalib1	NodeCalib2	TipCalib1	TipCalib2	TipNodeCalib1	TipNodeCalib2	Mitchell et al. (2014)	Westerman et al. (2015)
<b>Didelphidae-Australidelphia split</b>	61.1 (54.6- 75.2)	75.9 (61.2- 83.6)	55.5 (47.9- 64.3)	56.8 (50.8- 64.1)	54.3 (47.9-61.8)	55.5 (49.5- 62.6)	82.5	N/A
<b><i>Dromiciops</i>-Peramelemorphia- Dasyuromorphia split (= crown-Australidelphia)</b>	55.5 (46.5- 69.5)	68.6 (54.1- 79.6)	53.6 (45.1- 63.2)	N/A	50.6 (44.5-58.3)	51.5 (44.9- 57.2)	69.2	N/A
<b>Peramelemorphia- Dasyuromorphia split</b>	52.1 (43.5- 64.9)	64.4 (49.9- 74.4)	51.8 (42.8- 61.7)	N/A	47.6 (41.2-55.0)	48.4 (42.6- 54.0)	64.3	N/A

<b><i>Thylacinus-Myrmecobius- Dasyuridae split (= Dasyuroidea)</i></b>	34.7 (28.4-44.1)	42.9 (33.4-51.2)	36.4 (30.3-44.0)	36.5 (30.2-43.1)	30.7 (26.9-36.1)	31.6 (27.7-35.5)	38.6	~40
<b>Dasyurinae-Sminthopsinae split (= crown-Dasyuridae)</b>	23.4 (18.8-29.4)	28.6 (22.2-34.5)	22.6 (18.7-26.7)	22.6 (18.7-25.5)	20.6 (17.9-25.6)	21.1 (18.2-23.7)	24.9	29.3 (25.5-33.1)
<b>crown-Dasyurinae</b>	18.8 (15.2-23.6)	22.9 (17.6-27.7)	18.0 (14.8-21.7)	17.9 (15.7-20.9)	16.7 (14.1-21.5)	16.9 (14.7-19.4)	18.5	24.5 (21.2-28.0)
<b>crown-Dasyurini</b>	13.0 (10.4-16.5)	15.8 (12.1-19.3)	12.4 (10.2-15.0)	12.3 (10.8-14.6)	11.5 (9.6-14.5)	11.7 (9.9-13.3)	13.4	~18
<b><i>Dasyurus</i></b>	8.1 (6.1-10.5)	9.7 (7.0-12.3)	7.7 (5.9-9.7)	7.6 (6.1-9.3)	7.2 (5.4-9.6)	7.2 (5.6-8.7)	8.2	11.2 (9.0-13.4)
<b>crown-Phascogalini</b>	13.3 (10.6-17.0)	16.2 (12.2-19.8)	12.6 (10.0-15.4)	12.4 (10.7-14.7)	11.8 (9.5-15.4)	11.9 (10.2-13.6)	12.9	18.8 (15.7-21.9)
<b><i>Antechinus</i></b>	9.5 (7.2-12.4)	11.5 (7.9-14.7)	9.0 (6.9-11.3)	8.9 (7.2-10.8)	8.5 (6.6-11.1)	8.5 (6.6-9.9)	9.9	11.9 (9.5-14.5)

<b><i>Murexia sensu lato</i></b>	9.9 (7.7-13.0)	12.0 (9.0-15.0)	9.3 (7.3-11.8)	9.1 (7.8-10.7)	8.7 (6.7-11.2)	8.8 (7.1-10.5)	11.0	13.7 (11.0-16.6)
<b>crowns-Sminthopsinae</b>	18.9 (14.9-23.8)	22.8 (17.6-27.8)	17.9 (14.6-21.6)	17.9 (14.9-20.8)	16.5 (14.1-20.8)	16.9 (14.3-19.2)	19.1	24.1 (20.5-27.7)
<b>crowns-Planigalini (= <i>Planigale</i>)</b>	7.2 (5.2-9.7)	8.8 (5.9-11.6)	6.8 (5.1-9.0)	6.8 (5.1-8.6)	6.5 (4.4-8.9)	6.5 (4.8-8.2)	N/A	12.3 (9.4-15.5)
<b>crowns-Sminthopsini</b>	14.7 (11.8-18.5)	17.8 (13.7-21.8)	13.8 (11.3-16.5)	13.8 (11.5-15.9)	12.8 (10.8-15.9)	13.1 (11.4-15.2)	12.2	19.7 (16.7-23.0)

557

558

559

560

561

562

563 In all six analyses, the origin of crown-Dasyurinae (= Dasyurini-Phascogalini split)  
564 and the origin of crown-Sminthopsinae (=Sminthopsini-Planigalini split) are estimated as  
565 having occurred almost simultaneously, with estimates ranging from the late Oligocene or  
566 early Miocene in NodeCalib2 to the early or middle Miocene in the tip-dating and tip-and-  
567 node dating analyses (Table 2). The first splits within the tribes Dasyurini, Phascogalini and  
568 Sminthopsini are also estimated as occurring at roughly the same time, with the estimates  
569 again oldest in NodeCalib2 (early to middle Miocene) and youngest in the tip-and-node-  
570 dating analyses (middle to late Miocene). Our results also suggest that Planigalini began to  
571 diversify between 5 and 9 Ma later than the other three tribes, with the first split within  
572 *Planigale* estimated to be as old as the middle Miocene in NodeCalib2, but as young as the  
573 early Pliocene in the tip-dating and tip-and-node dating analyses (Table 2).

574 *Diversification analyses.* Based on the arguments of O'Reilly and Donoghue [78], we  
575 consider that our two tip-and-node dating analyses (TipNodeCalib1 and TipNodeCalib2) are  
576 likely to have given the most accurate estimates of divergence time within Dasyuromorphia.  
577 Thus, we have focused on the results of these two analyses to investigate the pattern of  
578 diversification through time seen in modern dasyuromorphians. LTT plots of modern  
579 dasyuromorphians for TipNodeCalib1 are shown in Figure 4, but results for TipNodeCalib2  
580 are very similar. Median diversity curves were plotted from the post-burn-in trees, for  
581 Dasyuromorphia as a whole, and for the dasyurid subtribes Dasyurini, Phascogalini,  
582 Planigalini and Sminthopsini; the 95% confidence interval is also shown from  
583 Dasyuromorphia (Figure 4).

584 There is evidence of an increase in the rate of diversification within Dasyuromorphia, centred  
585 around the late middle Miocene, which was driven by the radiation of the dasyurid tribes  
586 Dasyurini, Phascogalini and Sminthopsini (Figure 4); the median estimate for the radiation of  
587 Sminthopsini (the first of the tribes to diversify) is 12.8 MYA in TipNodeCalib1 and 13.1 MYA  
588 in TipNodeCalib2. This is shortly after a rapid decline in global temperatures (the middle  
589 Miocene Climatic Transition) that followed the ~15-17 MYA middle Miocene Climatic

590 Optimum (Figure 4) [122, 127-129]. It also appears to coincide with a major drop in thylacinid  
591 generic diversity, from five named genera in the middle Miocene (*Maximucinus*, *Muribacinus*,  
592 *Nimbacinus*, *Wabulacinus* and *Thylacinus*; note that *Mutpuracinus* was not included in this  
593 total, because it was not recovered as a thylacinid in any of the phylogenetic analyses  
594 presented here – see above), to only two in the late Miocene (*Thylacinus* and *Tjarrpecinus*)  
595 (Figure 4).

596 The radiation of Planigalini/*Planigale* is estimated as a much more recent event, with the  
597 median estimate being 6.5 MYA in both TipNodeCalib1 and TipNodeCalib2, i.e. latest  
598 Miocene. These dates coincide with palaeobotanical evidence for a major increase in the  
599 abundance of grasses in Australia ~6-7 MYA (Figure 4) [130, 131].

600 The LTT plots for Dasyurini, Phascogalini and Sminthopsini all show a relatively constant  
601 accumulation of lineages before plateauing in the Pleistocene, with the plateau probably  
602 because we have failed to sample recently diverged cryptic species and/or multiple lineages  
603 within species. The LTT plots for Planigalini/*Planigale* are less smooth, probably due to  
604 failure to sample several major lineages [8], but possibly also because of the overall fewer  
605 number of species.

606 BAMM analysis was carried out on 12 trees (the MCC trees from each of the six dated  
607 analyses, with the trees either pruned to modern dasyuromorphians or to modern dasyurids  
608 only) to identify possible diversification rate shifts. BAMM analysis of modern  
609 dasyuromorphians as a whole consistently identified either zero shifts or only one shift within  
610 the 95% credible set of rate shift configurations: the highest posterior probability was for zero  
611 shifts, with a markedly lower probability of single shift occurring along the branch leading to  
612 Dasyuridae, (Table 3). No shifts were identified within the 95% credible set of rate shift  
613 configurations when the analyses were repeated for modern dasyurids only (Table 3). Thus,  
614 although the LTT plots show a sharp increase in the diversification of dasyurids, related to

615 the diversification of Dasyurini, Phascogalini and Sminthopsini, this is not interpreted as a  
616 significant change in diversification rate.

617 **Table 3. Summary of BMM analyses to test for evidence for of shifts in**  
618 **diversification rate within Dasyuromorphia.** MCC trees from each of our six dating  
619 analyses were tested, pruning the taxa to either modern dasyuromorphians only  
620 (“Dasyuromorphia”) or to modern dasyurids only (“Dasyuridae”), and correcting for the  
621 incomplete sampling of dasyurid species. BMM analyses were run for 10 million  
622 generations, sampling every 2000 generations, with the first 10% discarded as burn-in.

623

<b>Analysis</b>	<b>Clade</b>	<b>Number of rate shift configurations within 95% credible set (and number of distinct shifts within each configuration)</b>	<b>Location of shift (and posterior probability)</b>
<b>NodeCalib1</b>	Dasyuromorphia	2 (0, 1)	No shifts (PP = 0.78) Dasyuridae (PP = 0.19)
	Dasyuridae	1 (0)	No shifts (PP = 1.00)
<b>NodeCalib2</b>	Dasyuromorphia	2 (0, 1)	No shifts (PP = 0.78) Dasyuridae (PP = 0.18)
	Dasyuridae	1 (0)	No shifts (PP = 1.00)
<b>TipCalib1</b>	Dasyuromorphia	2 (0, 1)	No shifts (PP = 0.77) Dasyuridae (PP = 0.23)
	Dasyuridae	1 (0)	No shifts (PP = 1.00)
<b>TipCalib2</b>	Dasyuromorphia	2 (0, 1)	No shifts (PP = 0.85) Dasyuridae (PP = 0.15)
	Dasyuridae	1 (0)	No shifts (PP = 1.00)

<b>TipNodeCalib1</b>	Dasyuromorphia	2 (0, 1)	No shifts (PP = 0.86) Dasyuridae (PP = 0.12)
	Dasyuridae	1 (0)	No shifts (PP = 1.00)
<b>TipNodeCalib2</b>	Dasyuromorphia	2 (0, 1)	No shifts (PP = 0.86) Dasyuridae (PP = 0.12)
	Dasyuridae	1 (0)	No shifts (PP = 1.00)

624

625

626

627

628



629 We also used BAMM to estimate mean rates of speciation and extinction for  
630 Dasyuromorphia as a whole, and for subgroups within the order (Additional file 7: Text S7).  
631 Relative rates are fairly consistent across the six dated analyses. Unsurprisingly, estimated  
632 speciation rates were higher in those analyses that had younger divergence dates (i.e.  
633 TipNodeCalib1 and TipNodeCalib2), but extinction rates were also higher. Collectively, these  
634 results suggest that non-dasyurid dasyuromorphians have been characterised by only  
635 slightly lower mean speciation rates but markedly (~5-6x) higher mean extinction rates than  
636 dasyurids; however, it is difficult to accurately estimate extinction rates from phylogenies of  
637 extant taxa only [132, 133]. Strikingly, mean speciation and extinction rates are almost  
638 identical across all four modern dasyurid tribes.

