1	The neural mechanisms able to predict future emotion regulation decisions
2	
3	NeuroForum review of Doré, Weber, and Ochsner (2017) published March 8th 2017
4	Doré, B. P., Weber, L., and Ochsner, K. N. (2017). Neural predictors of decisions to cognitively
5	control emotion. The Journal of Neuroscience, 37(10), 2580-2588.
6	
7	Author:
8	Robert C. A. Bendall*, Directorate of Psychology & Public Health, School of Health Sciences,
9	University of Salford, Salford, M5 4WT, r.c.a.bendall@salford.ac.uk
10	* Corresponding author
11	The author declares no competing financial interests
12	
13	Acknowledgements
14	I thank Dr Catherine Thompson and Dr Lynne Marrow for support and insightful discussion.
15	
16	Key words: emotion regulation, psychopathology, prefrontal cortex, amygdala
17	
18	Word count: 2,280

19 Running header: Neural predictors of emotion regulation

20 Abstract

Emotion regulation is crucial in maintaining healthy psychological wellbeing, and its dysregulation is often linked to a range of neuropsychiatric disorders including depression. The neurobiological underpinnings of cognitive reappraisal, an emotion regulation strategy, have been shown to include the amygdala and regions of the prefrontal cortex. A novel study by Doré, Weber, and Ochsner (2017) has demonstrated that neural activity in these regions during uninstructed visualization of affective stimuli can successfully predict which individuals are more likely to subsequently employ emotion regulation, and under what circumstances.

28

The ability to respond daily to life's events is crucial to psychological wellbeing, resilience, and physical health. Consequently, emotion regulation, broadly defined as the initiation of a conscious or non-conscious effort to start, stop, or modulate an emotion, has a crucial role in protecting an individual from a range of psychopathologies including anxiety, depression, eating disorders and substance-related disorders (Aldao, Nolen-Hoeksema, & Schweizer, 2010). Therefore, understanding the neurobiological mechanisms supporting emotion regulation will help to develop interventions to improve emotion dysregulation in individuals with a range of disorders.

One such emotion regulation strategy is cognitive reappraisal, where individuals attempt to 36 explicitly reinterpret the meaning of an emotional stimulus or event with the goal of altering the 37 38 nature, magnitude, or duration of the emotional response (Ochsner, Silvers, & Buhle, 2012). The neuroscientific study of cognitive reappraisal has made significant progress in identifying the neural 39 40 circuits involved in emotion regulation during the last decade (Buhle et al., 2014; Ochsner et al., 2012). Neuroimaging studies have revealed that emotion regulation recruits a network of regions 41 42 involved in top-down cognitive control. These include areas of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) including the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC), dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC), ventromedial 43 prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (vlPFC), which allow individuals to 44 apply top-down cognitive control mechanisms. These PFC regions have been shown to regulate (both 45

46

up- and down-regulate) activity in the amygdala, a subcortical limbic system structure involved in detecting emotional reactivity via direct and indirect structural connections (Buhle et al., 2014). 47

48 Research has recently begun to focus on individual differences in emotion regulation rather than group averages. Within the field of emotion regulation individual variation is not considered 49 statistical noise. Instead, individual differences in the ability to regulate emotion may determine 50 51 vulnerability and resilience in the face of affective stressors. Consequently, understanding the neural 52 mechanisms underpinning individual differences in successful emotion regulation may aid clinical assessment of interventions and provide more effective translational therapies. 53

54 Facial electromyography, a technique that measures muscle activity by recording electrical 55 impulses when muscle fibres contract, can be employed to index an objective measure of trait-like 56 individual differences in emotion regulation and is able to predict amygdala-PFC functional 57 connectivity (Lee, Heller, van Reekum, Nelson, & Davidson, 2012). Moreover, using diffusion tensor 58 imaging, it has been shown that increased use of emotion regulation is predictive of stronger 59 amygdala-PFC microstructure fibre tract pathways, whilst trait anxiety is negatively correlated with 60 amygdala-PFC connectivity (Eden et al., 2015). These studies adopting individual difference 61 approaches demonstrate that PFC regions and the amygdala are implicated in emotion regulation at 62 both the anatomical and functional level in healthy individuals.

