

## Rapid Prototyping of Soundscapes for Heritage Spaces using Ambisonics and 360 Video Technology

### **CLICK** Initial Project

In February 2019, I was approached by the Science & Industry Museum, to produce some student voice recordings of written accounts from the 1830's of the early passengers on trains. The SIM is the site of the world's oldest surviving passenger railway station **CLICK**(<https://www.scienceandindustrymuseum.org.uk/whats-on/1830-station>, n.d.) and has recently had a refresh, which these recordings were to be part of, and an audio experience would happen in the room. I requested the chance to also design a soundscape for the room, as it seemed like an opportunity to use sound in a new space and place outside of my usual experience – a way to think about sound design in a new way and the implications this would have on passive listeners in a space.

What is a passive listener? It is our everyday, the sounds we hear but are not focused on, unlike active listening, which is when we are expecting sound (Truax, 2001). For example, when people visit a theatre to see a show, they generally choose to do so. They expect the sound to have a presence and so become an active listener. In a museum, this is not necessarily the case. Museums are traditionally thought of a place with **CLICK** “The silent visitor standing still in front of an exhibition and gazing intently” (Bubaris, 2014). More recently museum exhibits are enhanced with digital media, changing this traditional viewpoint; one only has to look around this very museum we are in to see the influence of digital technologies. Previous work in this area suggests that by doing this, there are several barriers to using these enhancements. One might think instinctively of a mobile app as a method of augmenting an object, which in itself brings a ‘digital barrier’ to proceedings, as without the app you can’t get the full experience that others are receiving. Though I am focusing on augmentation with sound, any work around museum exhibits and enhancement of experiences must consider the **CLICK** “influences of digital culture in society more generally”(Light, Bagnall, Crawford, & Gosling, 2018). Sound played in a space is a more accessible method of enhancement as the vast majority of people can hear. We live in a noisy world; people expect to be surrounded by sound and the sharing of this sound experience with others would be quite natural. It may not be a pleasant experience, depending on individual tastes, but it would not involve the extra ‘effort’ or hassle of bringing out a mobile phone, for example.

When I use the term ‘soundscape’ it is useful to define what this means. This term is generally used to define the sound of a place that the human picks up on. The International standards organisation defines it as the **CLICK** “acoustic environment as perceived or experienced and/or understood by a person or people, in context” (ISO, 2014)

As I write this paragraph on a tram home, the sounds around me are of people chatting, the clacking of the tracks. I cannot control these sounds specifically; I cannot press a button and the clacking changes to birdsong. It is this control that I can exercise in soundscape design.

### **CLICK**

#### **Soundscape Design**

Before using the virtual environment tools, the plan for soundscape creation started with sonic sketches created using standard computer editing software. Through these sketches, various initial lines of creative enquiry led to some unexpected questions to be asked. One I will spend a little time on here to begin with is that of access to resources and how this relates to

authenticity; a problem that relates back to my previous findings from the work of Bagnall et al around museum object augmentation.

This museum (and wider museum group) has a vast archive of objects related to the space, which would be perfect to record and use in the piece but problematic in that (understandably) curators do not want fragile objects such as the original station bell sounded. My thoughts from this are not ‘how do I fix this’ but ‘how accurate do sounds have to be, to invoke authenticity?’ Nobody alive has any hearing recollection of the time period so why bother with authenticity? I became fixated on creating some sort of audio based archaeological dig. I am reminded of watching “Time Team” as a teenager, and just as they used to computer-generate visuals of the discoveries at the end of programme, I find myself wanting to do the audio equivalent. An illustrative example within the piece is the fanfare when the train leaves at the end; a real piece called ‘I’d be a butterfly’. This piece was actually performed as the train set off in the 1830s. The sheet music is in the archive **CLICK** and I recorded a music student playing this piece for my soundscape. It is practical to think that this may be the first time in nearly 190 years that this piece of music has been heard on this site. However, there is no context to the contemporary listener, No explanation. And so, the audience is left confused. It is authentic, but people do not understand that it is. I have turned to my knowledge into entertainment sound design to try and find some resolution to this question. It is common practice that a sound effect is not literal. In Foley effects for visual entertainment, a breaking bone is often a recording of breaking a carrot. A bird flying away is rubber gloves slapped together. A fish out of water is a damp cloth slapped about a surface. These seem to bring satisfaction to audiences, so can these same principles be applied in the museum? But this brings me back to my concern – what is authentic, and does authenticity necessarily invoke authentic feelings or transport us back in time? And on that topic, how much of the visual surroundings of the space augment the acoustic, and vice versa? Gareth Fry, who is a world class sound designer for theatre does not get hung up on such arguments. He states: **CLICK**

