

Table of Content

List of Figures.....	VI
List of Tables.....	VIII
Acknowledgements.....	IX
Declaration.....	X
Abbreviations.....	XI
Abstract.....	XV

Chapter 1: Definitions of Assets Maintenance Management and Facilities Maintenance Management.....1

1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Background of the Research.....	5
1.3 Justification of the Research.....	5
1.4 Scope of the Research.....	6
1.5 Aim and Objectives of the Research.....	6
1.6 Research Contributions to knowledge.....	7
1.7 Research Methods Used.....	8
1.8 Synopsis of the Thesis.....	9
1.9 Summary.....	12

Chapter 2: Facilities Maintenance Management Principles and Practice14

2.1 Overview of Facilities Maintenance Management Strategies.....	14
2.2 Review of Facilities Maintenance Management Strategies.....	23
2.2.1 Procurement Strategies.....	23
2.3 Maintenance Method.....	26
2.3.1 Maintenance Classifications.....	29
2.3.2 Maintenance Policy.....	31

2.3.3 Contributions of other Departments to the success of Maintenance Department.....	35
2.3.4 Basic Principles of Planned Preventive Maintenance Systems.....	39
2.3.5 Maintenance Management.....	41
2.3.6 Building Adaptation.....	44
2.4 Maintenance Budget.....	46
2.5 Project Quality Control.....	47
2.6 Post Occupancy Evaluations.....	54
2.7 Sustainable Issues.....	54
2.7.1 Environmental Policy and Sustainability.....	59
2.7.2 Environmental Sustainability	59
2.7.3 Regeneration.....	63
2.8 Influence of Culture on Project Execution.....	63
2.9 Facilities Maintenance Management.....	65
2.10 Summary.....	68

Chapter 3: Research Methodology.....	69
3.1 Introduction.....	69
3.2 Research Philosophy.....	70
3.3 Research Methods.....	73
3.4 Research Approach.....	76
3.5 Research Strategies.....	78
3.5.1 Research Philosophy Objectives.....	78
3.5.2 How literature review contributes to Framework development.....	81
3.5.3 How Semi-structured interviews contribute to Framework development	82
3.5.4 How Questionnaire contributes to Framework development.....	82
3.5.5 How Evaluation interviews contribute to Framework development.....	82
3.5.6 How the whole process lead to development of Framework.....	82

3.5.7 Mixed Methods.....	83
3.6 Methodology and Data Collection Strategy.....	84
3.7 Validity.....	86
3.8 Research Methods and Design.....	88
3.8.1 Defining Accurate Sample for the Study.....	90
3.8.2 Sample Size.....	91
3.8.3 Sample Frame.....	92
3.8.4 Sample Elements	92
3.8.5 Data Collection Methods.....	92
3.9 Summary.....	92

Chapter 5: Interviews and Analysis.....	94
4.1 Sources of Data.....	94
4.2 Reliability and Validity.....	95
4.2.1 Data Collection and Presentation (Semi-Structured Interviews-Qualitative).....	95
4.2.2 Interviews Conducted for Directors of works and services departments.....	96
4.3 Contents Analysis of the semi-structured interviews (Qualitative).....	102
4.3.1 Introduction.....	102
4.3.2 Justification for the use of Thematic Contents Analysis.....	103
4.3.3 Coding Method.....	103
4.3.4 Thematic Coding.....	108
4.4 Procurement Strategies.....	108
4.5 Maintenance Control Toolkits.....	109
4.6 Annual Maintenance Budget.....	110

4.7 Post Occupancy Evaluations.....	111
4.8 Quality Control of Projects and Improvements.....	112
4.9 Sustainability Programmes on Facilities.....	112
4.10 Development of Framework.....	114
4.10.1 Thematic Framework.....	115
4.10.2 Contributions of the semi-structured Interviews to Framework development.....	115
4.11 Facilities Maintenance Management Stratégies-Explanations.....	116
4.12 Summary.....	120

Chapter 5 : Questionnaire Survey for Technical Officers.....121

5.1 Introduction.....	121
5.2 Multiple Régressions (Analyses of Questionnaire).....	122
5.2.1 Présentation of the Questionnaire Survey.....	123
5.2.2 Interpretation.....	123
5.3 Summary.....	133

