Do fungi need to be included within environmental radiation protection assessment models?

J. Guillén^{*a}, A. Baeza^a, N. A. Beresford^{b,c}, M. D. Wood^c

^a LARUEX, Dpt. Applied Physics, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, University of Extremadura, Avda. Universidad, s/n, 10003, Cáceres, Spain.

^b NERC Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Lancaster Environment Centre, Library Av. Bailrigg, Lancaster LA1 4AP, United Kingdom

^c School of Environment and Life Sciences, Room 323, Peel Building, University of Salford, Manchester, M5 4WT, United Kingdom

Abstract

Fungi are used as biomonitors of forest ecosystems, having comparatively high uptakes of anthropogenic and naturally occurring radionuclides. However, whilst they are known to accumulate radionuclides they are not typically considered in radiological assessment tools for environmental (non-human biota) assessment. In this paper the total dose rate to fungi is estimated using the ERICA Tool, assuming different fruiting body geometries, a single ellipsoid and more complex geometries considering the different components of the fruit body and their differing radionuclide contents based upon measurement data. Anthropogenic and naturally occurring radionuclide concentrations from the Mediterranean ecosystem (Spain) were used in this assessment. The total estimated weighted dose rate was in the range 0.31 - 3.4 μ Gy/h (5th – 95th percentile), similar to natural exposure rates reported for other wild groups. The total estimated dose was dominated by internal exposure, especially from ²²⁶Ra and ²¹⁰Po. Differences in dose rate between complex geometries and a simple ellipsoid model were negligible. Therefore, the simple ellipsoid model is recommended to assess dose rates to fungal fruiting bodies. Fungal mycelium was also modelled assuming a long filament. Using these geometries, assessments for fungal fruiting bodies and mycelium under different scenarios (post-accident, planned release and existing exposure) were conducted, each being based on available monitoring data. The estimated total dose rate

^{*}Corresponding autor: fguillen@unex.es

in each case was below the ERICA screening benchmark dose, except for the example post-accident existing exposure scenario (the Chernobyl Exclusion Zone) for which a dose rate in excess of 35 μ Gy/h was estimated for the fruiting body. Estimated mycelium dose rate in this post-accident existing exposure scenario was close to the 400 μ Gy/h benchmark for plants, although fungi are generally considered to be less radiosensitive than plants. Further research on appropriate mycelium geometries and their radionuclide content is required. Based on the assessments presented in this paper, there is no need to recommend that fungi should be added to the existing assessment tools and frameworks; if required some tools allow a geometry representing fungi to be created and used within a dose assessment.

Keywords: fungi; dose rate; Mediterranean ecosystem; naturally occurring radionuclides; ERICA Tool

1. Introduction

Many fungi species are known to accumulate high activity concentrations of some radionuclides in their fruiting bodies (Mietelsky et al., 1994; Barnett et al., 1999) and they can contribute significantly to human intakes of radioactivity, especially of radiocaesium (Beresford et al. 2001). The effective dose to consumers of fungi varies between different countries due to differences in the radioactive fallout (weapons and accidental) and traditional/culinary practices (Guillén et al., 2014). Whilst a focus has been on the uptake of anthropogenic radionuclides (especially Cs), fungi also uptake naturally occurring radionuclides (Wichterey and Sawallisch, 2002). In addition to radiocaesium, radium, ²¹⁰Po, and ²¹⁰Pb have been shown to contribute to the dose received via fungi consumption (Guillén et al., 2014).

However, fungi, a key ecosystem component, have not been selected as an organism considered in the approaches developed in recent years in response to changes in international recommendations (ICRP 2007; IAEA 2014) to assess dose rates and risk to wildlife (e.g. ICRP, 2008; Brown et al. 2016; USDOE 2002).

In this paper we consider how the dose rate to fungi could be estimated; some species are included within biodiversity conservation objectives (e.g. BRIG, 2007) and hence may require assessment. We also estimate typical background dose rates (for Mediterranean ecosystems), consider exposure under planned, post-accident and existing scenarios, and finally discuss if there is a need to include fungi in the existing assessment frameworks.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Definition of fungi geometries for exposure modeling

The available approaches to assess the dose rates received by wildlife assume homogenous distribution within the organism, which is typically represented as an ellipsoid (Brown et al. 2008; USDoE 2002; ICRP 2008). The radionuclide content of the different parts of the fungal fruiting body (cap, gills, and stem) can differ significantly (Heinrich, 1993; Baeza et al., 2006a). In most fungal species, approximately 90 % of radiocaesium has been found to be in the cap and gills, and in the majority of analysed species, the gills had higher activity concentrations than the flesh of the cap. Only rarely has the stalk been found to have more radiocaesium than the cap or gills (Heinrich, 1993). Fungal mycelium in soil can accumulate a significant percentage of the radiocaesium content of soil (Olsen et al, 1990; Vinichuk et al., 2003). Some species of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, in symbiosis with a host plant, can reduce the uranium uptake by roots, potentially suggesting a uranium accumulation by mycelium (Dupré de Boulois et al., 2008).