“Is total accuracy a good use of your time? It is easy to spend so long trying to ‘do’ accurate realism that you don’t give yourself enough time to create the often more important emotional storytelling”. (Fry, 2019)

So in the theatre world of sound design, story is everything, context a secondary. This lead me to thinking that perhaps this is the reverse for museums. I spoke recently with a curator for the National Railway Museum in York, who stated on this topic that, and I paraphrase a little here, while **CLICK**

“museums visitors come for authenticity, the experience should stay true to the object...the older the object, the more gaps of knowledge there are to fill, and as long as you are honest about this then visitors are usually accepting” (Sparkes, 2020)

### **Rapid Prototyping**

It is at this point that I came to the title of ‘rapid prototyping’. Rather than moving back and forth between the museum space, proposing costings for in-room spatial designs, closing rooms for testing purposes for extended periods, perhaps a virtual environment can be used to quickly test soundscape ideas, and then bring them to curators/directors for approval, thus giving everyone a clearer vision of the final product.

**CLICK** Picture and explain

Hopefully you can see here how this is fairly intuitive, and decisions could be made. This particular method I demonstrate is what I will call a *freeform* way of working – each sound can be positioned in space wherever I choose, and automated to move around also. Once pleasing

choices have been made, I would move to a *specific* way of working, placing fixed objects as playback points in the space, rather than sounds, and treating these as if they were the loudspeakers for the final piece in the room. This way, creativity can determine what will happen during the soundscape, and then control decisions can be made as to how to achieve this in the space itself. And all this can be done outside of the museum site. It is difficult to say how well this translates to the space as this is a stage of the process I have not yet achieved. There will be errors as it is difficult to very accurately simulate a space. But it is hoped that my work here presents an alternative and relatively accessible way for a sound designer working with these tools to develop environments quickly and make more informed choices.

**CLICK**

### **Conclusions**

When initially designing a soundscape for the room, the creative elements of the soundscape were the least problematic. However, the transference of these sounds into a space and the way that the visitor engages with was where the opportunities and problems lied. What began as a simple exercise in designing sound has led me down a path in a few short months of thinking more deeply about the nature of a space not designed to be used in this manner, and having a process applied to it that is different from its original intention. By turning to my VR and Ambisonic tools normally used for 360-degree video production, I was able to better imagine the sound in the space and have an intuitive design process that was quickly changeable and provided more experimentation of ideas. These ideas are then able to be presented to stakeholders to be discussed and modified without having to disrupt the space itself, ready for final installation. It must be re-iterated here that this process is not to design VR experiences, but to transfer these techniques across to sound design installations in spaces. It is merely these tools that are the connection. The idea to use these tools came from experiences during creation where questions around listener experience such as disconnect via headphones or disconnect via poor sonics quality appeared. Museum expectation is not something I ever thought I would be involved with but is at the front and centre of the project as a whole. Also, the nature of the museum visitor, be they a visitor, an audience, or an actor is incredibly important to this sound design, as they are the receivers of the work. I am yet to wholly make my conclusions as to whether this approach to design is worthwhile, but it has certainly raised many questions and this ‘rapid prototyping’ is a technique I will explore more in the future.

### **Bibliography**

Bagnall, G. (2019, June 17). *Personal Correspondence with Gaynor Bagnall*.

Bubaris, N. (2014). Sound in museums – museums in sound. *Museum Management and Curatorship*, 29(4), 391–402. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09647775.2014.934049>

Deiorio, V. (2019). *The art of theatrical sound design: A practical guide*. London, UK: Methuen Drama, Bloomsbury Publishing Plc.

<https://www.scienceandindustrymuseum.org.uk/whats-on/1830-station>. (n.d.).

Light, B., Bagnall, G., Crawford, G., & Gosling, V. (2018). The material role of digital media in connecting with, within and beyond museums. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*, 24(4), 407–423.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856516678587>

Truax, B. (2001). *Acoustic communication*. Retrieved from <http://site.ebrary.com/id/10354303>