639

640

641

## 642 DISCUSSION

643 *Phylogenetic relationships.* Our morphology-only analyses are relatively poorly resolved,  
644 even after deletion of unstable taxa, and support values are generally low. The  
645 morphological analyses also show several areas of conflict with the molecular and total  
646 evidence analyses presented here, as well as with other recent molecular analyses [e.g. 15,  
647 20, 21]. Monophyly of Thylacinidae (excluding *Mutpuracinus*) and of Dasyuridae (excluding  
648 *Barinya*) is recovered, but only with moderate support, and then only in the Bayesian  
649 analysis. The relationship of *Myrmecobius* to these two clades was not clearly resolved with  
650 morphological data, and relationships within Thylacinidae and Dasyuridae were also poorly  
651 resolved. Furthermore, relationships within Dasyuridae were relatively incongruent with  
652 molecular data in, for example, failing to recover monophyly of the currently recognised  
653 modern dasyurid subfamilies and subtribes, as is also the case in other morphology-only  
654 analyses of Dasyuromorphia [29, 41, 46, 134].

655 Morphological data alone might not always be capable of fully resolving relationships within  
656 a clade, even in principle [135], which may explain, at least in part, the relatively low  
657 resolution and low support values for most clades. Areas of actual incongruence between  
658 our morphological phylogenies and our molecular and total evidence phylogenies,  
659 meanwhile, may be due to factors such as non-independence and/or saturation of  
660 morphological characters [136-140], rather than simply homoplasy, and this warrants further  
661 investigation. Like other mammalian clades, the fossil record of Dasyuromorphia is  
662 dominated by dental specimens, with several taxa known only from isolated teeth [22-25, 27,  
663 141]. However, dental characters have been shown to perform worse than the rest of the  
664 skeleton at recovering mammalian phylogeny, as measured by their ability to recover clades  
665 that are strongly supported by molecular data [142]. Ultimately, the discovery of additional  
666 well-preserved fossil material may be required to increase congruence between  
667 morphological and molecular estimates of relationships within Dasyuridae [143].

668 The phylogenies that result from our combined molecular and total evidence analyses, by  
669 contrast, are highly congruent with most recent molecular studies [8, 13, 15, 19, 20, 126],  
670 and show high support values for most nodes. *Myrmecobius* was consistently recovered as  
671 the sister to Dasyuridae, with Thylacinidae branching off earlier [as also found by 15, 20, 21,  
672 126], usually with strong support. However, analysis of the nuclear genes alone weakly  
673 supported *Myrmecobius+Thylacinus* (Additional file 6: Text S6). Larger, “phylogenomic”  
674 datasets, or rare genomic changes that show minimal homoplasy (such as retroposon  
675 insertions) [87, 144-146], will probably be required to robustly resolve the relationship  
676 between the three modern dasyuromorphian families.

677 Interestingly, the molecular study of May-Collado et al. [21] shows several conflicts with our  
678 results and those of other recent molecular analyses of dasyurid relationships; for example,  
679 it failed to recover monophyly of *Pseudantechinus* or a *Dasyuroides+Dasycercus* clade. We  
680 suspect that this is due to May-Collado et al.’s [21] use of relatively old (pre-2000) *MT-CYB*  
681 sequences that differ markedly (in several cases, >5%) from more recent sequences from

682 the same species (Additional file 8: Text S8); we did not use these early, possibly anomalous  
683 sequences in our analyses.

684 Among modern taxa, monophyly of all currently recognised genera was supported, with the  
685 exception of *Sminthopsis*, which was paraphyletic with regard to *Antechinomys* and *Ningauia*  
686 [see also 15, 19, 20, 21]. The latter two genera should therefore either be reduced to  
687 subgeneric rank within *Sminthopsis*, or alternatively, additional monophyletic genera should  
688 be created within Sminthopsini. Given that our dated analyses suggest that earliest  
689 divergences among species currently classified as *Sminthopsis* are similar in age to those  
690 within Phascogalini and Dasyurini (both of which are classified into multiple genera), the  
691 second of these options is probably more appropriate. However, a new, thorough taxonomic  
692 revision of sminthopsins that builds on Archer's [147] monograph on *Sminthopsis* and which  
693 robustly resolves species-level relationships within the tribe as a whole, is needed; it seems  
694 likely that such a study will reveal additional cryptic species-level diversity. *Murexia sensu*  
695 *lato* (*Micromurexia*, *Murexia*, *Murexechinus*, *Paramurexia* and *Phascomurexia*) is  
696 monophyletic, *contra* our morphological analyses and those of Van Dyck [46], but in  
697 agreement with recent molecular studies [13, 15, 20, 21].

698 Turning now to our fossil taxa, the Miocene taxa *Ankotarinja* and *Keeuna* do not fall within  
699 Dasyuromorphia in any of the analyses in which their relationships were left unconstrained,  
700 but instead consistently form a clade with the early Eocene *Djarthia*. Our choice of taxa and  
701 characters was aimed at determining the membership of, and relationships within,  
702 Dasyuromorphia, and *Ankotarinja* and *Keeuna* are also both highly incomplete; thus, this  
703 result should be viewed with caution. Nevertheless, *Ankotarinja*, *Keeuna* and *Djarthia* share  
704 a distinctive putative synapomorphy [86] that is absent in all our other taxa: presence of a  
705 "central cusp" between the apex of the centrocrista and the styler shelf of the upper molars.  
706 Previous research suggests that *Djarthia* is a plesiomorphic australidelphian, based largely  
707 on tarsal evidence [59]. However, *Djarthia* does not consistently fall within Australidelphia in  
708 our analyses, probably because our dataset was not intended to resolve marsupial

709 interordinal phylogeny. Nevertheless, while a close relationship between these three taxa is  
710 plausible, we prefer to classify *Ankotarinja* and *Keeuna* as Marsupialia *incertae sedis*  
711 [following 24, 86], rather than Australidelphia *incertae sedis*. Additional, non-dental material  
712 (e.g. tarsal specimens) will probably be required to clarify their relationships.

713 *Barinya wangala* was originally described as the oldest known dasyurid by Wroe [60].  
714 *Mutpuracinus archibaldi* was originally described as a thylacinid by Murray and Megirian  
715 [45], a conclusion that was maintained by these authors in a subsequent paper based on  
716 more complete material (a partial skull) [29]. However, only one of our analyses placed  
717 *Barinya* in Dasyuridae, and none placed *Mutpuracinus* in Thylacinidae. *Barinya* was  
718 consistently placed as sister to *Myrmecobius* in our total evidence analyses; this  
719 arrangement is intriguing, particularly given an otherwise total lack of a fossil record for  
720 myrmecobiids. However, it was only weakly supported, and should be viewed with caution  
721 pending the discovery of definitive fossil myrmecobiids that retain functional dentitions (the  
722 very reduced dentition of *Myrmecobius fasciatus* cannot be meaningfully compared to other  
723 dasyuromorphians for many of the dental characters used here). *Mutpuracinus* was  
724 recovered as either a stem-dasyurid or sister to *Barinya+Myrmecobius*, but this relationship  
725 was also weakly supported. Based on these results, we suggest that *Barinya* and  
726 *Mutpuracinus* should be considered Dasyuromorphia *incertae sedis*, pending further studies  
727 and the discovery of more complete material of both taxa.

728 Finally, the late Oligocene *Badjcinus* fell outside Thylacinidae in some analyses, forming the  
729 sister taxon to the rest of Dasyuromorphia; this relationship was also found by Wroe et al.  
730 [44]. However, most of our analyses place *Badjcinus* within Thylacinidae, in agreement with  
731 the original description by Muirhead and Wroe [40], the morphological analyses of Wroe and  
732 Musser [41] and Murray and Megirian [29], and the molecular scaffold analysis of Archer et  
733 al. [36]. Based on available evidence, we suggest that *Badjcinus* should be classified as  
734 ?Thylacinidae.

735 The relationships of the other fossil taxa were broadly as expected. Taxa currently identified  
736 as thylacinids (except *Mutpuracinus* and *Badjcinus*, discussed above) consistently formed a  
737 clade. The Plio-Pleistocene *Sminthopsis floravillensis* consistently fell within Sminthopsini  
738 [as in 36], although it is unclear whether this fossil taxon is a member of any particular  
739 sminthopsin subclade. Finally, the Pliocene *Dasyuroides achilpatna* consistently fell as  
740 sister-taxon of *Dasyercus cristicauda*, rather than *Dasyuroides byrnei*, albeit with only weak  
741 support. Archer [22] only tentatively referred this fossil taxon to *Dasyuroides*, and in his  
742 original description Marshall [148] identified it as a “possible ancestral form of *Dasyuroides*  
743 or *Dasyercus* (or both)”. *Dasyuroides achilpatna* shares with *Dasyercus cristicauda* the  
744 synapomorphic presence of only a single talonid cuspid on m4, whereas two cuspids are  
745 present in *Dasyuroides byrnei*. Based on this, and on the results of our analyses, this taxon  
746 should perhaps be reassigned to *Dasyercus*.

747 Divergence times estimated using molecular node dating were broadly similar to the recent  
748 studies by Mitchell et al. [20] and Westerman et al. [15] (Table 2). Specifically, dates in the  
749 NodeCalib1 analysis (in which all six node calibrations were specified as exponential  
750 distributions) were closer to those of Mitchell et al. [20], who used uniform priors with hard  
751 minima and soft maxima (97.5%), whereas dates in the NodeCalib2 analysis (in which four  
752 of the six node calibrations were specified as uniform distributions) were closer to those of  
753 Westerman et al. [15], who used normal distributions (it should be noted that normal  
754 distributions are generally unsuitable for fossil calibrations) [61].

755 Despite this congruence, we note here that some of the fossil calibrations used by Mitchell et  
756 al. (2014) and Westerman et al. [15, 149] appear inappropriate in the light of current  
757 evidence. For example, both Mitchell et al. [20] and Westerman et al. [15] used a minimum  
758 of 4.36 MYA for the split between modern peramelemorphian subfamilies Peroryctinae and  
759 Echymiperinae, based on “cf. *Peroryctes*” *tedfordi* from the early Pliocene Hamilton Local  
760 Fauna; however, this fossil taxon has now been referred to a new genus, *Silvicultor*, and  
761 does not form a clade with *Peroryctes* in published phylogenetic analyses [150-155].