We have defined a geometry for dose assessment which represents the fungal fruiting body as three compartments (i.e. cap, gills, and stem; see Figure 1), an additional geometry was created to represent the fungal mycelium. These geometries were each entered into Tier 2 of the ERICA Tool (Brown et al. 2008; 2016) to derive dose conversion coefficients (DCC) for internal and external exposure (treating each compartment as a separate 'organism'). The size of most fungal fruiting bodies is within the range where their absolute size will have little impact on the estimated DCC values (Vives i Batlle et al. 2011). Therefore, we have chosen to define geometries

representative of Agaricus bisporus (the portobello mushroom) and Macrolepiota procera (the parasol mushroom) as these have different proportions of gills to cap. Table 1 presents the assumed values of mass and dimensions of each fraction considered for these two species based on measurements of collected fungi; note width and length were assumed to be the same (given as diameter in Table 1). To evaluate the importance of the inhomogeneous radionuclide content within the fungal fruiting body in dose rate assessments, we have also represented the fungal fruiting body as a single homogenous ellipsoid of dimensions based on measurements of complete A. bisporus fruiting bodies (Table 1). The data for the fungal mycelium model were collected from mycelium hyphae parameters: diameter (Gooday, 1995), mass and length (Taniwaki et al., 2006). Dry mass was converted to fresh mass assuming a dry/fresh ratio of 0.10 (Guillén et al., 2014). Although the total length of the fungal mycelium can be a number of kilometres (Taniwaki et al., 2006), it was considered to be 100 m in the model because this is the maximal length allowed by ERICA. This model is to be considered as a first approach acknowledging that the actual distribution of fungal mycelium in soil is a variable tri-dimensional geometry of intertwining mass of hyphae with plant roots.

2.2. Model input activity concentrations for Mediterranean ecosystems

As we are aiming to estimate typical background dose rates and potential dose rates due to anthropogenic sources in the Mediterranean ecosystem, we need to identify suitable data on which to base these calculations. All data used in this task were previously reported for fungi and soil samples collected in Spain (mainly in Cáceres province, Extremadura region) (Baeza et al. 1992, 1993, 2006b).

For natural and anthropogenic (¹³⁷Cs and ⁹⁰Sr) radionuclides in soil we have used data from Baeza et al. (1992, 1993) in Cáceres (Spain). The ²²⁶Ra content in soil was considered to be in equilibrium with ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁰Po in soil, as were the activity concentration of ²³²Th with ²²⁸Ra and ²²⁸Th, and ²³⁸U with ²³⁴Th, ²³⁴U and ²³⁰Th. Additional data for ²³⁹⁺²⁴⁰Pu and ²⁴¹Am from Baeza et al. (2006b) were used. Table 2 lists the mean, standard deviation and range in activity concentrations for the radionuclides considered. In general, the concentration of anthropogenic radionuclides in soil can be considered to be low, because the main source term for most of Spain was global fallout which occurred in 1950-60s.

The radionuclide content of fungi in Mediterranean ecosystems (different locations in Spain and Portugal, but mainly in the Spanish Cáceres province) has been extensively reported in previous papers (Baeza et al., 2004a, 2004b, 2005, 2006b, 2006c; Guillén et al., 2009a, 2009b) (see Table 3). The data were reported as Bq/kg d.m. (dry mass) in the complete fruiting body and have been transformed to Bq/kg f.m. (fresh mass) using the dry/fresh ratio measured for each sample. The reported transfer parameters, defined as the ratio between activity concentration in fruiting body in dry mass and that of surface soil in dry mass, were also converted to fresh mass concentration ratios (CR) using the same dry/fresh ratio for the fungal fruiting bodies. Concentration ratio values for ²¹⁰Po, ⁷Be and ²³⁵U are not given in Table 3 because their activity concentrations in the corresponding soils were not determined.

Table 4 lists the percentage of the total activity (Bq) assumed to be in the cap, gills and stem. Data for ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb were only available for stem and a combined "caps and gills" sample (Vaarama et al., 2009). The distribution of these elements between cap and gills was estimated from the mean distribution for uranium, thorium, and plutonium.

For modeling purposes, the activity concentration in each fungi compartment was estimated taking into account the percentages of the total activity reported in each compartment and the percentage of the total mass that each represents (see equation 1).

$$A_{C,G,S}(Bq/kg f.w.) = A_{mushroom}(Bq/kg f.w.) \cdot \frac{\% \text{ Total Activity in } C,G,S}{\% \text{ Total Mass of } C,G,S}$$
(1)

where A_{C,G,S} is the activity level of the cap, gills or stem.

Two scenarios were considered based on the data of Heinrich (1993) for radiocaesium: (i) gills have a higher total radionuclide activity than the cap (based on data for *A. bisporus*); (ii) gills have a similar total radionuclide activity to the cap (based on data from *M. procera*,).