Chapter 6: How Literature Review contributes to Development of Framework

.....134

7.1 Contributions of Strategies from Literature Review to the Framework Development.....	134
6.2 Maintenance Method.....	135
6.3 Maintenance Budget.....	136
6.4 Post occupancy Evaluations.....	137
6.5 Project Quality control.....	139

6.6 Sustainable programme.....	141
6.7 Cultural Influence.....	142
6.8 Summary.....	143

Chapter 7 : How Semi-Structure Interviews and Questionnaire Survey contribute to Framework Development.....144

7.1 Contributions of Semi-structured Interviews to Framework Development.....	144
7.2 Facilities Maintenance Management Strategies-Explained.....	144
7.3 How Questionnaire Survey contribute to the Framework Development.....	146
7.4 Summary.....	148

Chapter 8: Evaluation of the Framework and Conclusion.....149

8.1 Evaluation of Framework.....	149
8.2 Evaluation Interviews for Directors of works and services Department.....	149
8.3 Evaluated Framework.....	150
8.4 Objective One-Literature Review.....	152
8.5 Objective Two-Evaluation of HEIs works and services departments.....	152
8.6 Objective Three-Factors militating against effective facilities maintenance management of HEIs in Nigeria.....	152
8.7 Objective Four-Development of Strategic Framework.....	155
8.8 Objective Five-Evaluation of Framework.....	155
8.9 Research Validity-External and Internal Threats	155
8.9.1 Quantitative Validity.....	155
8.9.2 Qualitative Validity.....	157

8.9.3 Theoretical Validity.....	160
8.9.4 Generalability.....	160
8. 10 Recommendations.....	161
8.11 Limitations and Future Research	162
Appendices.....	164
Appendix 1: Letter of Consent.....	164
Appendix 2: Research Participant Consent form.....	165
Appendix 3: Project Information Sheet.....	166
Appendix 4: Questions Semi-Structured Interviews.....	167
Appendix 5: Questionnaire-Survey Technical Officers.....	169
Appendix 6: Evaluation Interviews Technical Officers.....	171
Appendix 7: Semi-Structured Interviews Respondents.....	172
Appendix 8: Notes for each Interview.....	173
References:	180

List of Figures

Chapter 1:

Figure 1.1 Defective Buildings.....	5
Figure 1.2 Research Methods.....	9

Chapter 2:

Figure 2.1 Maintenance Control Toolkits.....	27
Figure 2.2 Facilities Performance Based Model.....	66
Figure 2.3 Research Model for Maintenance Management for HEIs.....	67

Chapter 3:	
Figure 3.1 Research Philosophies.....	71
Figure 3.2 Research Philosophies-Mixed Method.....	76
Figure 3.3 Research Methodology and Research Methods.....	81
Chapter 4:	
Figure 4.1 Relationship Diagrams for Variables.....	114
Chapter 5:	
Figure 5.1 Numbers of Participants.....	124
Figure 5.2 Length of Service of the Respondents.....	125
Figure 5.3 Participants Status in the Institution.....	126
Figure 5.4 Effective Facilities Maintenance Management.....	127
Figure 5.5 Effective Predictors.....	131
Figure 5.6 Adequate supports to Facilities Maintenance Management-Independent Variables.	132
Chapter 6:	
Figure 6.1 Procurement Strategies.....	134
Figure 6.2 Maintenance Method.....	135
Figure 6.3 Maintenance Budget.....	136
Figure 6.4 Post Occupancy Evaluations.....	137
Figure 6.5 Projects Quality Control.....	138
Figure 6.6 Sustainable Programmes.....	140
Chapter 7:	
Figure 7.1 Project Design and Costing.....	145
Figure 7.2 Project Executions.....	146
Figure 7.3 Thematic Frameworks.....	147

Chapter 8:

Figure 8.1 Evaluated Frameworks.....	151
--------------------------------------	-----

List of Tables

Table 4.1 Semi-Structure Interviews for Directors of Works and Services.....	96
Table 4.2 Thematic Coding.....	105
Table 5.1 Number of Participants.....	124
Table 5.2 Length of Service.....	125
Table 5.3 Participants Status.....	126
Table 5.4 Effective Facilities Maintenance Management.....	127
Table 5.5 Part Correlation Coefficients.....	130
Table 5.6 Effective Maintenance Management Predictors.....	131
Table 5.7 Adequate Support to Facilities Maintenance Management-Independent Variable (Predictor).....	132

Acknowledgements

I would like to use this medium to thank all those who have in any way or means contributed to the success of this PhD study.