762 Likewise, both studies used “*Antechinus*” sp. from the Hamilton Local Fauna to date the split  
763 between *Antechinus* and *Phascogale* as >4.36 MYA. However, the Hamilton taxon was  
764 specifically considered by [22] to be most similar among modern species to “*Antechinus*  
765 *mayeri*” [156, 157], which is now classified as *Phascomurexia naso*, and a then-unnamed  
766 “*Antechinus*” species from Mount Wilhelm in New Guinea that Van Dyck [46: 257]  
767 subsequently referred to *Micromurexia habbema*, i.e. two species of *Murexia sensu lato*.  
768 Thus, the Hamilton “*Antechinus*” is inappropriate for calibrating the *Antechinus-Phascogale*  
769 split; however, it may be appropriate for calibrating the split between *Murexia* and other  
770 phascogalins. Finally, Westerman et al. (2015) used a minimum of 65.18 MYA for the split  
771 between Australidelphia and Didelphimorphia on the assumption that peradectids (which are  
772 first known from the earliest Palaeocene) are didelphimorphians, based on Horovitz et al.  
773 [158]. However, subsequent studies have shown that peradectids are at best only  
774 questionably members of Marsupialia [58, 80, 159, 160], and so are not suitable for dating  
775 the Australidelphia-Didelphimorphia split. The oldest known taxon that can be confidently  
776 referred to Marsupialia is the early Eocene *Djarthia murgonensis*. [58, 59, 80, 159, 160].

777 It is interesting to note that the divergence times we estimated using total evidence tip dating  
778 were broadly similar to the molecular node dating, even though no nodes within  
779 Dasyuromorphia were calibrated; the only temporal information was provided by two node  
780 calibrations deeper in the tree plus the ages of the tips. Divergence dates were almost  
781 identical between the NodeCalib1, TipCalib1 and TipCalib2 analyses, with the NodeCalib2  
782 analysis slightly older (Table 2).

783 Finally, it is striking that, in contrast to the molecular node dating, our total evidence tip-and-  
784 node dating analyses appear to have been relatively insensitive to the way in which node  
785 calibrations were specified, i.e. whether as offset exponential or uniform distributions: the  
786 TipNode1 (in which all seven node calibrations were specified as offset exponential  
787 calibrations) and TipNode2 (in which five of the seven node calibrations were specified as  
788 uniform calibrations) analyses resulted in almost identical median estimates for all nodes

789 (Table 2). The total evidence tip-and-node dating analyses gave the youngest dates out of  
790 our analyses, presumably because the additional temporal information provided by the tips  
791 resulted in tighter maxima being placed on the calibrated nodes [see 78].

792 It is worth emphasising that the comparatively young dates that result with tip-and-node  
793 dating are not simply due to the fact that none of our dasyuromorphian tips are older than  
794 the late Oligocene. Both tip dating and tip-and-node dating assume an underlying clock  
795 model in which the amount of change along a branch is assumed to be proportional to the  
796 length of time that branch represents [69]. Thus, the estimated divergence times for a  
797 particular node can be pushed far back in time relative to the tips descending from that node,  
798 if those tips are highly apomorphic and so represent comparatively long branches. The  
799 relatively young dates found here reflect the fact that our tips are not particularly apomorphic  
800 relative to the morphology inferred for their ancestral nodes. We agree with O'Reilly and  
801 Donoghue [78] that tip-and-node dating “makes the best use of palaeontological data in the  
802 construction of evolutionary timescales”, in particular by providing a more objective basis for  
803 defining maximum and minimum bounds on nodes [78]. We therefore consider that the  
804 divergence date estimates from our total evidence tip-and-node dating analyses are likely to  
805 be the most accurate such estimates for Dasyuromorphia currently available.

806 *Diversification dynamics of modern dasyuromorphians.* The pattern of diversification  
807 dynamics within modern dasyuromorphians indicated by our tip-and-node dating analyses is  
808 much more congruent with the Australian fossil record and known palaeoenvironmental  
809 changes than other recent studies [15, 20]. Most obviously, we find evidence of an increase  
810 in net diversification rate in Dasyuridae starting 12.8-13.1 MYA (composite 95% HPD: 10.8-  
811 15.9 MYA), i.e. the late middle Miocene. This is due to the almost simultaneous  
812 diversification in three of the four dasyurid subfamilies, namely Dasyurini, Phascogalini and  
813 Sminthopsini (however, it should be noted that our BAMM analyses did not identify these as  
814 representing significant shifts in the rate of diversification; Table 3). The fossil record  
815 indicates a major turnover in Australian mammals at the end of the middle Miocene, with the

816 apparent extinction of several families and the first appearance in the fossil record of several  
817 modern lineages; this turnover was likely connected with the replacement of closed, wet  
818 forest by more open, drier forest and woodland, in response to a fall in global temperatures  
819 of up to 7°C (the middle Miocene Climatic Transition) [28, 122, 127-129, 161]. An increase in  
820 diversification in Dasyuridae at this time is congruent with such a turnover event.

821 By contrast, the molecular divergence dates of Westerman et al. [15] suggest that Dasyurini,  
822 Phascogalini and Sminthopsini probably began to diversify during the early Miocene (~18-19  
823 MYA), at a time when closed, wet forest was widespread [28]. The Westerman et al. [15]  
824 dates are highly incongruent with the known fossil record, which instead indicates that during  
825 the early Miocene carnivorous-insectivorous niches in Australia were largely filled by  
826 thylacinids, peramelemorphians, and non-dasyuromorphian taxa such as *Ankotarinja* and  
827 *Keeuna* [40, 42, 60, 150, 162-165]. Dasyurids appear to have been very uncommon at this  
828 time, and no definitive members of the modern subfamilies (i.e. Dasyurinae and  
829 Sminthopsinae) have been described that are older than the Pliocene [24, 27, 60]. Black et  
830 al. [28] reported putative phascogalins and dasyurins from the early Miocene of Riversleigh,  
831 but these have yet to be described, and so their true affinities must be treated as uncertain  
832 at this stage.

833 As part of the end-middle Miocene turnover event, there was a major reduction in the  
834 diversity of thylacinids, from five genera in the middle Miocene (excluding *Mutpuracinus*,  
835 which we consider to be *Dasyuromorphia incertae sedis*) to only two in the late Miocene [24].  
836 Several archaic faunivorous peramelemorphian lineages (e.g. species of *Galadi*, *Bulungu*  
837 and *Yarala*) [166] also appear to have gone extinct at this time. This is of significance  
838 because several authors have proposed that dasyurids diversified to fill the carnivorous-  
839 insectivorous niches previously occupied by thylacinids and peramelemorphians [40, 42, 60,  
840 150, 162-165]. Ultimately, this hypothesis will need to be tested, for example by quantitative  
841 comparison of ecological metrics such as tooth shape [167] and bite force [168], to see  
842 whether or not Oligo-Miocene thylacinids and peramelemorphians did indeed fill similar



843 dietary niches to modern dasyurids (Beck et al., in prep.), and by the discovery of postcranial  
844 material to see if they show similar locomotory adaptations. Also in need of testing is Wroe's  
845 [42, 43] hypothesis that the ear auditory regions of dasyurids (which are relatively strongly  
846 pneumatized and largely enclosed by prominent tympanic processes) are better adapted to  
847 more open environments than those of thylacinids (which are less well pneumatized, with  
848 much smaller tympanic processes), which might explain the greater success of dasyurids  
849 following the development of drier, more open habitats from the middle Miocene onwards.

850 Improvements in the dating of Australian fossil sites will also be required to clarify the exact  
851 timing of the declines in diversity of thylacinids and archaic peramelemorphians. Radiometric  
852 dates are now available for a few Riversleigh sites [38], but many others lack dates, and the  
853 ages of many other Oligo-Miocene sites in Australia are poorly constrained or otherwise  
854 controversial. Without precise temporal information, it is difficult to determine whether the  
855 declines in diversity of thylacinids and archaic peramelemorphians coincided with the  
856 increase in diversification of dasyurids identified here, or whether they preceded or followed  
857 it. Distinguishing between these possibilities might help clarify whether: 1) thylacinid and  
858 archaic peramelemorphian diversity declined due to abiotic factors (e.g. the appearance of  
859 drier, more open habitats), with dasyurids diversifying later to fill the vacant niches (passive  
860 replacement); or 2) the diversifying dasyurids caused the decline in thylacinids and archaic  
861 peramelemorphians due to direct competition (active replacement); or 3) there was no link  
862 between the declines in thylacinid and archaic peramelemorphian diversity and the  
863 diversification of dasyurids.

864 An increase in diversification rate can be the result of an increase in speciation rate, a  
865 decrease in extinction rate, or both. Our BAMM results (Additional file 7: Text S7) suggest  
866 that modern dasyurids have been characterised by slightly higher speciation rates and much  
867 lower extinction rates than modern non-dasyurid dasyuromorphians (i.e. the lineages leading  
868 to *Myrmecobius* and *Thylacinus*). However, estimating extinction rates from phylogenies of

869 modern species only is fraught with difficulty [133, 169-172], and so we view these results  
870 with caution.

871 To fully understand the diversification dynamics of dasyuromorphians, additional fossils from  
872 sites around Australia [e.g. 26, 32, 173], will need to be incorporated within the broad  
873 phylogenetic context established here and in other studies [e.g. 15, 20]. However, given the  
874 weakly supported relationships found in our morphology-only analyses, it may be difficult to  
875 robustly resolve their affinities, particularly those known only from dental specimens (some,  
876 e.g. *Maximucinus muirheadae*, are known from a single tooth). Thus, the use of methods for  
877 inferring diversification dynamics that do not require a phylogeny should also be investigated  
878 [174-176].

879 All of our dated analyses indicate that Planigalini (represented by the genus *Planigale*)  
880 began diversifying ~5-9 Ma later than the other three tribes; our tip-and-node dating  
881 analyses place this event (6.5 MYA; composite 95% HPD: 4.4-8.9 MYA), i.e. the latest  
882 Miocene to earliest Pliocene. Westerman et al.'s [15] point estimate for this event, 12.3 MYA,  
883 is nearly twice as old as ours, and, like their other dates, is strongly incongruent with the  
884 fossil record; the oldest known specimen of *Planigale* is from the Bluff Downs Local Fauna  
885 [22], which is between 3.6 and 5.2 Ma old [177]. Interestingly, our median estimate for the  
886 diversification of Planigalini roughly coincides with a major increase in the abundance of  
887 grass pollen (from 1–2% to ~35% of the total pollen count) in a deep sea core taken off the  
888 coast of northwestern Australia [130: fig. 2, 131]. Modern planigale species are typically  
889 found in woodland with a grassy understory, shrublands and grasslands, particularly in  
890 association with cracking soils [178-181]. The increase in grass pollen observed by Martin  
891 and McMinn [130] in the latest Miocene may mark the development of these types of habitat  
892 in Australia, which in turn may have driven planigale diversification. The spread of grasses in  
893 Australia has been proposed to be causally linked to events in the evolution of several other  
894 Australian mammals, including the diversification of macropodin kangaroos and wallabies

895 [182], and the loss of visual function in the lineage leading to modern marsupial moles  
896 (*Notoryctes* spp.) [183].

