

Social media and empowerment in hospitality and tourism decision-making: A study among UK Muslim women

Imran Maqbool Khan¹, Sunil Sahadev², Tahir Rashid³, Snehasish Banerjee^{4*}

¹ Wolverhampton Business School, University of Wolverhampton, Wulfruna Street, Wolverhampton WV1 1LY, UK. Email: I.Khan16@wlv.ac.uk

² Brighton Business School, University of Brighton, Mithras House, Lewes Road, Brighton BN2 4AT, UK. Email: s.sahadev@brighton.ac.uk

³ Salford Business School, University of Salford, Maxwell Building, Manchester M5 4WT, UK. Email: t.rashid@salford.ac.uk

⁴ The York Management School, University of York, Freboys Lane, Heslington, York YO10 5GD, UK. Phone: +44 (0) 1904 325013. Email: snehasish.banerjee@york.ac.uk

(*Corresponding author)

1 **Social media and empowerment in hospitality and tourism decision-** 2 **making: A study among UK Muslim women**

3 4 **Abstract:**

5 Research that explores Muslim women's hospitality and tourism decision-making is limited.
6 This paper attempts to bring a new perspective to the literature on social media and
7 consumption decisions in the hospitality and tourism sector by focusing on Muslim women as
8 a consumer segment. Based on a survey of 791 Muslim women in the UK, it finds that
9 autonomous self-expression, self-disclosure, and reciprocity to self-disclosure on Facebook
10 were positively related to bonding and bridging social capital, which in turn related positively
11 to their empowerment in hospitality and tourism decision-making. Employment status
12 moderated some of these relations. The paper breaks new ground by bringing together two
13 disparate research strands in the tourism literature: one that focuses on women but ignores
14 religion, and the other that studies Islamic tourism but overlooks the role of women. The
15 findings offer insights into hospitality and tourism marketing strategies aimed at Muslim
16 women.

17
18 **Keywords:** Empowerment; Facebook; Gender; Islamic tourism; Muslim women; Social
19 media.

20 21 **Introduction**

22 Gender has long been shown to shape how individuals engage with hospitality and tourism
23 (Swain, 1995). Men and women differ on factors such as perceived security of destinations
24 (Remoaldo et al., 2014), and choice of hotels (Kwok et al., 2016). Specifically, the role of
25 women in hospitality and tourism decision-making has been receiving substantial scholarly
26 attention (Henderson, 2003; Morgan and Pritchard, 2019; Wang and Li, 2020).

27
28 However, two research gaps exist. One, gender studies seldom focus on Muslim women.
29 These have been predominantly conducted in western cultures, where family dynamics are
30 different compared with Muslim families. Hence, existing findings cannot be generalised to
31 Muslim women. Two, although research on Islamic tourism and Muslims is gaining traction
32 (see Suid et al., 2017 for a review), these works seldom take gender into account. Thus,
33 research that explores consumption of hospitality and tourism by Muslim women is far and
34 few.

35
36 Studying Muslim women's decision-making in hospitality and tourism is important due to
37 their unique socio-cultural milieu. Given the patriarchal gender norms, Muslim women's
38 agency in leisure activities is limited (Bakkar, 2017; Oktadiana et al., 2020; Tran and Walter,
39 2014). They face what Bachrach and Baratz (1962) identify as the invisible face of power,
40 with socio-cultural values limiting their choices. Muslim women are hence "disadvantaged"
41 as holiday decision-makers (Henderson, 2003, p. 449). They seem disempowered in terms of
42 their influence in travel planning as either independent decision-makers or members of a
43 family.