My profound thanks go to my supervisor, Professor Song Wu for his support. I also extend my gratitude to my former supervisor Professor Chris Fortune who started the research with me.

I am also grateful to my tutor on the programme Professor Vian Ahmed for her immense contributions and encouragement, and later saw me through the research successfully. Also, I thank my benefactors: Architect Tokunbo Fowode, Mr Oluyemi Olumide, Professor O. A. Itiola, Mr Emmanuel Adeleye and Tertiary Education Training Fund for making my dreams come true.

I also appreciate the immense contributions of the following seasoned Academics: Dr Kaushal Keraminge, Professor Jason Underwood, Dr Bingunath Ngirige, Dr Kulatunga Udayunga, Professor Ming Sun, and Mr Andrew Fleming for his personal painstaking support, Professor Peter Barrett, Professor Amaratunga Dilathu, Professor Les Ruddock, and Professor Charles Egbu.

I acknowledge the personal efforts and supports of the entire staff of the Post Graduate school office (Room 719), especially, Mrs Moira Mort, Rachel Lilley and others. My gratitude go to a few of my colleagues like: Dr. Paul Missa, Kofi Adroe, Maggie Hardman, Dr. Julius Akortia, and others.

Finally, my gratitude go to my wife Oluwabunmilade Yetunde, my invaluable daughter-Mariam Adetutu, other children like: Bukunola, Modinat, Mahmud, and Toluwanimi for their tolerance and support. They allowed me to use substantial part of the time I needed to have spent with them to pursue PhD. I dedicate this thesis to them.

Declaration

The researcher declares that, the work presented in this thesis to the best of his knowledge is original and his own work. Also, neither the thesis in its entirety nor any portion of it has been submitted for application for another academic degree or qualification in another University or Institution. Other sources of data or information used in the study have been well acknowledged and referenced.