Mycelium has been reported to be able to accumulate a significant percentage of total radiocaesium inventory in soil (range 0.1 - 50 %, mean value 15 %) (Vinichuck et al., 2003). The mycelium production in the upper 10 cm of soil in Swedish forests was reported to be about 200 kg dm/(ha'y) with a range of 20 - 980 kg dm/(ha·y) (Ekblad et al., 2013). This value was converted into a fresh mass mycelium concentration in soil, assuming 1 year mycellium production, a soil depth of 10 cm, soil density of 1.5 g dm/cm³, and an assumed dry/fresh ratio for mycelium of 0.10 g dm/g fm. Thus, 200 kg dm/(ha·y) would be equivalent to $1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ kg fm mycelium/kg soil, which is within the range of fungal mycelium in soil reported by Vinichuck et al., (2003). The radiocaesium mycelium concentration was estimated using equation 2 assuming the mean percentage of soil Cs in mycelium as reported by Vinichuck et al. (2003).

$$A(^{137}Cs)_{mycelium}(Bq/kg~fm) = \frac{0.15 \cdot A(^{137}Cs)_{soil}(Bq/kg~soil)}{1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}(kg~fm~mycelium/kg~soil)}$$
(2)

As no information about the concentration of other radionuclides in the mycelium is available, it was assumed to be the same as in the fruiting body.

2.3. Modeling exposure of fungi using the ERICA Tool

The radionuclide background dose rates for the assumed ellipsoid geometry (for fruiting body and mycellium) and the more complex geometries representing *A. bisporus* and *M. procera* fruiting bodies were estimated using the probabilistic functionality of Tier 3 of the ERICA Tool (Brown et al, 2008; 2016) with 10000 simulations. Weighted dose rates were estimated using the default radiation weighting factors from the ERICA Tool of 10 for α , 3 for low energy β and 1 for other β and γ emissions. Table 5 lists the dose conversion coefficients (DCC) for single ellipsoid and mycelium geometries, calculated using the ERICA Tool. For the more complex geometries (Figure 1) the ERICA Tool was run three times, once for each compartment. A total weighted dose rate for the whole fruiting body was then estimated by using the results for each compartment and weighting these for their contribution to the total mass of the fruiting body. The overall 5th and 95th percentiles were estimated as the weighted sum of the 5th and 95th percentile values respectively for all compartments.

For the purposes of probabilistic modelling, it is necessary to specify an appropriate distribution function for each input parameter. For fungi, radiocaesium has been shown to have a log-normal distribution (Mietelski et al., 1994; Yoshida et al., 1994; Baeza et al., 2004a). The distribution patterns for ⁴⁰K, ²²⁶Ra and ⁷Be have been reported to be normal (Mietelski et al., 1994; Yoshida et al., 1994; Baeza et al., 2004a). Where there were no data for defining a distribution, we have assumed a lognormal distribution (Brown et al. 2008; Wood et al. 2013). The assumed activity concentrations of radionuclides in soil were taken from Table 2. The distribution functions for radionuclides in soil were assumed to be lognormal for all radionuclides except ⁴⁰K, which has previously been observed to have a normal distribution in the region of Spain from which the data originate (Baeza et al., 1992).

3. Results and discussion

Table 6 shows the external, internal, and total weighted (background) dose rate for the different species of fungi modeled. The mean value of the total dose rate for the model based on a single ellipsoid is 1.6 μ Gy/h, with a predicted range (5th – 95th percentiles) of 0.31 – 3.4 μ Gy/h. The use of more complex models based on the heterogeneous distribution within the fungi resulted in a slightly lower dose rate of 1.2 μ Gy/h for both species modeled with an overall range (5th – 95th percentiles) of 0.26 – 2.9 μ Gy/h. This implies that the heterogeneous distribution within the different fungi parts is not a key factor when determining dose rate. Therefore, the use of the ellipsoid model is recommended, as it is easier to implement and in this case, conservative.

The background dose rates determined here for fungi are broadly similar to those estimated by Beresford et al. (2008) for terrestrial Reference Animals and Plants from the ICRP framework (ICRP 2008). These authors report a range in mean weighted dose rates of *circa* 0.07 μ Gy/h (Reference Pine tree and Deer) to 0.6 μ Gy/h (Reference Earthworm) with an overall range in 5th and 95th percentile predictions of 0.04 to 1.5 μ Gy/h. For comparison purposes the 5th and 95th percentile dose rates estimate here for fungi were 0.31 and 3.4 respectively.

The majority of the total dose rate is due to internal dose (Table 6). The mean value of the external dose rate was 0.06 μ Gy/h (0.020 - 0.12) μ Gy/h for all models. Naturally occurring radionuclides (⁴⁰K, ^{228,230,232,234}Th, and ^{226,228}Ra) are the main contributors to the external dose rate for the area of Spain considered here (see Fig. 2a). The principle anthropogenic dose contributor was ¹³⁷Cs, which contributed about 3.9 % (7.0 · 10⁻³ μ Gy/h) of the total external dose rate.