897

## 898 CONCLUSION

899 Although most relationships recovered by our morphology-only analyses of  
900 dasyuromorphian phylogeny are only weakly supported, our total evidence analyses result in  
901 a relatively well-supported phylogeny that is highly congruent with previous studies. The  
902 temporal information provided by our fossil taxa also has a major impact on estimated  
903 divergence times, with the strong congruence between our two tip-and-node dating analyses  
904 (despite major differences in the representation of node calibrations between the two  
905 analyses) particularly striking. Tip-and-node dating has been argued to result in divergence  
906 times that are “better justified, more precise and accurate” than either node-dating or tip-  
907 dating alone [78]; we concur, and we suggest that the divergence times from our two tip-and-  
908 node dating analyses are likely to be the most accurate such estimates currently available  
909 for Dasyuromorphia. They indicate a pattern of diversification among modern dasyurids that  
910 is highly congruent with the known fossil record, and which can be linked to  
911 palaeoenvironmental factors that have previously been considered to have had a profound  
912 effect on mammal evolution in Australia [28]. Among marsupials, peramelemorphians and  
913 macropodoids also exhibit considerable modern and fossil diversity and both have large  
914 molecular [149, 184, 185] and morphological [152, 154, 182, 186, 187] datasets already  
915 available; as such, they are obvious candidates for this kind of analysis, which should reveal  
916 whether they show similar patterns of diversification to dasyuromorphians.

917

918

919 ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

920 Not applicable

921

922 CONSENT TO PUBLISH

923 Not applicable

924

925 AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

926 The data sets supporting the results of this article are included within the article and its  
927 additional files. The morphological matrix is also available from Morphobank (Project 858:  
928 <http://morphobank.org/permalink/?P858>).

929

930 LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

931 BAMM - Bayesian Analysis of Macroevolutionary Mixtures

932 BPP – Bayesian Posterior Probability

933 FBD – Fossilised Birth-Death

934 g - grammes

935 HPD – Highest Posterior Density

936 IGR – Independent Gamma Rates

937 kg - kilogrammes

938 LTT – Lineage Through Time

939 Ma – Megannum (= million years)

940 MCC – Maximum Clade Credibility

941 MYA – Million Years Ago

942

#### 943 COMPETING INTERESTS

944 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

945

#### 946 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

947 RMDB conceived of the study, SK and RMDB collected data, SK and RMDB analysed data,

948 SK and RMDB interpreted results, SK and RMDB wrote the paper.

949

#### 950 AUTHORS' INFORMATION

951 SK is currently a PhD student at the Australian National University, Australia, studying the

952 dispersal of humans and other mammals in island Southeast Asia. RMDB is Lecturer in

953 Biology at the University of Salford, UK, and has research interests in palaeontology,

954 phylogenetics, biogeography and functional morphology, particularly of marsupials and other

955 mammals.

956

#### 957 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

958 We thank staff at the Australian Museum, South Australian Museum, Museum Victoria,

959 Australian National Wildlife Collection, and the American Museum of Natural History for

960 facilitating collections visits and for loans of specimens. Much of this work was undertaken at

961 the University of New South Wales, and we thank Mike Archer, Sue Hand and other  
962 members of the UNSW Palaeontology Laboratory for access to specimens, advice and  
963 comments on this work. David Bapst kindly modified his *paleotree* R package at our request.  
964 Natalie Warburton, Fabien Condamine, Juan Cantalapiedra and Joseph O'Reilly provided  
965 helpful comments and assistance. We thank the editor, Rodney Honeycutt, and three  
966 reviewers for their constructive and thorough reviews, which helped us greatly improve our  
967 paper. This research was partially funded by Discovery Early Career Researcher Award  
968 (DECRA) DE120100957 awarded by the Australian Research Council to RMDB. Funding  
969 was also provided by the UNSW Faculty of Science Dean's Honours Relocation Scholarship  
970 awarded to SK.

971

972 ADDITIONAL FILES

973 **Additional file 1: Table S1.** Table of taxa, indicating whether or not they have been scored  
974 for morphological characters, percentage completeness (if scored for morphological  
975 characters) and Genbank accession numbers for molecular sequences.

976 **Additional file 2: Text S2.** List of morphological characters and specimens examined to  
977 score these.

978 **Additional file 3: Text S3.** All data matrices used in the phylogenetic analyses presented  
979 here.

980 **Additional file 4: Text S4.** List of fossil calibrations and justifications for these.

981 **Additional file 5: Text S5.** Age ranges of fossil taxa and justifications for these.

982 **Additional file 6: Text S6.** Tree topologies from all undated and dated analyses as figures  
983 and in Nexus format.

984 **Additional file 7: Text S7.** Summary of mean speciation and extinction rates estimated for  
985 modern dasyuromorphians using BAMM.

986 **Additional file 8: Text S8.** Potentially problematic MT-CYB sequences used by May-  
987 Collado et al. [21].

988

989 **REFERENCES**

990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000  
1001  
1002  
1003  
1004  
1005  
1006  
1007  
1008  
1009  
1010  
1011  
1012  
1013  
1014  
1015  
1016  
1017  
1018  
1019  
1020  
1021  
1022  
1023  
1024  
1025  
1026  
1027  
1028  
1029  
1030  
1031  
1032  
1033  
1034  
1035  
1036  
1037  
1038

1. Wilson DE, Reeder DM: **Mammal species of the world**, Third edition edn. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press; 2005.
2. Reeder DM, Helgen KM, Wilson DE: **Global trends and biases in new mammal species discoveries**. *Occasional Papers, Museum of Texas Tech University* 2007, **269**:1-35.
3. Flannery TF: **Mammals of New Guinea**. Chatswood, NSW: Reed Books; 1995.
4. Van Dyck S, Strahan R (eds.): **The mammals of Australia. Third edition**. Sydney: New Holland Publishers; 2008.
5. Baker AM, Mutton TY, Hines HB: **A new dasyurid marsupial from Kroombit Tops, south-east Queensland, Australia: the Silver-headed Antechinus, *Antechinus argentus* sp. nov. (Marsupialia: Dasyuridae)**. *Zootaxa* 2013, **3746**:201-239.
6. Baker A, Mutton T, Mason E, Gray E: **A taxonomic assessment of the Australian Dusky Antechinus Complex: a new species, the Tasman Peninsula Dusky Antechinus (*Antechinus vandycki* sp. nov.) and an elevation to species of the Mainland Dusky Antechinus (*Antechinus swainsonii mimetes* (Thomas))**. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum - Nature* 2015, **59**:75-126.
7. Baker AM, Mutton TY, Hines HB, Dyck SV: **The Black-tailed Antechinus, *Antechinus arktos* sp. nov.: a new species of carnivorous marsupial from montane regions of the Tweed Volcano caldera, eastern Australia**. *Zootaxa* 2014, **3765**:101-133.
8. Westerman M, Blacket MJ, Hintz A, Armstrong K, Woolley PA, Krajewski C: **A plethora of planigales: genetic variability and cryptic species in a genus of dasyurid marsupials from northern Australia**. *Aust J Zool* 2016.
9. Jackson S, Groves C: **Taxonomy of Australian mammals**. Clayton: CSIRO Publishing; 2015.
10. Krajewski C, Woolley PA, Westerman M: **The evolution of reproductive strategies in dasyurid marsupials: implications of molecular phylogeny**. *Biol J Linn Soc* 2000, **71**:417-435.
11. Fisher DO, Dickman CR, Jones ME, Blomberg SP: **Sperm competition drives the evolution of suicidal reproduction in mammals**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013, **110**(44):17910-17914.
12. Krajewski C, Moyer GR, Sipiorski JT, Fain MG, Westerman M: **Molecular systematics of the enigmatic 'phascolosoricine' marsupials of New Guinea**. *Aust J Zool* 2004, **52**(4):389-415.
13. Krajewski C, Torunsky R, Sipiorski JT, Westerman M: **Phylogenetic relationships of the dasyurid marsupial genus *Murexia***. *J Mammal* 2007, **88**(3):696-705.
14. Krajewski C, Wroe S, Westerman M: **Molecular evidence for the pattern and timing of cladogenesis in dasyurid marsupials**. *Zool J Linn Soc* 2000, **130**(3):375-404.
15. Westerman M, Krajewski C, Kear BP, Meehan L, Meredith RW, Emerling CA, Springer MS: **Phylogenetic relationships of dasyuromorphian marsupials revisited**. *Zool J Linn Soc* 2015, **176**(3):686-701.
16. Westerman M, Young J, Krajewski C: **Molecular relationships of species of *Pseudantechinus*, *Parantechinus* and *Dasykaluta* (Marsupialia: Dasyuridae)**. *Aust Mammal* 2008, **29**:201-212.
17. Woolley PA, Krajewski C, Westerman M: **Phylogenetic relationships within *Dasyurus* (Dasyuromorphia: Dasyuridae): quoll systematics based on molecular evidence and male characteristics**. *J Mammal* 2015, **96**(1):37-46.
18. Woolley PA, Westerman M, Krajewski C: **Interspecific affinities within the genus *Sminthopsis* (Dasyuromorphia : Dasyuridae) based on morphology of the penis: congruence with other anatomical and molecular data**. *J Mammal* 2007, **88**(6):1381-1392.
19. Krajewski C, Anderson FE, Woolley PA, Westerman M: **Molecular evidence for a deep clade of dunnarts (Marsupialia: Dasyuridae: *Sminthopsis*)**. *J Mamm Evol* 2012, **19**(4):265-276.
20. Mitchell KJ, Pratt RC, Watson LN, Gibb GC, Llamas B, Kasper M, Edson J, Hopwood B, Male D, Armstrong KN *et al*: **Molecular phylogeny, biogeography, and habitat preference evolution of marsupials**. *Mol Biol Evol* 2014, **31**(9):2322-2330.