44
45 To attenuate this disempowerment, Muslim women could rely on social media. This is
46 because people usually join virtual communities for the purposes of social support and
47 community-building (Brown, 2006; Gruss et al., 2020a, 2020b). There is evidence that social
48 media provides the necessary agency to empower the disempowered (Bühler and Pelka,
49 2014; Li, 2016; Nemer, 2016). Ratthinan and Selamat (2019) specifically highlighted the
50 potential of social media to empower Muslim women in the context of tourism. However,

51 there is still neither any empirical evidence to substantiate this proposition nor any
52 understanding of the mechanism through which Muslim women can be empowered through
53 social media for hospitality and tourism purposes.

54
55 Hence, this paper investigates the following research question: How can social media
56 communication generate empowerment in the context of hospitality and tourism decision-
57 making? To address this question, a theoretically-informed research framework is developed
58 that maps the mechanism through which social media use generates decision-making
59 empowerment. The framework is validated in the context of Muslim women in the UK—a
60 segment considered disempowered in the literature.

61
62 The paper contributes to the literature in several ways. First, it breaks new ground by
63 bringing together two disparate research strands in the hospitality and tourism literature: one
64 that focuses on women but not on religion, and the other that studies Islamic tourism but
65 overlooks the role of women. Thus, we respond to recent calls made by authors like Ghaderi
66 et al. (2020) about investigating hospitality and tourism consumption behaviour among
67 Muslim women. As Morgan and Pritchard (2019) explain, gender-based studies on
68 hospitality and tourism still span a limited array of topics. Thus, investigating the hospitality
69 and tourism consumption of Muslim women—an under-researched demographic—will
70 extend the scholarly knowledge in this field. Considering the increasing participation of
71 Muslim women in this sector (Mohamed et al., 2020), such a line of inquiry holds
72 significance for theorists as well as practitioners.

73
74 Second, the paper addresses crucial gaps related to the established discourse on the influence
75 of social media adoption and hospitality and tourism decision-making. It is a response to the
76 call for research on the impact of social media on travel and leisure decisions across different
77 cultural contexts (Wang and Li, 2020; Zeng and Gerritsen, 2014). While the influence of
78 social media in hospitality and tourism decision-making is well established (Ladhari and
79 Michaud, 2015), recent conceptual studies like Mehraliyev et al. (2020) have called for
80 research exploring how power is generated through social media communication. By looking
81 into the mechanism through which a relatively disempowered segment accumulates power in
82 hospitality and tourism decision-making, the paper contributes to the emerging stream of
83 research on social media-induced empowerment.

84
85 Connectedly, the paper also extends the literature on how marginal communities adopt social
86 media as a community-building mechanism to improve their agency and empowerment. As
87 Shockley et al. (2020: p.3) suggest, “the impact of social media usage on women's voice and
88 empowerment is multifaceted and complex.” However, empirical works examining different
89 contexts that shape this empowerment are limited.

90
91 The next section brings together a review of studies from different research streams relevant
92 to this paper. It then develops the research framework. The empirical study is presented next.
93 Finally, implications for theory and practice are discussed.

94 95 96 **Literature Review**

97 98 *Muslim Women's Hospitality and Tourism Decision-Making*

99 Based on social power theory, decision-making power includes a three-tier hierarchy
100 (Mehraliyev et al., 2000). Ability to choose is the most basic exhibition of power that requires

101 individual resources like knowledge and money. Ability to influence choices is the second
102 level of power where one can fully or partly impose their decisions on others. Ability to
103 provide choices is the highest level of power.

104
105 Socio-cultural structures can restrict individuals' decision-making power, often in an
106 invisible manner (Bachrach and Baratz, 1962). The literature abounds with evidence of power
107 restrictions experienced by women in Islamic societies across several decision-making
108 contexts (Henderson, 2003; Khatwani, 2017; Mason, 1996). These power restrictions can be
109 construed as amounting to 'limited ability to choose' or 'limited ability to influence choices'
110 as per the social power theory. For instance, Mason (1996) found that women in Malaysia
111 and Pakistan—predominantly Muslim societies—were unlikely to get involved in decisions
112 such as buying dress and jewellery or whether to work compared with those in India, the
113 Philippines and Thailand.