No difference in the contribution of the various radionuclides assessed to the total internal dose rate was estimated for fungi geometries with different radionuclide distributions between the three modelled compartments. The main contributions to internal dose, for *M. procera* were ^{226,228}Ra and ²¹⁰Po, which contributed about 87 % of the total internal dose rate (Fig. 2b). The contribution of ¹³⁷Cs was 0.03% (1.4·10⁻³ μ Gy/h) of the total internal dose rate.

Estimated mycelium dose rate is shown in Table 6. As the concentration used was the same as for the fruiting body, except for radiocaesium which was estimated using eq. 2, similar dose rates and relationships between internal and external contribution were obtained. These values are to be considered as a first approach, as further research is required related to radionuclide concentration in mycelium and a better geometry for mycelium in soil. Current geometry assumptions and radionuclide activity concentrations may underestimate the dose rate received by mycelium.

3.1 Potential dose rates to fungi under different exposure scenarios

Above we have estimated background exposure rates for fungi (in a Mediterranean ecosystem) and we have demonstrated that the simple ellipsoid geometry is sufficient for assessment purposes. However, it is well known that fungi accumulate high activity concentrations of some anthropogenic radionuclides (Mietelsky et al., 1994; Barnett et al., 1999: Guillén et al., 2014) yet, as already noted, fungi are not considered within the existing commonly used environmental assessment frameworks. We have therefore investigated potential dose rates to fungi under different scenarios. This was conducted using Tier 2 of the ERICA Tool (i.e. the analyses were not probabilistic) and assuming the ellipsoid geometry defined above. Where fungi data used in these assessments were reported as Bq/kg d.m. they were converted to Bq/kg

f.m. assuming a dry/wet ratio of 0.10. The data sources we have used for these assessments presented activity concentrations in fungi but not always for soil. To determine external dose rates we derived activity concentrations in soil using those in fungi and the CR values in Table 3 where required. For the planned and post-accident existing exposure scenarios, weighted dose rates were only estimated for the anthropogenic radionuclides present at the sites (i.e. there was no consideration of natural background). Radiocaesium activity concentration in the mycelium was estimated from the soil activity concentration as described above for each scenario.

3.1.1 Planned exposure scenario

Data for a range of anthropogenic radionuclides were available for an unspecified fungi species (Fulker et al. 1998) collected close to the Sellafield reprocessing plant (UK) and these data have been used here as an example of a planned exposure situation (Table 7). The total weighted dose rate estimated for the fruit body was about $3.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \mu$ Gy/h predominantly arising from ¹³⁷Cs (Table 8; Figure 3). The dose rate estimation for mycelium was about $5.7 \cdot 10^{-2} \mu$ Gy/h, also from ¹³⁷Cs. This estimated dose rate is below any benchmark values used in assessments and considerably lower than that estimated above for natural background exposure.

3.1.2 Existing exposure scenario

Data were available for fungi collected from a former uranium mining site in Germany (Wichterey and Sawallisch 2002); for the purposes of this assessment the maximum reported values were used (Table 7). The total weighted dose rate to both the fungal fruit body and mycelium at this site was estimated to be 11μ Gy/h with ²²⁶Ra and ²¹⁰Po being the major contributors (Table 8; Figure 3). Whilst this is equal to the screening dose rate value used in the ERICA Tool (Brown et al. 2008) suggesting further assessment is required. However, the ERICA screening dose rate is for incremental dose and there will be an element of natural background in the dose estimated for this site. Furthermore, fungi are relatively insensitive to ionising radiation, with some species being able to withstand doses in the kGy range (e.g. McNamara et al. 2003). Therefore, a 10 μ Gy/h screening dose rate is unlikely to applicable to this taxon.

3.1.3 Post-accident existing exposure scenario

We have used data for fungi collected in the Chernobyl Exclusion Zone in 1993 (Lux et al. 1995). Activity concentration data were available for ^{134,137}Cs, ^{238,239}Pu and ⁹⁰Sr (Table 7). Lux et al. (1995) also presents soil activity concentrations and these have been used in the assessment. The total estimated weighted dose rate was 43 μ Gy/h predominantly arising from ¹³⁷Cs due to external exposure (Table 8; Figure 3). Although above the generic ERICA screening dose rate of 10 μ Gy/h, this is below other benchmark dose rates proposed for the protection of populations of plant of up to 400 μ Gy/h (see Howard et al. 2010). The total estimated dose rate for mycelium was about 430 μ Gy/h, which is slightly above the suggested benchmark for plants. However, again we anticipate that fungi are a relatively radio-insensitive taxon (e.g. McNamara et al. 2003).

4. Conclusions.

As discussed above fungi are well known to accumulate high activity concentrations of some radionuclides. However, they have not been included as organisms of assessment for the models developed over the last about 15 years (USDOE 2002, Brown et al. 2008; 2016, Copplestone et al. 2003). In this paper we have considered how to estimate the exposure of fungal fruiting bodies, the background dose rate of fungi and investigated likely dose rates under different example scenarios. We have show that:

- A fungi geometry representing different components of the fruiting body can be derived and parameterized
- Using a simple ellipsoid geometry estimates similar dose rates to this more detailed compartmentalised geometry leading us to recommend a simple ellipsoid is used for assessment purposes.
- The background dose rates estimated for fungi in Spain were similar to those determined for other (animal and plant) wildlife groups elsewhere in Europe.