- 1039 21. May-Collado LJ, Kilpatrick CW, Agnarsson I: **Mammals from 'down under': a multi-gene**  
1040 **species-level phylogeny of marsupial mammals (Mammalia, Metatheria).** *PeerJ* 2015,  
1041 **3:e805.**
- 1042 22. Archer M: **Review of the dasyurid (Marsupialia) fossil record, integration of data bearing**  
1043 **on phylogenetic interpretation, and suprageneric classification.** In: *Carnivorous marsupials.*  
1044 Edited by Archer M. Mosman, New South Wales: Royal Zoological Society of New South  
1045 Wales; 1982: 397-443.
- 1046 23. Archer M: **A review of Miocene thylacinids (Thylacinidae, Marsupialia), the phylogenetic**  
1047 **position of the Thylacinidae and the problem of apriorisms in character analysis.** In:  
1048 *Carnivorous marsupials.* Edited by Archer M. Sydney: Royal Zoological Society of New South  
1049 Wales; 1982: 445-476.
- 1050 24. Wroe S: **Australian marsupial carnivores: recent advances in palaeontology.** In: *Predators*  
1051 *with pouches: the biology of marsupial carnivores.* Edited by Jones M, Dickman C, Archer M.  
1052 Collingwood: CSIRO Publishing; 2003: 102-123.
- 1053 25. Long JA, Archer M, Flannery TF, Hand SJ: **Prehistoric mammals of Australia and New**  
1054 **Guinea: one hundred million years of evolution.** Sydney: UNSW Press; 2002.
- 1055 26. Woodburne MO, MacFadden BJ, Case JA, Springer MS, Pledge NS, Power JD, Woodburne JM,  
1056 Springer KB: **Land mammal biostratigraphy and magnetostratigraphy of the Etadunna**  
1057 **Formation (Late Oligocene) of South Australia.** *J Vertebr Paleontol* 1994, **13**:483-515.
- 1058 27. Archer M, Hand SJ: **The Australian marsupial radiation.** In: *Evolution and biogeography of*  
1059 *Australasian vertebrates.* Edited by Merrick JR, Archer M, Hickey GM, Lee MSY. Sydney:  
1060 Auscipub Pty Ltd; 2006: 575-646.
- 1061 28. Black KH, Archer M, Hand SJ, Godthelp H: **The rise of Australian marsupials: a synopsis of**  
1062 **biostratigraphic, phylogenetic, palaeoecologic and palaeobiogeographic understanding.** In:  
1063 *Earth and Life: Global Biodiversity, Extinction Intervals and Biogeographic Perturbations*  
1064 *Through Time.* Edited by Talent JA. Dordrecht: Springer Verlag; 2012: 983-1078.
- 1065 29. Murray PF, Megirian D: **Cranial morphology of the Miocene thylacinid *Mutpuracinus***  
1066 ***archibaldi* (Thylacinidae, Marsupialia) and relationships within the Dasyuromorphia).**  
1067 *Alcheringa* 2006, **Special Issue 1**:229-276.
- 1068 30. Yates AM: **New craniodental remains of *Thylacinus potens* (Dasyuromorphia:**  
1069 **Thylacinidae), a carnivorous marsupial from the late Miocene Alcoota Local Fauna of**  
1070 **central Australia.** *PeerJ* 2014, **2**:e547.
- 1071 31. Yates AM: ***Thylacinus* (Marsupialia: Thylacinidae) from the Mio-Pliocene boundary and the**  
1072 **diversity of Late Neogene thylacinids in Australia.** *PeerJ* 2015, **3**:e931.
- 1073 32. Schwartz LRS: **A revised faunal list and geological setting for Bullock Creek, a Camfieldian**  
1074 **site from the Northern Territory of Australia.** *Mem Mus Vic* 2016, **74**:263-290.
- 1075 33. Binfield P, Archer M, Hand SJ, Black KH, Myers TJ, Gillespie AK, Arena DA: **A new Miocene**  
1076 **carnivorous marsupial, *Barinya kutjampensis* (Dasyuromorphia), from central Australia.**  
1077 *Alcheringa* 2016:1-8.
- 1078 34. Archer M, Christmas O, Hand SJ, Black KH, Creaser P, Godthelp H, Graham I, Cohen D, Arena  
1079 DA, Anderson C *et al*: **Earliest known record of a hypercarnivorous dasyurid (Marsupialia),**  
1080 **from newly discovered carbonates beyond the Riversleigh World Heritage Area, north**  
1081 **Queensland.** *Mem Mus Vic* 2016, **74**:137-150.
- 1082 35. Louys JC, Price GJ: **The Chinchilla Local Fauna: an exceptionally rich and well-preserved**  
1083 **Pliocene vertebrate assemblage from fluvial deposits of south-eastern Queensland,**  
1084 **Australia.** *Acta Palaeontol Pol* 2015, **60**:551-572.
- 1085 36. Archer M, Hand SJ, Black KH, Beck RM, Arena DA, Wilson LA, Kealy S, Hung TT: **A new family**  
1086 **of bizarre durophagous carnivorous marsupials from Miocene deposits in the Riversleigh**  
1087 **World Heritage Area, northwestern Queensland.** *Sci Rep-Uk* 2016, **6**:26911.
- 1088 37. Arena DA, Archer M, Godthelp H, Hand SJ, Hocknull S: **Hammer-toothed 'marsupial skinks'**  
1089 **from the Australian Cenozoic.** *P Roy Soc B-Biol Sci* 2011, **278**(1724):3529-3533.

- 1090 38. Woodhead J, Hand SJ, Archer M, Graham I, Sniderman K, Arena DA, Black KH, Godthelp H,  
1091 Creaser P, Price E: **Developing a radiometrically-dated chronologic sequence for Neogene**  
1092 **biotic change in Australia, from the Riversleigh World Heritage Area of Queensland.**  
1093 *Gondwana Res* 2014.
- 1094 39. Arena DA, Travouillon KJ, Beck RMD, Black KH, Gillespie AK, Myers TJ, Archer M, Hand SJ:  
1095 **Mammalian lineages and the biostratigraphy and biochronology of Cenozoic faunas from**  
1096 **the Riversleigh World Heritage Area, Australia.** *Lethaia* 2015, **49**(1):43-60.
- 1097 40. Muirhead J, Wroe S: **A new genus and species, *Badjcinus turnbulli* (Thylacinidae:**  
1098 **Marsupialia), from the late Oligocene of Riversleigh, northern Australia, and an**  
1099 **investigation of thylacinid phylogeny.** *J Vertebr Paleontol* 1998, **18**:612-626.
- 1100 41. Wroe S, Musser A: **The skull of *Nimbacinus dicksoni* (Thylacinidae : Marsupialia).** *Aust J Zool*  
1101 2001, **49**(5):487-514.
- 1102 42. Wroe S: ***Muribacinus gadiyuli* (Thylacinidae, Marsupialia), a very plesiomorphic thylacinid**  
1103 **from the Miocene of Riversleigh, northwestern Queensland, and the problem of paraphyly**  
1104 **for the Dasyuridae.** *J Paleontol* 1996, **70**(6):1032-1044.
- 1105 43. Wroe S: **A reexamination of proposed morphology-based synapomorphies for the families**  
1106 **of Dasyuromorphia (Marsupialia). 1. Dasyuridae.** *J Mamm Evol* 1997, **4**(1):19-52.
- 1107 44. Wroe S, Ebach M, Ahyong S, Muizon Cd, Muirhead J: **Cladistic analysis of dasyuromorphian**  
1108 **(Marsupialia) phylogeny using cranial and dental characters.** *J Mammal* 2000, **81**(4):1008-  
1109 1024.
- 1110 45. Murray P, Megirian D: **Two new genera and three new species of Thylacinidae**  
1111 **(Marsupialia) from the Miocene of the Northern Territory, Australia.** *The Beagle, Records*  
1112 *of the Museums and Art Galleries of the Northern Territory* 2000, **16**:145-162.
- 1113 46. Van Dyck S: **Morphology-based revision of *Murexia* and *Antechinus* (Marsupialia:**  
1114 **Dasyuridae).** *Mem Queensl Mus* 2002, **48**:239-330.
- 1115 47. Gatesy J, O'Grady P, Baker RH: **Corroboration among data sets in simultaneous analysis:**  
1116 **hidden support for phylogenetic relationships among higher level artiodactyl taxa.**  
1117 *Cladistics* 1999, **15**:271-313.
- 1118 48. Lee MS, Camens AB: **Strong morphological support for the molecular evolutionary tree of**  
1119 **placental mammals.** *J Evol Biol* 2009, **22**(11):2243-2257.
- 1120 49. Lee MS: **Hidden support from unpromising data sets strongly unites snakes with**  
1121 **anguimorph 'lizards'.** *J Evol Biol* 2009, **22**(6):1308-1316.
- 1122 50. Wiens JJ: **Paleontology, genomics, and combined-data phylogenetics: can molecular data**  
1123 **improve phylogeny estimation for fossil taxa?** *Syst Biol* 2009, **58**(1):87-99.
- 1124 51. Szalay FS: **A new appraisal of marsupial phylogeny and classification.** In: *Carnivorous*  
1125 *marsupials*. Edited by Archer M. Mosman, New South Wales: Royal Zoological Society of  
1126 New South Wales; 1982: 621-640.
- 1127 52. Szalay FS: **Evolutionary history of the marsupials and an analysis of osteological characters.**  
1128 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994.
- 1129 53. Szalay FS, Sargis EJ: **Model-based analysis of postcranial osteology of marsupials from the**  
1130 **Palaeocene of Itaboraí (Brazil) and the phylogenetics and biogeography of Metatheria.**  
1131 *Geodiversitas* 2001, **23**(2):139-302.
- 1132 54. Horovitz I, Ladevèze S, Argot C, Macrini TE, Martin T, Hooker JJ, Kurz C, Muizon Cd, Sánchez-  
1133 Villagra MR: **The anatomy of *Herpetotherium cf. fugax* Cope, 1873, a metatherian from the**  
1134 **Oligocene of North America.** *Palaeontographica Abteilung A* 2008, **284**(4-6):109-141.
- 1135 55. Horovitz I, Sánchez-Villagra MR: **A morphological analysis of marsupial mammal higher-**  
1136 **level phylogenetic relationships.** *Cladistics* 2003, **19**:181-212.
- 1137 56. Sánchez-Villagra MR, Ladevèze S, Horovitz I, Argot C, Hooker JJ, Macrini TE, Martin T, Moore-  
1138 Fay S, Muizon Cd, Schmelzle T *et al*: **Exceptionally preserved North American Paleogene**  
1139 **metatherians: adaptations and discovery of a major gap in the opossum fossil record.** *Biol*  
1140 *Lett* 2007, **3**(3):318-322.

- 1141 57. Flores DA: **Phylogenetic analyses of postcranial skeletal morphology in didelphid**  
1142 **marsupials.** *Bull Am Mus Nat Hist* 2009, **320**:1-81.
- 1143 58. Beck RMD: **An 'ameridelphian' marsupial from the early Eocene of Australia supports a**  
1144 **complex model of Southern Hemisphere marsupial biogeography.** *Naturwissenschaften*  
1145 2012, **99**(9):715-729.
- 1146 59. Beck RMD, Godthelp H, Weisbecker V, Archer M, Hand SJ: **Australia's oldest marsupial**  
1147 **fossils and their biogeographical implications.** *PLoS ONE* 2008, **3**(3):e1858.
- 1148 60. Wroe S: **The geologically oldest dasyurid (Marsupialia), from the Miocene Riversleigh,**  
1149 **northwestern Queensland.** *Palaeontology* 1999, **42**:1-27.
- 1150 61. Ho SY, Phillips MJ: **Accounting for calibration uncertainty in phylogenetic estimation of**  
1151 **evolutionary divergence times.** *Syst Biol* 2009, **58**(3):367-380.
- 1152 62. Inoue J, Donoghue PCJ, Yang Z: **The impact of the representation of fossil calibrations on**  
1153 **Bayesian estimation of species divergence times.** *Syst Biol* 2010, **59**(1):74-89.
- 1154 63. Duchene S, Lanfear R, Ho SY: **The impact of calibration and clock-model choice on**  
1155 **molecular estimates of divergence times.** *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2014, **78**:277-289.
- 1156 64. Warnock RC, Parham JF, Joyce WG, Lyson TR, Donoghue PC: **Calibration uncertainty in**  
1157 **molecular dating analyses: there is no substitute for the prior evaluation of time priors.**  
1158 *Proceedings Biological sciences / The Royal Society* 2015, **282**(1798):20141013.
- 1159 65. Cracraft J, Houde P, Ho SYW, Mindell DP, Fjeldsa J, Lindow B, Edwards SV, Rahbek C, Mirarab  
1160 S, Warnow T *et al*: **Response to Comment on "Whole-genome analyses resolve early**  
1161 **branches in the tree of life of modern birds".** *Science* 2015, **349**(6255):1460.
- 1162 66. Mitchell KJ, Cooper A, Phillips MJ: **Comment on "Whole-genome analyses resolve early**  
1163 **branches in the tree of life of modern birds".** *Science* 2015, **349**(6255):1460-U1452.
- 1164 67. Phillips MJ: **Geomolecular dating and the origin of placental mammals.** *Syst Biol* 2016,  
1165 **65**(3):546-557.
- 1166 68. Condamine FL, Nagalingum NS, Marshall CR, Morlon H: **Origin and diversification of living**  
1167 **cycads: a cautionary tale on the impact of the branching process prior in Bayesian**  
1168 **molecular dating.** *BMC Evol Biol* 2015, **15**:65.
- 1169 69. Ronquist F, Klopfstein S, Vilhelmsen L, Schulmeister S, Murray DL, Rasnitsyn AP: **A total-**  
1170 **evidence approach to dating with fossils, applied to the early radiation of the**  
1171 **Hymenoptera.** *Syst Biol* 2012, **61**(6):973-999.
- 1172 70. Zhang C, Stadler T, Klopfstein S, Heath TA, Ronquist F: **Total-evidence dating under the**  
1173 **fossilized birth-death process.** *Syst Biol* 2016, **65**(2):228-249.
- 1174 71. Pyron RA: **Divergence time estimation using fossils as terminal taxa and the origins of**  
1175 **Lissamphibia.** *Syst Biol* 2011, **60**(4):466-481.
- 1176 72. O'Reilly JE, Dos Reis M, Donoghue PC: **Dating tips for divergence-time estimation.** *Trends*  
1177 *Genet* 2015.
- 1178 73. Beck RMD, Lee MSY: **Ancient dates or accelerated rates? Morphological clocks and the**  
1179 **antiquity of placental mammals.** *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*  
1180 2014, **281**:20141278.
- 1181 74. Matzke NJ, Wright A: **Inferring node dates from tip dates in fossil Canidae: the importance**  
1182 **of tree priors.** *Biol Lett* 2016, **12**(8).
- 1183 75. Arcila D, Alexander Pyron R, Tyler JC, Orti G, Betancur RR: **An evaluation of fossil tip-dating**  
1184 **versus node-age calibrations in tetraodontiform fishes (Teleostei: Percomorphaceae).** *Mol*  
1185 *Phylogenet Evol* 2015, **82 Pt A**:131-145.
- 1186 76. Heath TA, Huelsenbeck JP, Stadler T: **The fossilized birth-death process for coherent**  
1187 **calibration of divergence-time estimates.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014, **111**(29):E2957-  
1188 2966.
- 1189 77. Gavryushkina A, Heath TA, Ksepka DT, Stadler T, Welch D, Drummond AJ: **Bayesian total**  
1190 **evidence dating reveals the recent crown radiation of penguins.** *ArXiv preprint* 2015.