63 Whilst progress has been made towards identifying brain circuits involved during emotion regulation, it remains unknown whether neural mechanisms can be used to predict emotion regulation 64 65 decisions. Previous research investigating the neural circuitry underpinning emotion regulation has 66 not given participants the choice as to whether or not to regulate their emotion, and instead employed 67 experimental designs where individuals are instructed to adopt emotion regulation strategies and neural activation is recorded. Consequently, the neural mechanisms predicting the decision to regulate 68 emotional responses were until recently unknown. This has important implications as the decision to 69 purposefully regulate one's negative emotion has been shown to be a protective factor for well-being 70 (Sheppes et al., 2014). A recent study by Doré et al. (2017) has provided some novel insights to this 71

issue. Here the authors attempted to a) reveal the neural processes supporting individual decisions to
regulate emotional responses, and b) determine whether brain activity can be used to predict emotion
regulation decisions.

75 Participants initially underwent training in the emotion regulation strategy cognitive reappraisal to ensure they understood what a choice to regulate emotion would involve. This included 76 77 experimenter-guided training in positive reappraisal (i.e. focussing on the potential positive aspects of 78 a negative stimulus or situation) and minimizing reappraisal (i.e. focussing on potential neutral 79 aspects of a negative stimulus or situation). Participants then completed an emotion regulation task where they were able to practice using these strategies. Subsequently, the researchers determined 80 brain regions known to be involved in emotion regulation on an a priori basis (vIPFC, dIPFC, dmPFC, 81 82 and amygdala), and used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to record activity from these 83 areas during a negative image viewing task. Participants were required to view a series of negative 84 images and rate how positive and how negative the images made them feel using a 5-point scale ('How positive do you feel' and 'How negative do you feel'). Importantly, participants were free to 85 86 think about the images in any manner and were not instructed to regulate their emotional response to 87 the images. The second part of the study, completed outside the fMRI scanner, involved an emotion regulation choice task in which participants were presented with negative images (some previously 88 89 seen in the initial image viewing task and some novel images) and asked to decide whether to regulate 90 their emotion or simply to view the images passively. Participants recorded their emotion regulation decision by selecting either 'look naturally' or 'reappraise' in response to each image. 91

This paradigm allowed Doré and colleagues to demonstrate that specific neural mechanisms, engaged during uninstructed visualization of emotional stimuli, are able to successfully predict subsequent behavioural choices concerned with the regulation of emotional response. At the individual level, using a model-based analysis, more frequent decisions to employ cognitive reappraisal were predicted by greater activity in the vIPFC, dIPFC, dmPFC, and amygdala. These results were similar for both old and new images in the emotion regulation choice task. Further, these relationships were still evident after controlling for self-report ratings of positive and negative affect,

99 demonstrating that increased activation of these neural circuits during the viewing of negative images predicted the decision to regulate emotion independently of participant's subjective emotional 100 experience whilst viewing negative images. Moreover, Doré and colleagues also investigated whether 101 brain activity could predict the specific events for which the decision to regulate emotion is most 102 103 likely. This was operationalized by conducting analysis at the level of the stimulus and by using a whole brain activity pattern that had previously been shown to be associated with emotion reappraisal 104 (Buhle et al., 2014). At the stimulus level, trial-to-trial differences in whole brain pattern activity 105 106 predicted the decision to regulate emotion to a specific stimulus. A model including this distributed brain pattern, in addition to PFC and amygdala regions, performed better at predicting the decision to 107 regulate emotion to a given stimulus than a model only including self-report data and affective ratings 108 109 of stimuli. This result demonstrates that stimulus-level variability in brain pattern expression can be 110 used to predict which stimuli individuals are more likely to employ cognitive reappraisal.

Doré et al. (2017) revealed important findings moving towards a more individualized science 111 of emotion regulation (Doré, Silvers, & Ochsner, 2016) and translational neuroscience approach -112 113 going beyond experimentally directed emotion regulation and instead being able to predict who will freely choose to regulate their emotion and under what circumstances. Using individual variability in 114 neural responses to negative stimuli, it was demonstrated that decisions to deploy cognitive control of 115 116 emotion can be predicted by neural processes during earlier emotional experience. However, due to 117 the nature of the correlational design, it is not possible to make any inferences about causality in the relationship between neural activation during affective experience and subsequent decisions to 118 regulate emotion. Future experimental work should build upon these findings using neurostimulation 119 techniques such as repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) and/or transcranial direct 120 121 current stimulation, which allow for experimental manipulation of specific neural circuits. For instance, rTMS has recently been used to causally infer that inhibitory disruption of the right dlPFC 122 influences early affective processing as evidenced by altered neurophysiological response and 123 impaired behavioural performance (Zwanzger et al., 2014). 124