- Dose rates estimated for a planned scenario were relatively low suggesting that the omission of fungi from assessment models is not significant.
- For existing and post-accident scenarios dose rates were higher than the screening benchmark dose rate used in the ERICA Tool (which is not directly applicable to the scenarios) but lower than other benchmarks which have been suggested. Furthermore, it is likely that fungi are relatively radioinsensitive.
- The dose rate estimated for mycelium in a post-accident scenario was slightly above the 400 µGy/h benchmark suggested for plants. However, this benchmark may over-estimate the risk to relatively radio-insensitive fungi. Conversely, it is possible that our assumptions used to model exposure of mycelium may underestimate dose.

Based on these findings we feel that there is no need to recommend that fungi should be added to the existing assessment tools and frameworks. However, some tools (e.g. Brown et al. 2016; USDoE, 2002) are flexible enough that if required the user can relatively easily create a geometry representing fungi and conduct a dose assessment.

References

- Baeza, A., del Río, M., Miró, C., Paniagua, J., 1992. Natural radioactivity in soils of the province of Cáceres (Spain). Radiat. Prot. Dosim. 45(1-4), 261-263.
- Baeza, A., del Río, M., Miró, C., Paniagua, J., 1993. Surface and depth fallout distribution of ¹³⁷Cs and ⁹⁰Sr in soils of Cáceres (Spain). Dose commitments due to external irradiation. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 175(4), 297-316.
- Baeza, A., Hernández, S., Guillén, F.J., Moreno, G., Manjón, J.L., Pascual, R., 2004a- Radiocaesium and natural gamma emitters in mushrooms collected in Spain. Sci. Total Environ. 318, 59–71

- Baeza, A., Guillén, J., Mietelski, J.W., 2004b. Uptake of alpha and beta emitters by mushrooms collected and cultured in Spain. J. Radioanal. Nuc. Chem. 261(2), 375-380
- Baeza, A., Guillén, J., Bernedo, J.M., 2005. Soil-fungi transfer coefficients: Importance of the location of mycelium in soil and of the differential availability of radionuclides in soil fractions. J. Environ. Radioactiv. 81, 89-106
- Baeza, A., Guillén, F.J., Salas, A., Manjón, J.L., 2006a. Distribution of radionuclides in different parts of a mushroom: Influence of the degree of maturity. Sci. Total Environ. 359, 255-266.
- Baeza, A., Guillén, J., Mietelski, J.W., Gaca, P., 2006b. Soil-to-fungi transfer of ⁹⁰Sr, ²³⁹⁺²⁴⁰Pu, and ²⁴¹Am. Radiochim. Acta 94, 75-80.
- Baeza, A., Guillén, J., 2006c. Influence of the soil bioavailability of radionuclides on the transfer of uranium and thorium to mushrooms. Appl. Radiat. Isotop. 64, 1020–1026
- Barnett, C.L., Beresford, N.A., Self, P.L., Howard, B.J., Frankland, J.C., Fulker, M.J., Dodd, B.A., Marriott, J.V.R., 1999. Radiocaesium activity concentrations in the fruit-bodies of macrofungi in Great Britain and an assessment of dietary intake habits. Sci. Tot. Environ. 231, 67-83.
- Beresford, N.A., Voigt, G., Wright, S.M., Howard, B.J., Barnett, C.L., Prister, B., Balonov, M., Ratnikov, A., Travnikova, I., Gillett, A.G., Mehli, H., Skuterud, L., Lepicard, S., Semiochkina, N., Perepeliantnikova, L., Goncharova, N., Arkhipov, A.N., 2001. Self-help countermeasure strategies for populations living within contaminated areas of Belarus, Russia and the Ukraine. J. Environ. Radioacitiv. 56, 215-239.
- Beresford, N.A., Barnett, C.L., Jones, D.G., Wood, M.D., Appleton, J.D., Breward, N., Copplestone, D., 2008. Background exposure rates of terrestrial wildlife in England and Wales. J. Environ. Radioactiv. 99, 1430–1439
- BRIG, 2007. Report on the Species and Habitat Review Report by the Biodiversity. Reporting and Information Group (BRIG) to the UK Standing Committee June 2007. (http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/pdf/UKBAP_Species-HabitatsReview-2007.pdf). Last accessed 01/04/2016.