114
115 This sense of disempowerment is not just limited to Muslim women from low-income
116 societies. Research conducted among Muslim women living in high-income western societies
117 such as the UK also reports limits to their decision-making freedom (Ahmad, 2001; Dagkas
118 et al., 2011; Kay, 2006). According to Arifeen and Gatrell (2020), working British Muslim
119 women, while enjoying considerable freedom, still felt that they needed to abide by the
120 values and restrictions required by their religion.

121
122 In the hospitality and tourism context too, there exists indirect support for the
123 disempowerment of Muslim women in decision-making. For example, in the analysis of
124 blogs written by Muslim women travellers, persuading parents about the need for the trip
125 emerged as a key travel concern (Oktadiana et al., 2020). Similarly, Ratthinan and Selamat
126 (2019) reported how Muslim women in Malaysia require permission from their husbands or
127 parents to travel. There are also other constraints that Muslim women are expected to fulfil.
128 These include eating Halal food, and not staying in a hotel where liquor is served.

129
130 The literature is silent on Muslim women's role in families for holiday planning. However,
131 works such as Mason (1996), Khatwani (2017) and the recent work by Arifeen and Gatrell
132 (2020) among British Muslim women suggest that Muslim women can be disempowered
133 during occasions of family decision-making about hospitality and tourism consumption. They
134 often find themselves in situations where they are either not able to choose from possible
135 options or don't have any influence in the choice process.

136 137 *Social Capital for Empowerment*

138 Social psychology literature shows that when individuals experience a state of powerlessness,
139 they try to cope by adopting a variety of strategies (Lazarus and Folkman, 1984; Mainiero,
140 1986). According to Jóhannesson et al. (2003: p.4), "crucial to the idea of coping strategies is
141 recognition of agency: people's ability to change, resist, accept, or challenge the social
142 framework they find themselves in." Hence, coping strategies devised by Muslim women will
143 expectedly be directed towards acquiring agency through which they are able to make
144 decisions on their own or influence decisions concerning them.

145
146 In the face of disempowerment, mustering social capital is a popular strategy to increase
147 agency and empowerment (Lewis et al., 2013; Wahl et al., 2010). According to Lin (2001,
148 p.25) social capital refers to "the resources embedded in social networks accessed and used
149 by actors for actions." Two types of social capital exist: bonding and bridging (Putnam,
150 2000). Bonding social capital is characterised by strong ties, mostly between family, friends

151 and people with the same values and background. Bridging social capital on the other hand is
152 characterised by weak ties, usually with individuals across the boundaries of social identity,
153 age, gender and ethnic group. Both types of social capital can enable disempowered
154 individuals to generate empowerment in decision-making.

155
156 Moreover, research in family decision-making shows that an individual member of a family
157 will attempt to influence the family's decision-making process based on their estimate of the
158 effectiveness of using their power as well as that of the cost associated with using their power
159 (Corfman and Lehman, 1987). Power use effectiveness is dependent on the resources the
160 individual has at his/her disposal (e.g., expertise, credibility, etc.), while cost estimate of
161 power use depends on the estimate of how much the power use could create conflict, the
162 individual's desire to be liked, observe social norms and preserve relationships (Corfman and
163 Lehman, 1987). Using social capital as a coping strategy can aid the individual on both
164 fronts.

165
166 *Social Media for Social Capital Accumulation*

167 Using social media is now one of the most prominent mechanisms to accumulate social
168 capital. Social capital formation is in fact regarded as an inevitable consequence of social
169 media usage (Horng and Wu, 2019). Participation in social media increases both social
170 bonding and social bridging (Chen and Li, 2017; Li and Chen, 2014; Horng and Wu, 2019;
171 Phua et al., 2017). Conceivably, disempowered individuals could turn to social media to
172 accumulate bonding and bridging social capital in order to increase their agency and
173 empowerment (Brown, 2006; Gruss et al., 2018, 2020a; Lewis et al., 2013; Wahl et al.,
174 2010).