125 The investigation of negative emotion regulation and/or dysregulation is of central importance to understanding the neural mechanisms involved during emotion regulation in healthy individuals 126 and clinical populations. However, within the emotion science literature it has been documented that a 127 bias exists whereby positive conditions (e.g. positive mood, positive experimental stimuli, or positive 128 emotion regulation conditions) are often not included, and this limits the conclusions that can be made 129 about affective processing. There are instances when individuals need to regulate positive emotional 130 experiences, and currently the neural processes involved during such responses are less well 131 132 understood. Thus, it is recommended that future research includes both positive and negative experimental conditions. 133

In Major Depressive Disorder increases in dlPFC activity when regulating emotion has been 134 shown to predict reductions in symptom severity in depressive patients (Heller et al., 2013). However, 135 it is unknown whether the neural circuits underpinning the decisions to regulate emotion are able to 136 predict behaviour and symptom severity reduction in clinical populations. Doré et al. (2017) indeed 137 suggest that future work could investigate whether clinical disorders show disruption in their ability to 138 139 deploy emotion regulation, and importantly research needs to focus on instances of uninstructed emotion regulation (i.e. investigations of the decision whether to regulate emotion rather than 140 experimental designs where individuals are explicitly told to regulate their emotion). Crucially, 141 however, research adopting a more individualized approach to the investigation of the decision to 142 143 regulate emotion needs to move beyond the laboratory adopting study designs better able to capture real-world behaviour. Using fMRI it has been demonstrated that dlPFC activity in response to reward 144 responses recorded in the laboratory are able to predict behavioural reactivity of real-world positive 145 emotion. This relationship was established by correlating dIPFC activity with behavioural response 146 147 following a reward given in natural environments (Heller et al., 2015). Future translational neuroimaging investigations of emotion regulation decisions should aim to adopt such techniques. 148 149 Consequently this will help to understand the neural basis of such decision making in healthy individuals and patient populations in real-world settings, and aid in the development and evaluation 150 151 of therapeutic interventions.

Although not discussed in detail by Doré et al. (2017), their finding also showed that bilateral 152 precuneus activity were positively correlated with emotion regulation decisions. The precuneus, part 153 154 of the posteromedial portion of the parietal lobe, has been shown to be involved in broad aspects of cognition including production/alteration of subjective experience, integration of internal and external 155 information, visual mental imagery, episodic memory recall, and self-referential processing (for a 156 157 review see Cavanna & Trimble, 2006). Further, recent evidence has suggested that this cortical area forms part of the neural circuit activated during another emotion regulation strategy – attentional 158 deployment – where attention is directed with the goal of changing one's emotional response (Ferri, 159 Schmidt, Hajcak, & Canli, 2016). Ferri and colleagues demonstrated that task-dependent coupling 160 between the precuneus and amygdala is increased during visual attentional deployment, suggesting 161 that the precuneus is involved in the regulation of amygdala reactivity to emotional stimuli when 162 163 emotion regulation involves shifts in attention. Moreover, the strength of coupling between the precuneus and amygdala predicted attentional deployment success, and individual differences in trait 164 reappraisal were positively correlated with amygdala-precuneus connectivity. Considering these 165 observations in combination with those of Doré et al. (2017) suggests that the precuneus may be part 166 167 of a network of neural circuits involved in multiple emotional regulation strategies. Indeed, it has been suggested that individuals may simultaneously use attentional deployment and cognitive reappraisal 168 169 during emotion regulation (see Ferri et al., 2016). However, as the precuneus was not identified as a 170 brain region of interest on an a priori basis by Doré and colleagues (in relation to its involvement in 171 cognitive reappraisal), caution is needed when interpreting this observation. Further research is needed to reveal the precise role of the precuneus in emotion regulation (see below). 172

Doré et al. (2017) have provided evidence to suggest that neural activity during affective experience can predict the individuals who are more likely to subsequently deploy cognitive control of emotion, and for what stimuli emotion regulation is most likely. This novel methodology should help to direct emotion regulation research towards a more individualized translational neuroscience approach. A number of avenues for future research have been identified. Firstly, researchers need to include positive emotion conditions within their experimental designs to test whether the neural

mechanisms evident during negative emotion regulation are similar to those adopted during positive 179 180 emotion regulation. Additionally, the use of neurostimulation techniques will allow researchers to 181 infer causality between underlying neurobiological mechanisms and emotion regulation. It will also be advantageous for research to adopt study designs that allow the measurement of real-world 182 behaviour in both healthy individuals and clinical populations. This will be crucial for the 183 development and evaluation of translational therapeutic interventions. Further, an individualized 184 approach that acknowledges the role of the individual in emotion regulation will aid development of 185 our understanding of individual difference traits that can be targeted for therapeutic intervention. 186 Lastly, recent evidence has suggested that the precuneus may be part of the neural circuit involved in 187 emotion regulation, and future work should try to reveal the exact role of this brain region. For 188 example, it is not known whether the primary involvement of the precuneus during emotion regulation 189 190 is related to the alteration of subjective experience, integration of internal and external information. self-referential processing, attentional deployment, or a combination of these processes. 191