- Brown, J.E., Alfonso, B., Avila, R., Beresford, N.A., Copplestone, D., Pröhl, G., Ulanovsky A. 2008. The ERICA Tool. J. Environ. Radioactiv. 99, 1371-1383.
- Brown, J.E., Alfonso, B., Avila, R., Beresford, N.A., Copplestone, D., Hosseini, A. 2016. A new version of the ERICA tool to facilitate impact assessments of radioactivity on wild plants and animals J. Environ. Radioactiv. 153, 141-148.
- Copplestone, D., Wood, M.D., Bielby, S., Jones, S.R., Vives, J., Beresford, N.A., 2003. Habitat regulations for Stage 3 assessments: radioactive substances authorisations. October 2003. Environment Agency R & D Technical Report P3-101/SP1a. <u>http://bit.ly/2aDFQWD</u>
- Dupré de Boulois, H., Joner, E.J., Leyval, C., Jakobsen, I., Chen, B.D., Roos, P., Thiry, Y., Rufyikiri, G., Delvaux, B., Declerck, S., 2008. Impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on uranium accumulation by plants. J. Environ. Radioactiv. 99, 775-784
- Ekblad, A., Wallander, H., Godbold, D.L., Cruz, C., Johnson, D., Baldrian, P., Björk, R.G., Epron, D., Kieliszewska-Rokicka, B., Kjøller, R., Kraigher, H., Matzner, E., Neumann, J., Plassard, C., 2013. The production and turnover of extramatrical mycelium of ectomycorrhizal fungi in forest soils: role in carbon cycling. Plant Soil 366,1–27
- Fulker, M.J., Jackson, D., Leonard, D.R.P., McKay, K., John, C., 1998. Dose due to man-made radionuclides in terrestrial wild foods near Sellafield. J. Radiol. Prot. 18(1), 3–13
- 19. Gooday, G.W., 1995. The dynamics of hyphal growth. Mycol. Res. 99(4), 385-394.
- 20. Guillén, J., Baeza, A., Ontalba, M.A., Míguez, M.P., 2009a. ²¹⁰Pb and stable lead content in fungi: its transfer from soil. Sci. Total Environ. 407, 4320-4326.
- 21. Guillén, J., Baeza, A., García, E., 2009b. ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb in mushrooms and their contribution to the internal dose in Spain. Presentation at the International Topical Conference on Po and radioactive Pb isotopes. Sevilla, Spain.
- 22. Guillén, J., Baeza A., 2014. Radioactivity in mushrooms: a health hazard? Food Chem. 154, 14-25.
- Heinrich, G., 1993. Distribution of radiocaesium in different parts of mushrooms. J. Environ. Radioact. 18, 229–45

- Howard, B.J., Beresford, N.A., Andersson, P., Brown, J.E., Copplestone, D., Beaugelin-Seiller, K., Garnier-Laplace, J., Howe, P.D., Oughton, D., Whitehouse, P., 2010. Protection of the environment from ionising radiation in a regulatory context—an overview of the PROTECT coordinated action project J. Radiol. Prot. 30, 195–214
- 25. IAEA, 2014. Radiation Protection and Safety on Radiation Sources: International Basic Safety Standards. IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 3. International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna.
- ICRP, 2007. The 2007 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. ICRP Publication 103. Ann. ICRP 37 (2-4).
- ICRP. Environmental Protection the Concept and Use of Reference Animals and Plants. ICRP Publication 108, 2008. Ann. ICRP 38 (4-6).
- Lux, D., Kammerer, L., Riihm, W., Wirth, E., 1995. Cycling of Pu, Sr, Cs, and other long living radionuclides in forest ecosystems of the 30-km zone around Chernobyl. Sci. Total Environ. 173/174, 375-384
- McNamara, N.P., Black, H.I. J., Beresford, N.A., Parekh, N.R., 2003. Effects of acute gamma irradiation on chemical, physical and biological properties of soils – A Review. Applied Soil Ecology, 24, 117-132.
- 30. Mietelski, J.W., Jasińska, M., Kubica, B., Kozak, K., Macharski, P., 1994. Radioactive contamination of Polish mushrooms. Sci Total Environ. 157, 217-226.
- 31. Olsen, R.A., Joner, E., Bakken, L.R., 1990. Soil fungi and the fate of radiocaesium in the soil ecosystem – a discussion of possible mechanisms involved in the radiocaesium accumulation in fungi, and the role of fungi as a Cs-sink in the soil. In: Desmet, G., Nassimbeni, P., Belli, M. (Eds) Proc. CEC Workshop Transfer of radionuclides in natural and semi-natural environments, Elsevier Applied Science, London, pp 657-663.
- 32. Taniwaki, M.H., Pitt, J.I., Hocking, A.D., Fleet, G.H., 2006. Comparison of hyphal length, ergosterol, mycelium dry weight, and colony diameter for quatifying growth of fungi from foods. In: Hocking A.D., Pitt J.I., Samson R.A., Thrane U. Advances in Food mycology. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 571, 49 – 67.