- 1191 78. O'Reilly JE, Donoghue PC: **Tips and nodes are complementary not competing approaches to**  
1192 **the calibration of molecular clocks.** *Biol Lett* 2016, **12**(4).
- 1193 79. Aplin K, Archer M: **Recent advances in marsupial systematics with a new syncretic**  
1194 **classification.** In: *Possums and opossums: studies in evolution.* Edited by Archer M. Sydney:  
1195 Surrey Beatty and Sons and the Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales; 1987: xv–lxxii.
- 1196 80. Beck RMD, Travouillon KJ, Aplin KP, Godthelp H, Archer M: **The osteology and systematics of**  
1197 **the enigmatic Australian Oligo-Miocene metatherian *Yalkaparidon* (Yalkaparidontidae;**  
1198 **Yalkaparidontia; ?Australidelphia; Marsupialia).** *J Mamm Evol* 2014, **21**(2):127-172.
- 1199 81. Beck RMD: **The biogeographical history of non-marine mammaliaforms in the Sahul region.**  
1200 In: *Handbook of Australasian biogeography.* Edited by Ebach MC. Boca Raton: CRC Press;  
1201 2017: 329-366.
- 1202 82. Cooper NK, Aplin KP, Adams M: **A new species of false antechinus (Marsupialia:**  
1203 **Dasyuromorphia: Dasyuridae) from the Pilbara region, Western Australia.** *Rec West Aust*  
1204 *Mus* 2000, **20**:115-136.
- 1205 83. Megirian D, Prideaux GJ, Murray PF, Smit N: **An Australian land mammal age**  
1206 **biochronological scheme.** *Paleobiology* 2010, **36**(4):658-671.
- 1207 84. Metzger CA, Retallack GJ: **Paleosol record of Neogene climate change in the Australian**  
1208 **outback.** *Aust J Earth Sci* 2010, **57**:871-885.
- 1209 85. Archer M: **Miocene marsupicarnivores (Marsupialia) from central South Australia,**  
1210 ***Ankotarinja tirarensis* gen. et. sp. nov., *Keeuna woodburnei* gen. et. sp. nov., and their**  
1211 **significance in terms of early marsupial radiations.** *Trans R Soc S Aust* 1976, **100**:53-73.
- 1212 86. Godthelp H, Wroe S, Archer M: **A new marsupial from the Early Eocene Tingamarra Local**  
1213 **Fauna of Murgon, southeastern Queensland: a prototypical Australian marsupial?** *J Mamm*  
1214 *Evol* 1999, **6**(3):289-313.
- 1215 87. Nilsson MA, Churakov G, Sommer M, Tran NV, Zemann A, Brosius J, Schmitz J: **Tracking**  
1216 **marsupial evolution using archaic genomic retroposon insertions.** *PLoS Biol* 2010,  
1217 **8**(7):e1000436.
- 1218 88. Voss RS, Jansa SA: **Phylogenetic relationships and classification of didelphid marsupials, an**  
1219 **extant radiation of New World metatherian mammals.** *Bull Am Mus Nat Hist* 2009, **322**:1-  
1220 177.
- 1221 89. Marshall LG, Muizon, Christian de: ***Pucadelphys andinus* (Marsupialia, Mammalia) from the**  
1222 **early Paleocene of Bolivia.** *Mem Mus Natl Hist Nat* 1995, **165**:1-164.
- 1223 90. Muizon, C. de: **A new carnivorous marsupial from the Palaeocene of Bolivia and the**  
1224 **problem of marsupial monophyly.** *Nature* 1994, **370**:208-211.
- 1225 91. Muizon, C. de: ***Mayulestes ferox*, a borhyaenoid (Metatheria, Mammalia) from the early**  
1226 **Palaeocene of Bolivia: phylogenetic and palaeobiologic implications.** *Geodiversitas* 1998,  
1227 **20**(1):19-142.
- 1228 92. Muizon, C. de: **La fauna de mamíferos de Tiupampa (Paleoceno Inferior, Formacion Santa**  
1229 **Lucia), Bolivia.** In: *Fossils y Facies de Bolivia Vol 1 Vertebrados.* Edited by Suarez-Soruco R.  
1230 Santa Cruz, Bolivia: Revista Technica de Yacimientos Petroliferos Fiscales Bolivianos; 1991:  
1231 575-624.
- 1232 93. Muizon, C. de, Argot C: **Comparative anatomy of the Tiupampa didelphimorphs: an**  
1233 **approach to locomotory habits of early marsupials.** In: *Predators with pouches: the biology*  
1234 *of carnivorous marsupials.* Edited by Jones M, Dickman C, Archer M. Collingwood: CSIRO  
1235 Publishing; 2003: 43-62.
- 1236 94. Ladevèze S, Muizon, C. de: **The auditory region of early Paleocene Pucadelphyidae**  
1237 **(Mammalia, Metatheria) from Tiupampa, Bolivia, with phylogenetic implications.**  
1238 *Palaeontology* 2007, **50**(5):1123-1154.
- 1239 95. Voss RS, Jansa SA: **Phylogenetic studies on didelphid marsupials II. Nonmolecular data and**  
1240 **new IRBP sequences: separate and combined analyses of didelphine relationships with**  
1241 **denser taxon sampling.** *Bull Am Mus Nat Hist* 2003, **276**:1-82.

- 1242 96. Argot C: **Functional-adaptive anatomy of the forelimb in the Didelphidae, and the**  
1243 **paleobiology of the Paleocene marsupials *Mayulestes ferox* and *Pucadelphys andinus*.** *J*  
1244 *Morphol* 2001, **247**(1):51-79.
- 1245 97. Argot C: **Functional-adaptive analysis of the hindlimb anatomy of extant marsupials and**  
1246 **the paleobiology of the Paleocene marsupials *Mayulestes ferox* and *Pucadelphys andinus*.**  
1247 *J Morphol* 2002, **253**:76-108.
- 1248 98. Argot C: **Functional-adaptive anatomy of the axial skeleton of some extant marsupials and**  
1249 **the paleobiology of the Paleocene marsupials *Mayulestes ferox* and *Pucadelphys andinus*.**  
1250 *J Morphol* 2003, **255**(3):279-300.
- 1251 99. Argot C: **Postcranial functional adaptations in the South American Miocene borhyaenoids**  
1252 **(Mammalia, Metatheria): *Cladosictis*, *Pseudonotictis* and *Sipalocyon*.** *Alcheringa* 2003,  
1253 **27**(4):303-356.
- 1254 100. Argot C: **Functional adaptations of the postcranial skeleton of two Miocene borhyaenoids**  
1255 **(Mammalia, Metatheria), *Borhyaena* and *Prothylacinus*, from South America.**  
1256 *Palaeontology* 2003, **46**:1213-1267.
- 1257 101. Argot C: **Functional-adaptive features and palaeobiologic implications of the postcranial**  
1258 **skeleton of the late Miocene sabretooth borhyaenoid *Thylacosmilus atrox*(Metatheria).**  
1259 *Alcheringa* 2004, **28**(1):229-266.
- 1260 102. Argot C: **Functional-adaptive analysis of the postcranial skeleton of a Laventan**  
1261 **borhyaenoid, *Lycopsis longirostris* (Marsupialia, Mammalia).** *J Vertebr Paleontol* 2004,  
1262 **24**(3):689-708.
- 1263 103. Argot C, Babot J: **Postcranial morphology, functional adaptations and palaeobiology of**  
1264 ***Callistoe vincei*, a predaceous metatherian from the Eocene of Salta, north-western**  
1265 **Argentina.** *Palaeontology* 2011, **54**(2):447-480.
- 1266 104. O'Leary MA, Bloch JJ, Flynn JJ, Gaudin TJ, Giallombardo A, Giannini NP, Goldberg SL, Kraatz  
1267 BP, Luo ZX, Meng J *et al*: **The placental mammal ancestor and the post-K-Pg radiation of**  
1268 **placentals.** *Science* 2013, **339**(6120):662-667.
- 1269 105. Asher RJ, Lin KH, Kardjilov N, Hautier L: **Variability and constraint in the mammalian**  
1270 **vertebral column.** *J Evol Biol* 2011, **24**(5):1080-1090.
- 1271 106. Warburton NM, Gregoire L, Jacques S, Flandrin C: **Adaptations for digging in the forelimb**  
1272 **muscle anatomy of the southern brown bandicoot (*Isodon obesulus*) and bilby (*Macrotis*  
1273 *lagotis*).** *Aust J Zool* 2013, **61**:402-419.
- 1274 107. Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipowski A, Kumar S: **MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary**  
1275 **Genetics Analysis version 6.0.** *Mol Biol Evol* 2013, **30**(12):2725-2729.
- 1276 108. Edgar RC: **MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.**  
1277 *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004, **32**(5):1792-1797.
- 1278 109. Hall TA: **BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program**  
1279 **for Windows 95/98/NT.** *Nucleic Acids Symp Ser* 1999, **41**:95-98.
- 1280 110. Springer MS, Douzery E: **Secondary structure and patterns of evolution among mammalian**  
1281 **mitochondrial 12S rRNA molecules.** *J Mol Evol* 1996, **43**(4):357-373.
- 1282 111. Burk A, Douzery EJP, Springer MS: **The secondary structure of mammalian mitochondrial**  
1283 **16S rRNA molecules: Refinements based on a comparative phylogenetic approach.** *J*  
1284 *Mamm Evol* 2002, **9**(3):225-252.
- 1285 112. Jameson D, Gibson AP, Hudelot C, Higgs PG: **OGRe: a relational database for comparative**  
1286 **analysis of mitochondrial genomes.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2003, **31**(1):202-206.
- 1287 113. Goloboff PA, Farris JS, Nixon KC: **TNT, a free program for phylogenetic analysis.** *Cladistics*  
1288 2008, **24**(5):774-786.
- 1289 114. Goloboff PA, Catalano SA: **TNT version 1.5, including a full implementation of phylogenetic**  
1290 **morphometrics.** *Cladistics* 2016, **32**:221-238.