175
176 However, save a few exceptions (e.g., Chen and Li, 2017; Horng and Wu, 2019), most
177 studies that adopt this premise consider the general use of social media or frequency of using
178 social media as antecedents of social capital formation (Williams, 2019). But social media
179 activity encompasses a much broader and nuanced communication mechanism (Chen and Li,
180 2017). Extant studies do not explain how one or more of these communication behaviours
181 help build social capital, especially when social media is adopted as a coping mechanism to
182 ward off powerlessness. We plug this gap through our research framework.

183
184

185 **Research Framework**

186 This paper assumes Lazarus and Folkman's (1984) model of coping where coping strategies
187 are defined as a process involving several behavioural choices based on the requirements of
188 the context. It proposes that Muslim women develop coping strategies through the
189 mechanism of consciously adopting a specific pattern of behaviour on social media. The three
190 social media communication facets that Muslim women could choose to build social capital
191 are (i) autonomous self-expression through social media, (ii) self-disclosure of hospitality and
192 tourism consumption, and (iii) reciprocity to self-disclosure. Such behaviours can be
193 positively related to the accumulation of social capital in the form of social bonding and
194 social bridging (H1-H6), which in turn can be positively associated with empowerment in
195 hospitality and tourism decision-making (H7-H8)—albeit differently between employed and
196 unemployed Muslim women (H9).

197
198 *Autonomous Self-Expression and Social Capital*

199 Autonomous self-expression is defined as the choice of expressing oneself freely online
200 based on one's interests. On social media, self-expression is not only limited to conveying

201 information about oneself but also includes other activities including providing ‘likes’ and
202 sharing posts. Identity-building is easily facilitated through such activities as self-presentation
203 is one of the most important features of social media platforms (Livingstone, 2008).
204

205 Through identity-building, social media generates two types of groups: bonding groups and
206 identity groups (Sassenberg and Postmes, 2002). Bonding groups are formed as members get
207 emotionally and socially attached to others in the online community. Identity groups are
208 formed as members feel a commitment to the online community’s shared purpose. Clearly,
209 bonding groups are outcomes of social bonding, and identity groups of social bridging.
210

211 According to the common identity and bond theory, one of the main sources of bonding
212 group formation is personal information and attraction through similarity (Ren et al., 2007).
213 Personal information is expected to increase the likelihood of interaction and trust (Yuki et
214 al., 2005). Further, as people identify similarity in their identities, there is a greater impetus
215 for bonding as “people like others who are similar to them in preferences, attitudes, and
216 values, and they are likely to work or interact with similar others” (Ren et al., 2007; p.388).
217 Thus, autonomous self-expression involving disinhibited revelation of one’s true identity,
218 interests, values and culture is expected to increase chances of bonding with other individuals
219 who share similar profiles. A free and autonomous expression of self will be easily found out
220 by other members sharing the same socio-cultural background, thereby inviting trust and
221 strong bonds.
222

223 Autonomous self-expression can also induce identity-based grouping and social bridging.
224 This is because free and disinhibited self-expression greatly aids self-categorisation, which
225 enables individuals with similar backgrounds—but not directly linked—to identify others as
226 part of their group (Turner et al., 1987). This self-categorisation then spirals up to trigger
227 even more information sharing. A typical example among Muslim women is that of Hijabers
228 in Indonesia (Beta, 2014), which grew out of social media activities of a small number of
229 Islamic Hijab designers in Indonesia and is now an extremely big network of Muslim women
230 in Indonesia who have voluntarily chosen to wear Hijab. Beta (2014) explains how the candid
231 and free self-expressions of a founder of this network, Dian Pelangi, actually attracts a strong
232 following among urban Muslim women in Indonesia.
233