Abbreviations

ABCD-Australian Building Codes Board

ACS-Automatic Climbing System

ADePT-Analytical Design Planning Technique

BSI-British Standard Institution

CABE-Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment

CBPP-Construction Best Practice Programme

CBM-Condition-Based Maintenance

CDM-Construction Design and Management Regulations

CEA-Cause Effect Analysis

CEM-College of Estate Management

CIOB-Chartered Institute of Building

CM-Condition Monitoring

CMMS-Computerised Maintenance Management Systems

Co2-Carbon

CPA-Critical Path Analysis

CPF-Construction Project Features

CPM-Critical Path Model

DESA-Department of Economic and Social Affairs

dB- Decibel

DEFRA-Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

DOE-World Commission on Environment and Development

EBSCO-Economic Business Source Complete

EMAS-Eco-Management and Audit Scheme

EMS-Environmental Management System

EPA-Energy Performance Assessment

EPBD-Energy Performance of Buildings

EU-European Union

EWSC-Early Warning System Chart

FEMA-Federation of Environmental Agency

FM-Facilities Management

FMEA-Failures Modes and Effects Analysis

FPM-Facilities Performance Management

FTM-Fault Tree Methods

HEIs-Higher Education Institutions

HHSRS-Housing Health and Safety Rating System

HOQ-House of Quality

HSO-Health and Safety Offences

HVAC-Heating, Ventilating and Air-Conditioning

ICT-Information Communication Technology

IDEFO-Integration Definition for Functional Modelling

IEQ-Indoor Environmental Quality

ISDR-International Strategy for Disaster Reduction

ISO-International Standard Organisation

IT-Information Technology

JIT-Just –in-time

KPI-Key Performance Indicator

LCA-Life Cycle Analysis

LCC-Life Cycle Costing

LCCM-Life Cycle Cost Management

L CCP-Life Cycle Cost Planning

MDP-Markov Decision Process

NCCARF-National Climate Change Adaptation Research Facility

OEE-Overall Equipment Effectiveness

OLS-Ordinary Least Square

PAHO-Pan-American Health Organisation

PE-Public Engagement

PERT-Programme Evaluation and Review Technique

PPID-Project Production Information Documents

PPMM-Planned Preventive Maintenance Method

PPM-Planned Preventive Maintenance

PM-Preventive Maintenance

PMT-Project Management Tools

QFD-Quality Function Development

RCM-Reliability – Centred Maintenance

RIBA-Royal Institution of British Architect

RICS-Royal Institution of Chartered Surveying

RMHS-Rocky Mountain High School

SBS-Sick Building Syndrome

SCS-Stock Condition Survey

SMS-Short Messages

TPM-Total Productive Maintenance

UAE-United Arab Emirate

UN-United Nations

UNDESA-United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs

UK-United Kingdom

USA-United States of America

USCB-United States Census Bureau

USGBC-United States Green Building Council

UNISDR-United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction

VM-Value Methodology

WBTC-Works Bureau

WBS-Works Breakdown Structure

WHO-World Health Organisation

A Theoretical Framework to Support Facilities Maintenance Management of Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) Facilities in Nigeria

Abstract

The processes required in maintaining Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) buildings, and to retain their services and facilities to an acceptable standard in Nigeria is being undermined by insufficient maintenance budget and lack of strategic planning.

The aim of the study is to develop a new theoretical strategic framework to support Facilities maintenance management of Higher Education Institutions facilities in Nigeria. The key objectives of the study include: investigating the current theoretical approaches to facilities maintenance management, evaluating works and services departments' constraints in relation to procurement strategies, maintenance methods, maintenance budgets, post occupancy evaluations, project quality control, sustainable programme, and the influence of culture on project execution. Others objectives include: developing a strategic framework to support facilities maintenance management, and validating its effectiveness and the efficiency. The scope of the study is focussed on the general maintenance of all building elements; services provided in the selected twelve HEIs in south west of Nigeria.

The study adopted a mixed method research strategy by conducting series of semi-structured interviews with the directors of works and services of the selected HEIs, and a questionnaire survey of the 36 Technical Officers from the selected HEIs in Nigeria. The qualitative and quantitative data collected were analysed by using thematic analyses and multiple regressions respectively.

The findings of the thematic analyses of the interviews reveal that, there was a general shortage of financial resources that allow keeping of backlogs of maintenance and repairs of HEIs buildings across both the federal and state HEIs. The work identified that, the following factors have caused majority of the backlogs of maintenance: low level of overall maintenance budget, delays in releasing cash (maintenance funds), lack of the adoption and

use of planned prevent maintenance method, a shortage of in-house technical staff, and the absence of maintenance programmes and maintenance schedules.

In addition, the findings of the questionnaire survey identified the largest and the strongest among the variables used (Predictor-Maintenance Budget), it determines the effectiveness and efficiency of the framework to about 58%, and determines at which level, that the smallest and less stronger variables can join together with the largest and strongest variable to make the framework effective in the facilities maintenance management of HEIs facilities in Nigeria. The findings further reveal that: majority of the HEIs works and services departments do not have full complements of technical staff, so they outsource most of their maintenance projects; most HEIs works and services departments do not have maintenance budgets; where the budgets are in place, they do not adopt appropriate techniques for data collection. In most HEIs sampled, the major defects are often caused by long delays in releasing maintenance cash.

Other challenges include: use of inappropriate maintenance methods; lack of establishment of a project control unit within the works and services department; inability to prepare and use maintenance control toolkit; over reliance on complaints from the facilities users instead of carrying out post occupancy evaluations; and lack of adequate programmes to maintain the built environments under their management.