- 1291 115. Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L,  
1292 Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP: **MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and**  
1293 **model choice across a large model space.** *Syst Biol* 2012, **61**(3):539-542.
- 1294 116. Lewis PO: **A likelihood approach to estimating phylogeny from discrete morphological**  
1295 **character data.** *Syst Biol* 2001, **50**:913-925.
- 1296 117. Lanfear R, Calcott B, Ho SY, Guindon S: **Partitionfinder: combined selection of partitioning**  
1297 **schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses.** *Mol Biol Evol* 2012,  
1298 **29**(6):1695-1701.
- 1299 118. Rambaut A, Suchard MA, Xie D, Drummond AJ: **Tracer v1.6.** In.; 2014.
- 1300 119. Aberer AJ, Krompass D, Stamatakis A: **Pruning rogue taxa improves phylogenetic accuracy:**  
1301 **an efficient algorithm and webservice.** *Syst Biol* 2013, **62**(1):162-166.
- 1302 120. Gradstein FM, Ogg JG, Schmitz MD, Ogg GM: **The geologic time scale.** Oxford: Elsevier; 2012.
- 1303 121. Bapst DW: **paleotree: an R package for paleontological and phylogenetic analyses of**  
1304 **evolution.** *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 2012, **3**(5):803-807.
- 1305 122. Hansen J, Sato M, Russell G, Kharecha P: **Climate sensitivity, sea level and atmospheric**  
1306 **carbon dioxide.** *Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci* 2013, **371**(2001):20120294.
- 1307 123. Rabosky DL: **Automatic detection of key innovations, rate shifts, and diversity-dependence**  
1308 **on phylogenetic trees.** *PLoS ONE* 2014, **9**(2):e89543.
- 1309 124. Rabosky DL, Grundler M, Anderson C, Shi JJ, Brown JW, Huang H, Larson JG: **BAMMtools: an**  
1310 **R package for the analysis of evolutionary dynamics on phylogenetic trees.** *Methods in*  
1311 *Ecology and Evolution* 2014, **5**:701-707.
- 1312 125. Hillis DM, Bull JJ: **An empirical-test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence**  
1313 **in phylogenetic analysis.** *Syst Biol* 1993, **42**(2):182-192.
- 1314 126. Miller W, Drautz DI, Janecka JE, Lesk AM, Ratan A, Tomsho LP, Packard M, Zhang Y,  
1315 McClellan LR, Qi J *et al*: **The mitochondrial genome sequence of the Tasmanian tiger**  
1316 **(*Thylacinus cynocephalus*).** *Genome research* 2009, **19**:213-220.
- 1317 127. Zachos J, Pagani M, Sloan L, Thomas E, Billups K: **Trends, rhythms, and aberrations in global**  
1318 **climate 65 Ma to present.** *Science* 2001, **292**:686-693.
- 1319 128. Zachos JC, Dickens GR, Zeebe RE: **An early Cenozoic perspective on greenhouse warming**  
1320 **and carbon-cycle dynamics.** *Nature* 2008, **451**(7176):279-283.
- 1321 129. Flower BP, Kennett JP: **The middle Miocene climatic transition: East Antarctic ice sheet**  
1322 **development, deep ocean circulation and global carbon cycling.** *Palaeogeography,*  
1323 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 1994, **108**:537-555.
- 1324 130. Martin HA, McMinn A: **Late Cainozoic vegetation history of north-western Australia from**  
1325 **the palynology of a deep sea core (ODP site 765).** *Aust J Bot* 1994, **42**:95-102.
- 1326 131. Martin HA: **Tertiary climatic evolution and the development of aridity in Australia.** *Proc*  
1327 *Linn Soc N S W* 1998, **119**:115-136.
- 1328 132. Rabosky DL: **Extinction rates should not be estimated from molecular phylogenies.**  
1329 *Evolution* 2010, **64**(6):1816-1824.
- 1330 133. Rabosky DL: **Challenges in the estimation of extinction from molecular phylogenies: A**  
1331 **response to Beaulieu and O'Meara.** *Evolution* 2016, **70**(1):218-228.
- 1332 134. Wroe S, Mackness BS: **Additional material of *Dasyurus dunmalli* from the Pliocene**  
1333 **Chinchilla Local Fauna of Queensland and its phylogenetic implications.** *Mem Queensl Mus*  
1334 2000, **45**(2):641-645.
- 1335 135. Bapst DW: **When can clades be potentially resolved with morphology?** *PLoS ONE* 2013,  
1336 **8**(4):e62312.
- 1337 136. Davalos LM, Velazco PM, Warsi OM, Smits PD, Simmons NB: **Integrating incomplete fossils**  
1338 **by isolating conflicting signal in saturated and non-independent morphological characters.**  
1339 *Syst Biol* 2014, **63**(4):582-600.
- 1340 137. Kangas AT, Evans AR, Thesleff I, Jernvall J: **Nonindependence of mammalian dental**  
1341 **characters.** *Nature* 2004, **432**(7014):211-214.

- 1342 138. Davalos LM, Cirranello AL, Geisler JH, Simmons NB: **Understanding phylogenetic**  
1343 **incongruence: lessons from phyllostomid bats.** *Biological reviews of the Cambridge*  
1344 *Philosophical Society* 2012, **87**(4):991-1024.
- 1345 139. Springer MS, Burk-Herrick A, Meredith R, Eizirik E, Teeling E, O'Brien SJ, Murphy WJ: **The**  
1346 **adequacy of morphology for reconstructing the early history of placental mammals.** *Syst*  
1347 *Biol* 2007, **56**:673-684.
- 1348 140. Wagner PJ: **Exhaustion of morphologic character states among fossil taxa.** *Evolution* 2000,  
1349 **54**(2):365-386.
- 1350 141. Archer M: **The Australian marsupial radiation.** In: *Vertebrate zoogeography and evolution in*  
1351 *Australasia*. Edited by Archer M, Clayton G. Perth: Hesperian Press; 1984: 633-808.
- 1352 142. Sansom RS, Wills MA, Williams T: **Dental data perform relatively poorly in reconstructing**  
1353 **mammal phylogenies: Morphological partitions evaluated with molecular benchmarks.**  
1354 *Syst Biol* 2016.
- 1355 143. Wiens JJ: **Can incomplete taxa rescue phylogenetic analyses from long-branch attraction?**  
1356 *Syst Biol* 2005, **54**:731-742.
- 1357 144. Gallus S, Janke A, Kumar V, Nilsson MA: **Disentangling the relationship of the Australian**  
1358 **marsupial orders using retrotransposon and evolutionary network analyses.** *Genome*  
1359 *Biology and Evolution* 2015, **7**(4):985-992.
- 1360 145. Zemann A, Churakov G, Donnellan S, Grutzner F, Zhao F, Brosius J, Schmitz J: **Ancestry of the**  
1361 **Australian termitivorous numbat.** *Mol Biol Evol* 2013, **30**(5):1041-1045.
- 1362 146. Gallus S, Hallstrom BM, Kumar V, Dodt WG, Janke A, Schumann GG, Nilsson MA:  
1363 **Evolutionary histories of transposable elements in the genome of the largest living**  
1364 **marsupial carnivore, the Tasmanian devil.** *Mol Biol Evol* 2015, **32**(5):1268-1283.
- 1365 147. Archer M: **Results of the Archbold Expeditions. No. 104. Systematic revision of the**  
1366 **marsupial dasyurid genus Sminthopsis Thomas.** *Bull Am Mus Nat Hist* 1981, **168**(2):61-224.
- 1367 148. Marshall LG: **Fossil vertebrate faunas from the Lake Victoria region, S.W. New South**  
1368 **Wales, Australia.** *Memoirs of Museum Victoria* 1973, **34**:15-172.
- 1369 149. Westerman M, Kear BP, Aplin K, Meredith RW, Emerling C, Springer MS: **Phylogenetic**  
1370 **relationships of living and recently extinct bandicoots based on nuclear and mitochondrial**  
1371 **DNA sequences.** *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2012, **62**(1):97-108.
- 1372 150. Travouillon KJ, Beck RMD, Hand SJ, Archer M: **The oldest fossil record of bandicoots**  
1373 **(Marsupialia; Peramelemorphia) from the late Oligocene of Australia.** *Palaeontol Electron*  
1374 2013, **16**(2):13A.
- 1375 151. Travouillon KJ, Hand SJ, Archer M, Black KH: **Earliest modern bandicoot and bilby**  
1376 **(Marsupialia, Peramelidae and Thylacomylidae) from the Miocene of the Riversleigh World**  
1377 **Heritage Area, northwestern Queensland, Australia.** *J Vertebr Paleontol* 2014, **34**(2):375-  
1378 382.
- 1379 152. Chamberlain PM, Travouillon KJ, Archer M, Hand SJ: **Kutjamarcoot brevirostrum gen. et sp.**  
1380 **nov., a new short-snouted, early Miocene bandicoot (Marsupialia: Peramelemorphia) from**  
1381 **the Kutjamarpu Local Fauna (Wipajiri Formation) in South Australia.** *Alcheringa* 2015,  
1382 **40**(2):197-206.
- 1383 153. Travouillon KJ, Archer M, Hand SJ, Muirhead J: **Sexually dimorphic bandicoots (Marsupialia:**  
1384 **Peramelemorphia) from the Oligo-Miocene of Australia, first cranial ontogeny for fossil**  
1385 **bandicoots and new species descriptions.** *J Mamm Evol* 2015, **22**:141-167.
- 1386 154. Kear BP, Aplin KP, Westerman M: **Bandicoot fossils and DNA elucidate lineage antiquity**  
1387 **amongst xeric-adapted Australasian marsupials.** *Sci Rep* 2016, **6**:37537.
- 1388 155. Travouillon KJ, Louys J, Price GJ, Archer M, Hand SJ, Muirhead J: **A review of the Pliocene**  
1389 **bandicoots of Australia, and descriptions of new genus and species.** *J Vertebr Paleontol*  
1390 2017:e1360894.