234 Hence, the following are hypothesised:
235

236 H1: Muslim women’s autonomous self-expression through social media is positively related
237 to social bonding.
238

239 H2: Muslim women’s autonomous self-expression through social media is positively related
240 to social bridging.
241

242 *Self-Disclosure of Hospitality and Tourism Consumption and Social Capital*

243 Self-disclosure of hospitality and tourism consumption is defined as the sharing of
244 information about hospitality and tourism experiences, feelings and opinions through social
245 media. While individuals may disclose their general identity through social media, there is
246 also the prospect of individuals using focused self-disclosure on specific topics like health
247 (Yan et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2019), or more pertinently hospitality and tourism (Jovanović
248 et al., 2019; Park et al., 2016).
249

250 To gain agency and empowerment in hospitality and tourism decision-making, Muslim
251 women are expected to increase their focused self-disclosure of hospitality and tourism
252 consumption. The main expectation is to improve social bonding and bridging (Gruss et al.,
253 2018, 2020a). There exists evidence for the use of focused self-disclosure to cope with
254 powerlessness. Döveling (2015), for instance, reports how self-disclosure is often used as a
255 coping strategy by young mourners. Park et al. (2016) found that the motivation to develop a
256 wider friendship group correlated with self-disclosure of pilgrimage experiences.

257
258 Focused self-disclosure in tourism consumption is expected to create significant social
259 bonding. Studies have shown that self-disclosure can substantially generate social support
260 (Lee et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015). Yang et al. (2019) found that self-disclosure can lead to
261 both emotional support from close ties (social bonding) and information support from weak
262 ties (social bridging). Chen and Li (2017) also found a positive relationship between self-
263 disclosure and social bonding as well as social bridging. This is probably because, as Berg
264 and Delraga (2013) contends, receiving intimate disclosure increases trust in and liking for
265 the disclosure. It also helps build trust and favourability from weak ties.

266
267 Hence, the following are hypothesised:

268
269 H3: Muslim women's self-disclosure of hospitality and tourism consumption on social media
270 is positively related to social bonding.

271
272 H4: Muslim women's self-disclosure of hospitality and tourism consumption on social media
273 is positively related to social bridging.

274 275 *Reciprocity to Self-Disclosure and Social Capital*

276 Reciprocity is the dyadic or mutual effect of self-disclosure (Yang et al., 2019). It is almost a
277 concomitant outcome of self-disclosure, which alludes to the human nature of mimicking the
278 behaviour of people with whom they interact. Reciprocity has significant implications for
279 social capital building. For one, reciprocity to self-disclosure can lead to trust in the context
280 of support building. Reciprocity can inform support-seekers that they are being understood
281 and that others care about them (Yang et al., 2019). More importantly, reciprocity to self-
282 disclosure can provide social comparison information, which is extremely valued in the
283 context of building social capital (Wang et al., 2015).

284
285 There is empirical evidence that reciprocity leads to social capital (Lubell and Scholz 2001).
286 The norm of reciprocity reflects embedded obligations created by exchanges of benefits or
287 favours (Gouldner, 1960). According to the concept of social exchange, social behaviour is
288 nothing but the outcome of an exchange process. Social bonding with close ties therefore
289 seems to be an outcome of reciprocity, which usually results in a sense of indebtedness that in
290 turn translates to stronger relationships (Pai and Tsai, 2016). This proposition is supported by
291 the evidence for the role played by member reciprocity in developing online communities
292 within social networking sites (Musembwa and Paul, 2012), including Facebook (Surma,
293 2016).