A framework was subsequently developed to address the challenges and shortcomings discovered through interviews and the questionnaire survey. The key element of the framework is based on the extensive literature review and is the further validated through a series of interviews with senior technical officers from eight out of the twelve HEIs sampled. The interviewees agreed that the framework is valid, adaptable, and will make facilities maintenance management of HEIs facilities in Nigeria cost effective, efficient in running, and ensures the achievement of project quality control and project deliveries in a timely fashion.

Key words: Backlog, budget, environment, sustainable, procurement, maintenance and quality.

References:

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). [Alcohol-Related Disease Impact \(ARDI\)](#). Atlanta, GA: CDC.
2. Levy DT, Mallonee S, Miller TR, Smith GS, Spicer RS, Romano EO, Fisher DA. [Alcohol involvement in burn, submersion, spinal cord, and brain injuries](#). *Med Sci Monit* 2004; 10(1):CR17–24.
3. Naimi TS, Brewer RD, Mokdad A, Clark D, Serdula MK, Marks JS. [Binge Drinking Among US Adults](#). *JAMA* 2003; 289(1):70–75.
4. Nolen-Hoeksema S. [Gender differences in risk factors and consequences for alcohol use and problems](#). *Clinical Psychology Review* 2004;24:981.
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System prevalence data](#). Atlanta, GA: CDC.
6. Dawson DA, Grant BF, Li T-K. [Quantifying the risks associated with exceeding recommended drinking limits](#). *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2005;29:902–908.
7. Woerle S, Roeber J, Landen MG. [Prevalence of alcohol dependence among excessive drinkers in New Mexico](#). *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2007;31:293–298.
8. Hasin DS, Stinson FS, Ogburn E, Grant BF. [Prevalence, correlates, disability, and comorbidity of DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence in the United States](#). *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2007;64:830-842.
9. Minino AM, Heron MP, Murphy SL, Kochanek KD. [Deaths: final data for 2004](#) [PDF 3.37MB]. *National Vital Statistics Report*, Volume 55, No. 19, August 21, 2007. Hyattsville, MD: CDC National Center for Health Statistics.
10. Chen CM, Yi H. [Trends in alcohol-related morbidity among short-stay community hospital discharges, United States, 1979–2005](#) [PDF 1.78MB]. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. NIAAA Surveillance Report #80, 2007.
11. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. [Traffic Safety Facts 2006](#) [PDF 990KB]. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, National Center for Statistics & Analysis. DOT HS 810 818, 2008.
12. Scott KD, Schafer J, Greenfield TK. [The roles of alcohol in physical assault perpetration and victimization](#). *J Stud Alcohol* 1999;60:528–536.
13. Hayward I, Zubrick SR, Silburn S. [Blood alcohol levels in suicide cases](#). *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1992;46(3):256–260.

14. May PA, Van Winkle NW, Williams MB, McFeeley PJ, DeBruyn LM, Serna P. [Alcohol and suicide death among American Indians of New Mexico: 1980-1998](#). *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 2002;32(3):240–255.
15. Suokas J, Suominen K, Lonnqvist J. [Chronic alcohol problems among suicide attempters—post-mortem findings of a 14-year follow-up](#). *Nord J Psychiatry* 2005;59(1):45–50.
16. Adler RA. [Clinically important effects of alcohol on endocrine function](#). *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1992;74(5):957–960.
17. Emanuele MA, Emanuele NV. [Alcohol's effects on male reproduction](#). *Alcohol Research and Health* 1998; 22(3):195–201.
18. Abbey A. [Alcohol-related sexual assault: A common problem among college students](#). *J Stud Alcohol Suppl* 2002;14:118–128.
19. American Cancer Society. [Alcohol and Cancer](#) [PDF–181KB]. Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society; 2006.
20. Donato F, Tagger A, Chiesa R, Ribero ML, Tomasoni V, Fasola M, et al. [Hepatitis B and C virus infection, alcohol drinking and hepatocellular carcinoma: a case-control study in Italy](#). *Hepatology* 1997;26(3):579–584.
21. Baan R, Straif K, Grosse Y, Secretan B, et al. on behalf of the WHO International Agency for Research on Cancer Monograph Working Group. [Carcinogenicity of alcoholic beverages](#). *Lancet Oncol* 2007;8:292-293.