- 1391 156. Dawson L, Muirhead J, Wroe S: **The Big Sink Local Fauna: a lower Pliocene mammalian**  
1392 **fauna from the Wellington Caves complex, Wellington, New South Wales.** *Records of the*  
1393 *Western Australia Museum, Supplement* 1999, **57**:265-290.
- 1394 157. Turnbull WD, Lundelius Jr EL, Archer M: **Dasyurids, perameloids, phalangeroids, and**  
1395 **vombatoids from the Early Pliocene Hamilton Fauna, Victoria, Australia.** *Bull Am Mus Nat*  
1396 *Hist* 2003, **279**:513-540.
- 1397 158. Horovitz I, Martin T, Bloch J, Ladevèze S, Kurz C, Sánchez-Villagra MR: **Cranial anatomy of**  
1398 **the earliest marsupials and the origin of opossums.** *PLoS ONE* 2009, **4**(12):e8278.
- 1399 159. Beck RMD: **The skull of *Epidolops ameghinoi* from the early Eocene Itaboraí fauna,**  
1400 **southeastern Brazil, and the affinities of the extinct marsupialiform order**  
1401 **Polydolopimorphia.** *J Mamm Evol* 2016.
- 1402 160. Beck RMD: **Current understanding of the phylogeny of Metatheria: A review.** In: *New*  
1403 *World marsupials and their extinct relatives: 100 million years of evolution.* Edited by Goin  
1404 FJ, Forasiepi AM: Springer; in press.
- 1405 161. Billups K, Scheiderich K: **A synthesis of Late Oligocene through Miocene deep sea**  
1406 **temperatures as inferred from foraminiferal Mg/Ca ratios.** *International Association of*  
1407 *Sedimentologists Special Publication* 2010, **42**:1-16.
- 1408 162. Muirhead J, Filan S: **Yarala burchfieldi (Peramelemorphia) from Oligo-Miocene deposits of**  
1409 **Riversleigh, northwestern Queensland.** *J Paleontol* 1995, **69**(1):127-134.
- 1410 163. Muirhead J: **Systematics, evolution and palaeobiology of recent and fossil bandicoots**  
1411 **(Marsupialia: Peramelemorphia).** *Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation.* Sydney, Australia:  
1412 University of New South Wales; 1994.
- 1413 164. Travouillon KJ, Gurovich Y, Beck RMD, Muirhead J: **An exceptionally well-preserved short-**  
1414 **snouted bandicoot (Marsupialia; Peramelemorphia) from Riversleigh's Oligo-Miocene**  
1415 **deposits, northwestern Queensland, Australia.** *J Vertebr Paleontol* 2010, **30**(5):1528-1546.
- 1416 165. Gurovich Y, Travouillon KJ, Beck RMD, Muirhead J, Archer M: **Biogeographical implications**  
1417 **of a new mouse-sized fossil bandicoot (Marsupialia: Peramelemorphia) occupying a**  
1418 **dasyurid-like ecological niche across Australia.** *J Syst Palaeontol* 2014, **12**(3):265-290.
- 1419 166. Warburton NM, Travouillon KJ: **The biology and palaeontology of the Peramelemorphia: a**  
1420 **review of current knowledge and future research directions.** *Aust J Zool* 2016, **64**(3):151.
- 1421 167. Zimicz N: **Avoiding competition: The ecological history of Late Cenozoic metatherian**  
1422 **carnivores in South America.** *J Mamm Evol* 2014, **21**(4):383-393.
- 1423 168. Wroe S, McHenry C, Thomason J: **Bite club: comparative bite force in big biting mammals**  
1424 **and the prediction of predatory behaviour in fossil taxa.** *Proc R Soc B* 2005, **272**(1563):619-  
1425 625.
- 1426 169. Rabosky DL: **Extinction rates should not be estimated from molecular phylogenies.**  
1427 *Evolution* 2010, **64**(6):1816-1824.
- 1428 170. Beaulieu JM, O'Meara BC: **Extinction can be estimated from moderately sized molecular**  
1429 **phylogenies.** *Evolution* 2015, **69**(4):1036-1043.
- 1430 171. Quental TB, Marshall CR: **Diversity dynamics: molecular phylogenies need the fossil record.**  
1431 *Trends Ecol Evol* 2010, **25**(8):434-441.
- 1432 172. Marshall CR: **Five palaeobiological laws needed to understand the evolution of the living**  
1433 **biota.** *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 2017, **1**(6):0165.
- 1434 173. Campbell CR: **Tertiary Dasyuridae and Peramelidae (Marsupialia) from the Tirari Desert,**  
1435 **South Australia.** *Unpublished PhD dissertation.* University of California, Berkeley; 1976.
- 1436 174. Silvestro D, Antonelli A, Salamin N, Quental TB: **The role of clade competition in the**  
1437 **diversification of North American canids.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015.
- 1438 175. Silvestro D, Salamin N, Schnitzler J: **PyRate: a new program to estimate speciation and**  
1439 **extinction rates from incomplete fossil data.** *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 2014,  
1440 **5**(10):1126–1131.



- 1441 176. Silvestro D, Schnitzler J, Liow LH, Antonelli A, Salamin N: **Bayesian estimation of speciation and extinction from incomplete fossil occurrence data.** *Syst Biol* 2014, **63**(3):349-367.
- 1442
- 1443 177. Mackness BS, Whitehead PW, McNamara GC: **New potassium-argon basalt date in relation to the Pliocene Bluff Downs Local Fauna, Northern Australia.** *Aust J Earth Sci* 2000, **47**(4):807-811.
- 1444
- 1445
- 1446 178. Blackett MJ, Kemper C, Brandle R: **Planigales (Marsupialia : Dasyuridae) of eastern Australia's interior: a comparison of morphology, distributions and habitat preferences, with particular emphasis on South Australia.** *Aust J Zool* 2008, **56**:195-205.
- 1447
- 1448
- 1449 179. Legge S, Kennedy MS, Lloyd RAY, Murphy SA, Fisher A: **Rapid recovery of mammal fauna in the central Kimberley, northern Australia, following the removal of introduced herbivores.** *Austral Ecol* 2011, **36**(7):791-799.
- 1450
- 1451
- 1452 180. Andrew DL, Settle GA: **Observations on the behaviour of species of *Planigale* (Dasyuridae: Marsupialia) with particular reference to the narrow nosed planigale (*Planigale tenuirostris*).** In: *Carnivorous Marsupials*. Edited by Archer M. Sydney: Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales; 1982: 311-324.
- 1453
- 1454
- 1455
- 1456 181. Denny MJS: **Review of *Planigale* (Dasyuridae, Marsupialia) ecology.** In: *Carnivorous Marsupials*. Edited by Archer M. Sydney: Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales; 1982: 131-138.
- 1457
- 1458
- 1459 182. Prideaux GJ, Warburton NM: **An osteology-based appraisal of the phylogeny and evolution of kangaroos and wallabies (Macropodidae: Marsupialia).** *Zool J Linn Soc* 2010, **159**:954-987.
- 1460
- 1461
- 1462 183. Beck RMD, Warburton NM, Archer M, Hand SJ, Aplin KP: **Going underground: postcranial morphology of the early Miocene marsupial mole *Naraboryctes philcreaseri* and the evolution of fossoriality in notoryctemorphians.** *Mem Mus Vic* 2016, **74**:151-171.
- 1463
- 1464
- 1465 184. Meredith RW, Westerman M, Springer MS: **A phylogeny of Diprotodontia (Marsupialia) based on sequences for five nuclear genes.** *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2009, **51**(3):554-571.
- 1466
- 1467 185. Meredith RW, Westerman M, Springer MS: **A phylogeny and timescale for the living genera of kangaroos and kin (Macropodiformes: Marsupialia) based on nuclear DNA sequences.** *Aust J Zool* 2009, **56**:395-410.
- 1468
- 1469
- 1470 186. Butler K, Travouillon KJ, Price GJ, Archer M, Hand SJ: ***Cookeroo*, a new genus of fossil kangaroo (Marsupialia, Macropodidae) from the Oligo-Miocene of Riversleigh, northwestern Queensland, Australia.** *J Vertebr Paleontol* 2016, **36**(3):e1083029.
- 1471
- 1472
- 1473 187. Travouillon KJ, Butler K, Archer M, Hand SJ: **New material of *Gumardee pascuali* Flannery et al., 1983 (Marsupialia: Macropodiformes) and two new species from the Riversleigh World Heritage Area, Queensland, Australia.** *Mem Mus Vic* 2016, **74**:189-207.
- 1474
- 1475
- 1476
- 1477

1478 **Figure 1 Undated phylogenies of Dasyuromorphia based on 173 morphological**  
1479 **characters.** a, strict consensus of 3888 most parsimonious trees (length=847 steps), with  
1480 *Myoictis leucura* deleted from the starting matrix as a rogue taxon. b, 50% major rule  
1481 consensus of post-burn-in trees from Bayesian analysis using the Mkv+G model with  
1482 *Parantechinus apicalis* deleted from the matrix as an rogue taxon. In a, numbers at nodes  
1483 represent bootstrap values  $\geq 50\%$ . Branch lengths are arbitrary in both a and b.

1484

1485 **Figure 2 Undated Bayesian molecular and total evidence phylogenies of**  
1486 **Dasyuromorphia.** a, 50% major rule consensus of post-burn-in trees from Bayesian  
1487 analysis of 16.4kb of combined nuclear and mitochondrial sequence data. Numbers above  
1488 branches represent Bayesian posterior probabilities (PPs)  $\geq 50\%$ . Branch lengths are  
1489 proportional to the estimated number of character state changes. b, 50% major rule  
1490 consensus of post-burn-in trees from Bayesian analysis of 16.4kb of combined nuclear and  
1491 mitochondrial sequence data and 173 craniodental and postcranial characters. Branch  
1492 lengths are arbitrary in both a and b.

1493

1494 **Figure 3. Dated total evidence phylogeny of Dasyuromorphia based on molecular**  
1495 **sequence data and 173 morphological characters.** Divergence dates were calculated  
1496 using Bayesian tip-and-node dating, assuming a single IGR clock model and the  
1497 “TipNodeCalib1” calibration scheme. The topology is a maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree  
1498 of post-burn-in trees. Branch lengths are proportional to time, and bars at nodes represent  
1499 95% highest posterior densities (HPDs).

1500

1501 **Figure 4. Lineage through time (LTT) plots of modern dasyuromorphians compared**  
1502 **with global temperature and thylacinid diversity.** The diversity curves were plotted based

1503 on the post-burn-in trees from the “TipNodeCalib1” total evidence tip-and-node dating  
1504 analysis (see Figure 3 and text). The black line represents the median diversity curve for  
1505 modern dasyuromorphians as a whole, with the grey shading representing the 95%  
1506 confidence interval (based on the post-burn-in trees). The red line represents estimated  
1507 global surface temperature (taken from Hansen et al. 2013). Arrow 1 indicates the middle  
1508 Miocene Climatic Optimum (MMCO), arrow 2 the middle Miocene Climatic Transition  
1509 (MMCT), and arrow 3 the major increase in grass pollen seen in the palynological record of  
1510 Australia (see Martin and McMinn, 1994: fig. 2). The upper bar graph represents thylacinid  
1511 generic diversity through time based on named genera (note that *Mutpuracinus* was not  
1512 included, based on our results – see Figures 1-3), with five temporal bins used: late  
1513 Oligocene (28.1-23.03 MYA), early Miocene (23.03-15.97 MYA), middle Miocene (15.97-  
1514 11.62 MYA), late Miocene (11.62-5.333 MYA), Pliocene (5.333-2.58 MYA) and Quaternary  
1515 (2.58-0 MYA).

1516