294
295 Prior research also highlights how reciprocity enhances bridging social capital (Blokland,
296 2008; Ellison et al., 2014). According to Lévi-Strauss (1969), reciprocity is not always direct
297 but can also be indirect. Direct reciprocity involves the exchange between two actors. Chen
298 and Li (2017) reveal friending, a directly reciprocal action on social media, to be positively
299 related to social bridging. Indirect exchange occurs between two individuals, who eventually

300 receive benefit from another actor. Reciprocity to self-disclosure can indirectly create a
301 network of dialogue which provides a wealth of information for the entire online community
302 (Surma, 2016; Yang et al., 2019). This potentially attracts new members to the dialogue and
303 widens the scope of the dialogue, thereby giving rise to bridging social capital. Through
304 reciprocity to self-disclosure, social media therefore could allow Muslim women to engage
305 with not only strong ties but also weak ties.

306

307 Hence, the following are hypothesised:

308

309 H5: Muslim women's reciprocity to self-disclosure on social media is positively related to
310 social bonding.

311

312 H6: Muslim women's reciprocity to self-disclosure on social media is positively related to
313 social bridging.

314

315 *Social Capital and Empowerment in Decision-Making*

316 Based on social power theory, the paper considers Muslim women's empowerment in
317 hospitality and tourism decision-making to be linked to (i) their ability to independently
318 choose tourism/holiday consumption alternatives, and/or (ii) their ability to influence such
319 choices that will impact their consumption experience in a group (e.g. family) setting
320 (Mehraliyev et al., 2000). By accumulating social capital through social bonding and social
321 bridging, Muslim women achieve the agency to enhance their influence in these situations.

322

323 Extant research supports the idea of social capital as an empowering mechanism, especially
324 for disempowered communities. For instance, Boneham and Sixsmith (2006) explained how
325 older women accumulated social capital through networking to empower themselves on
326 health-related topics. Bühler and Pelka (2014) reported how social capital development
327 through online media could empower people with disabilities. Kumar (2014) illustrated how
328 youth from disadvantaged communities in India empowered themselves through social
329 bridging and social bonding activities in Facebook. Lewis et al. (2013) conveyed how social
330 capital could support the wellbeing of people in palliative care.

331

332 With increase in social bonding and social bridging, Muslim women are therefore expected to
333 become more equipped with comparative knowledge about similar contexts faced by women
334 from their background. This in turn will give rise to greater self-competence and self-esteem
335 (Kaye et al., 2017). They will now be in a position to express their requirements more
336 confidently. Social bonding also offers emotional support and advice, which can be extremely
337 effective in increasing Muslim women's influence in decision-making contexts. For instance,
338 Ahmad (2001) showed how young Muslim women in British families used the support of
339 elder women in their extended families to turn family decisions in their favour. Social
340 bridging also improves the knowledge and expertise that Muslim women can use as resources
341 during decision-making (Boneham and Sixsmith, 2006; Crittenden et al., 2019).

342

343 Hence, the following are hypothesised:

344

345 H7: Social bonding is positively related to empowerment in hospitality and tourism decision-
346 making among Muslim women.

347

348 H8: Social bridging is positively related to empowerment in hospitality and tourism decision-
349 making among Muslim women.

350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389

Employment Status

The relationships among the variables posited in the hypotheses H1 through H8 stand a good chance to be moderated by individuals' employment status. This is because being unemployed is associated with reduced perceived control and self-confidence (Jackson, 1999). While employment improves mental well-being, unemployment elevates psychological distress and takes a toll on confidence and self-efficacy (Breuer and Asiedu, 2017; Dooley and Prause, 1995). Numerous studies have shown how women's employment status shapes their power in family decision-making (Bala and Monga, 2004; Erman et al., 2002; Kumar and Maral, 2015). This is true for Muslim women too. The literature shows that unemployed Muslim women usually experience greater disempowerment than their employed counterpart (Achour et al., 2015; Lorasdađi, 2009).