- 33. USDoE (United States Department of Energy) 2002 A graded approach for evaluating radiation doses to aquatic and terrestrial biota Voluntary Consensus Technical Standard DOE-STD-1153-2002 (Washington, DC: USDoE)
- 34. Vaarama, K., Solatie, D., Aro, L., 2009. Distribution of ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁰Po concentrations in wild berries and mushrooms in boreal forest ecosystems. Sci. Total Environ. 408, 84-91.
- Vinichuk M.M., Johansson, K.J., 2003. Accumulation of ¹³⁷Cs by fungal mycelium in forest ecosystems of Ukraine. J. Environ. Radioactiv. 64, 27-43
- Vives i Batlle, J., Beaugelin-Seiller, K., Beresford, N.A., Copplestone, D., Horyna, J., Hosseini, A., Johansen, M., Kamboj, S., Keum, D.-K., Kurosawa, N., Newsome, L., Olyslaegers, G., Vandenhove, H., Ryufuku, S., Vives Lynch, S., Wood, M.D., Yu, C., 2011. The estimation of absorbed dose rates for non-human biota: an extended intercomparison. Radiat. Environ. Biophys. 50, 231–251.
- 37. Wichterey, K., Sawallisch, S., 2002. Naturally occurring radionuclides in mushroom from uranium mining regions in Germany. Radioprotection 37, 353-358.
- Wood, M.D., Beresford, N.A., Howard, B.J., Copplestone, D., 2013. Evaluating summarised radionuclide concentration ratio datasets for wildlife. J. Environ. Radioactiv. 126, 314-325
- 39. Yoshida, S., Muramatsu, Y., 1994. Radiocesium concentrations in mushrooms collected in Japan. J. Environ. Radioactiv. 22, 141-154.

	Model based on							
	Agaricus bisporus (low gill %)			Macrolepiota procera (high gill %)		Single ellipsoid	Mycelium	
	Сар	Gills	Stem	Сар	Gills	Stem		
Mass (kg)	0.031	0.003	0.009	0.094	0.039	0.020	0.043	0.00297
% Mass	72	7	22	61	26	13	100	100
Height (m)	0.033	0.002	0.026	0.029	0.011	0.152	0.061	100^{*}
Diameter (m)	0.067	0.067	0.026	0.175	0.175	0.013	0.067	1.28.10-5
Location	On soil 100%						In soil 100%	

Table 1. Mean values of mass and dimensions of different species of fungi, which were used to develop fungi and mycelium geometries in ERICA Tool. Data used for fungi dimensions were based on mushrooms bought at the local market (*A. bisporus*) or field collected (*M. procera*). Masses and dimensions are as entered into the ERICA Tool. *Length in m for mycelium (maximal length allowed in the ERICA Tool).

Radionuclide	Mean ± S.D. (Bq/kg d.m.)	Range (Bq/kg d.m.)	Distribution
²⁴¹ Am	0.067 ± 0.009	0.058 - 0.076	Lognormal
¹³⁷ Cs	21 ± 23	0.6 - 160	Lognormal
²³⁹⁺²⁴⁰ Pu	0.39 ± 0.27	0.055 - 1.04	Lognormal
⁹⁰ Sr	9.2 ± 9.7	0.6 - 48	Lognormal
⁴⁰ K	650 ± 300	48 - 1600	Normal
²²⁶ Ra (²¹⁰ Pb, ²¹⁰ Po)	46 ± 26	13 - 140	Lognormal
²³² Th (²²⁸ Ra, ²²⁸ Th)	49 ± 33	7 – 200	Lognormal
²³⁸ U (²³⁴ Th, ²³⁴ U, ²³⁰ Th)	77 ± 40	16 – 230	Lognormal
²³⁵ U	3.5 ± 1.8	0.7 – 200	Lognormal

Table 2. Mean value, standard deviation (S.D.), and range of anthropogenic and naturally occurring radionuclide activity concentrations in Mediterranean ecosystem soil for in the (Baeza et al., 1992, 1993, 2006a). Radionuclides in brackets are considered to be in secular equilibrium with their parent.

Radionuclide	Activity concentration (Bq/kg f.m.)				CR	Assumed	
	N	Mean ± S.D.	Range	N	Mean ± S.D.	Range	Distribution
¹³⁷ Cs	63	4.3 ± 15	0.034 - 110	24	0.84 ± 2.0	0.0037 - 9.3	Lognormal
⁹⁰ Sr	16	0.33 ± 0.29	0.005 - 0.85	11	0.24 ± 0.17	0.059 - 0.49	Lognormal
²³⁹⁺²⁴⁰ Pu	17	0.014 ± 0.022	$5.9 \cdot 10^{-5} - 0.090$	6	0.075 ± 0.054	0.020 - 0.14	Lognormal
²⁴¹ Am	6	0.0059 ± 0.0059	0.0012 - 0.017	6	0.088 ± 0.088	0.018 - 0.26	Lognormal
⁴⁰ K	69	150 ± 89	36 - 580	24	0.14 ± 0.07	0.058 - 0.29	Normal
²²⁶ Ra	28	3.4 ± 3.5	0.32 - 15	12	0.063 ± 0.078	0.0073 - 0.28	Normal
²¹⁰ Pb	52	5.0 ± 11	0.12 - 63	10	0.021 ± 0.016	0.0094 - 0.055	Lognormal
²¹⁰ Po	10	12 ± 21	1.2 - 70				Lognormal
²³⁴ U	14	0.33 ± 0.27	0.009 - 0.85	8	0.039 ± 0.032	0.011 - 0.093	Lognormal
²³⁵ U	13	0.014 ± 0.012	$4.3 \cdot 10^{-3} - 0.040$				Lognormal
²³⁸ U	14	0.34 ± 0.28	0.0074 - 0.93	8	0.037 ± 0.029	0.011 - 0.085	Lognormal
²²⁸ Th	14	0.65 ± 0.55	0.0024 - 1.73	8	0.029 ± 0.023	0.0059 - 0.064	Lognormal
²³⁰ Th	14	0.36 ± 0.30	0.0035 - 0.88	8	0.018 ± 0.012	0.0060 - 0.038	Lognormal
²³² Th	14	0.53 ± 0.45	0.0034 - 1.4	8	0.039 ± 0.037	0.0061 - 0.10	Lognormal
⁷ Be	13	1.8 ± 4.2	0.15 – 1-6				Normal