In summary, using an elegantly designed study adopting an individualized translational neuroscience approach, Doré et al. (2017) revealed that increased neural activation in the PFC and amygdala during uninstructed affective experience can successfully predict individuals who are more likely to regulate their emotional responses. Further, trial-to-trial variability of a brain activity pattern previously shown to be related to emotion regulation was able to predict emotion regulation at the stimulus level. This novel approach to the study of emotion regulation will be especially valuable when applied to clinical populations and the development of translational therapeutic interventions.

199

200 **References**

201	Aldao, A., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Schweizer, S. (2010). Emotion-regulation strategies across
202	psychopathology: A meta-analytic review. Clinical Psychology Review, 30(2), 217-237.

203 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2009.11.004

8

- 204 Buhle, J. T., Silvers, J. A., Wager, T. D., Lopez, R., Onyemekwu, C., Kober, H., ... Ochsner, K. N.
- 205 (2014). Cognitive Reappraisal of Emotion: A Meta-Analysis of Human Neuroimaging Studies.

206 *Cerebral Cortex*, 24(11), 2981–2990. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bht154

- 207 Cavanna, A. E., & Trimble, M. R. (2006). The precuneus: a review of its functional anatomy and
 208 behavioural correlates. *Brain*, *129*(3), 564–583. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awl004
- 209 Doré, B. P., Silvers, J. A., & Ochsner, K. N. (2016). Toward a Personalized Science of Emotion

210 Regulation. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, *10*(4), 171–187.

211 https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12240

- 212 Doré, B. P., Weber, J., & Ochsner, K. N. (2017). Neural predictors of decisions to cognitively control
- emotion. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 2526–16. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2526 16.2016
- Eden, A. S., Schreiber, J., Anwander, A., Keuper, K., Laeger, I., Zwanzger, P., ... Dobel, C. (2015).
- 216 Emotion Regulation and Trait Anxiety Are Predicted by the Microstructure of Fibers between
- Amygdala and Prefrontal Cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *35*(15), 6020–6027.
- 218 https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3659-14.2015
- 219 Ferri, J., Schmidt, J., Hajcak, G., & Canli, T. (2016). Emotion regulation and amygdala-precuneus
- 220 connectivity: Focusing on attentional deployment. *Cognitive, Affective & Behavioral*

221 *Neuroscience*, *16*(6), 991–1002. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-016-0447-y

- Heller, A. S., Fox, A. S., Wing, E. K., McQuisition, K. M., Vack, N. J., & Davidson, R. J. (2015). The
- 223 Neurodynamics of Affect in the Laboratory Predicts Persistence of Real-World Emotional
- Responses. Journal of Neuroscience, 35(29), 10503–10509.
- 225 https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0569-15.2015
- Heller, A. S., Johnstone, T., Peterson, M. J., Kolden, G. G., Kalin, N. H., & Davidson, R. J. (2013).
- 227 Increased prefrontal cortex activity during negative emotion regulation as a predictor of
- depression symptom severity trajectory over 6 months. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 70(11), 1181–9.

```
Neural predictors of emotion regulation
```

- https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.2430
- 230 Lee, H., Heller, A. S., van Reekum, C. M., Nelson, B., & Davidson, R. J. (2012). Amygdala-
- prefrontal coupling underlies individual differences in emotion regulation. *NeuroImage*, 62(3),
- 232 1575–1581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.05.044
- 233 Ochsner, K. N., Silvers, J. A., & Buhle, J. T. (2012). Functional imaging studies of emotion
- regulation: a synthetic review and evolving model of the cognitive control of emotion. *Annals of*
- the New York Academy of Sciences, 1251, E1-24. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-
- **236** 6632.2012.06751.x
- 237 Sheppes, G., Scheibe, S., Suri, G., Radu, P., Blechert, J., & Gross, J. J. (2014). Emotion regulation
- 238 choice: A conceptual framework and supporting evidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology:*
- 239 *General*, 143(1), 163–181. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030831
- 240 Zwanzger, P., Steinberg, C., Rehbein, M. A., Bröckelmann, A.-K., Dobel, C., Zavorotnyy, M., ...
- 241 Junghöfer, M. (2014). Inhibitory repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) of the
- dorsolateral prefrontal cortex modulates early affective processing. *NeuroImage*, *101*, 193–203.
- 243 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.07.003

244