Table 3. Mean values, standard deviations, and range of anthropogenic and naturally occurring radionuclide activity concentrations in fungal fruit bodies and CR values reported for Spain. The data from papers reporting data in Bq/kg d.m. (Baeza et al., 2004a, 2004b, 2006a; Guillén et al., 2009a, 2009b) were converted to Bq/kg f.m. using the measured fresh/dry ratio for each sample. The probability distributions used in the ERICA Tool for each radionuclide are also given.

Radionuclide	Percentage of total activity in fungal compartments					
interior	% Cap	% Gills	% Stem			
¹³⁴ Cs	73.5 ± 2.4	22.3 ± 1.0	4.1 ± 0.4			
⁸⁵ Sr	26 ± 13	27 ± 14	46 ± 19			
²³⁹ Pu	52 ± 3	22.7 ± 1.6	25.1 ± 1.6			
K	62.8 ± 1.5	16.6 ± 0.8	20.6 ± 0.8			
Ca	60.1 ± 1.4	4.42 ± 0.24	35.5 ± 1.0			
U	57 ± 6	22 ± 3	22 ± 3			
Th	64 ± 5	21.2 ± 1.8	15.1 ± 1.4			
²²⁶ Ra	24 ± 6	44 ± 8	32 ± 6			
²¹⁰ Pb	38 ± 6	14 ± 3	48 ± 3			
²¹⁰ Po	44 ± 6	16 ± 3	40 ± 3			

Table 4. Percentage of the total activity in each part of the fungi (cap, gills, and stem) modelled. Data from Baeza et al. (2006b) and Vaarama et al. (2009).

Exposure	A. bisporus	M. procera	Single ellipsoid	Mycelium
External	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
	(0.020 - 0.12)	(0.020 - 0.12)	(0.020 – 0.12)	(0.020 – 0.12)
Internal	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.2
	(0.21 - 2.8)	(0.21 - 2.8)	(0.26 – 3.3)	(0.20 – 3.3)
Total	1.2	1.21	1.6	1.3
	(0.26 - 2.9)	(0.26 - 2.9)	(0.31 – 3.4)	(0.25 - 3.3)

Table 5. Mean value and range $(5^{th} - 95^{th} \text{ percentile})$, expressed in μ Gy/h, of external, internal and total weighted dose rates estimated to the different fungi geometries: *A*. *bisporus*, in which the radionuclide activity content in gills is usually higher than in cap; *M. procera*, in which the radionuclide activity content in gills and cap is similar; model based on a single ellipsoid; and mycelium.

Post-accide	ent scenario	Planned rele	ease scenario	Existing exposure scenario	
¹³⁴ Cs	2200	²⁴¹ Am	0.007	²³⁸ U	25.9
¹³⁷ Cs	45000	¹³⁴ Cs	0.22	²²⁶ Ra	51.2
⁹⁰ Sr	31	¹³⁷ Cs	9.9	²¹⁰ Pb	28.9
²³⁸ Pu	4.4	²³⁹ Pu	0.01	²¹⁰ Po	64
²³⁹ Pu	8.3				

 Table 6. Activity concentrations in fungi fruit bodies used for dose rate estimations

 (Bq/kg f.m.) of Post-accident, Planned and Existing exposure scenarios (data from:

 Fulker et al., 1998; Lux et al. 1995; Wichterey et al., 2002).

	Dose rate (µGy/h)					
Scenario	External	Internal	Total			
	(fruit body/mycelium)	(fruit body/mycelium)	(fruit body/mycelium)			
Post-accident	37 / 97	7.7 / 330	45 / 430			
Planned exposure	1.4.10-3/3.7.10-3	2.1.10-3/5.4.10-2	3.5.10-3/5.7.10-2			
Existing exposure	1.3 / 1.3	9.7 / 9.7	11 / 11			

 Table 7. Estimated values of external, internal and total weighted dose rates for fungal fruit bodiesand mycelium in the selected scenarios.



Fig. 1 Conceptual fungi geometry.



a) External dose rate: 0.06 $(0.020 - 0.12) \mu Gy/h$

Fig. 2. Contributors of a) external and b) internal dose rate for the model *M. procera*. Minor contributors to dose are not shown.



Fig. 3. Contributors to the total dose rate in fungi in a) Post-accident, b) Planned release, and c) Existing exposure scenarios.