Hence, compared with unemployed Muslim women, employed Muslim women have a head start in achieving empowerment in hospitality and tourism decision-making through social media use. They are likely to be more confident and willing to engage in the activities of autonomous self-expression, self-disclosure of hospitality and tourism consumption, and reciprocity on social media (Erman et al., 2002; Kumar and Maral, 2015). In consequence, they will be able to accumulate greater social capital and in turn empowerment. Furthermore, employed women have more personal connections, and hence, more opportunity to interact with others outside social media (Erickson, 2017; Nieminen et al., 2008). This will further reinforce their online social bonding and social bridging, thereby strengthening empowerment. The underlying mechanism of generating empowerment from social media use, mediated by social bonding and social bridging, is hence likely to be different for employed and unemployed Muslim women.

Thus, the following is hypothesised:

H9: Employment status moderates how Muslim women's social media use relates to empowerment in hospitality and tourism decision-making through social bonding as well as social bridging such that **the moderating relationship is stronger (weaker) for employed (undemployed) women.**

In sum, we propose a moderated mediation model. Social bonding and social bridging are expected to mediate the relations between the three social media communication behaviours and empowerment—albeit differently for employed and unemployed Muslim women. Figure 1 shows the research framework.

390 Figure 1: Research framework.



411
412 **Methods**

413
414 *Study Context*

415 This paper specifically focuses on Muslim Women in the UK. This socio-demographic
416 segment has attracted much scholarly attention (e.g., Dwyer, 2000; Ahmad, 2001; Arifeen
417 and Gatrell, 2020). They are considered to be “continually negotiating and renegotiating their
418 cultural, religious and personal identities and that these processes operate in complex and
419 sometimes contradictory ways” (Ahmad, 2001, p.137). As Arifeen and Gatrell (2020)
420 explain, British Muslim women are very conscious of their ‘ethical self’, which restricts them
421 to ‘self-disciplinary’ behaviours. The literature widely highlights the disempowerment
422 experienced by this segment on structural, cultural and social dimensions (Rashid, 2014;
423 Akhtar, 2014). Hence, the segment is worth studying in the context of hospitality and tourism
424 decision-making.

425
426 *Research Design and Data Collection*

427 Given that the paper has a deductive flavour with specific testable hypotheses, it lends itself
428 readily to the use of surveys (Saunders et al., 2019). Moreover, since scales exist for all the
429 constructs in the conceptual model, a quantitative data collection approach was particularly
430 deemed appropriate. As such, a mono-method approach was adopted using quantitative
431 surveys (Creswell and Creswell, 2018; Saunders et al., 2019). Specifically, a survey was used
432 because it is a convenient and effective method to obtain and archive quantitative data about
433 behaviours and perceptions accurately from a large sample (Creswell and Creswell, 2018;
434 Saunders et al., 2019; Saris and Gallhofer, 2014).

435
436 For participation, the inclusion criterion was that individuals must be Muslim women in the
437 UK who use Facebook. Specifically, Facebook was chosen due to two reasons. One, it is the
438 largest social network with over 32 million users in the UK alone and 1.65 billion globally
439 (Revive.digital, 2020; Statista, 2021). Two, recent studies suggest that Facebook is a key

440 platform for businesses in the hospitality and tourism industry for engaging with customers
441 (Gruss et al., 2018, 2020a).

442
443 Participants were recruited through a combination of convenience sampling and snowballing.
444 The study invitation was disseminated via social media platforms like Facebook, Instagram,
445 LinkedIn, Twitter and WhatsApp. We further contacted Muslim women influencers on such
446 platforms who helped us share the study invitation among dedicated online communities for
447 Muslim women in the UK.

448
449 There were four screening questions. The first two sought participants' gender and religion.
450 This was necessary as the study is focused on only Muslim women. The third asked for the
451 place of residence. Only Muslim women living in the UK were eligible. The final screening
452 question asked if they used Facebook. Those who passed all the screening questions were
453 allowed to proceed to the survey. The final sample included 791 participants: 433 (54.74%)
454 employed, and 358 (45.26%) unemployed. Table 1 presents the sample demographics.

455
456
457 Table 1: Sample demographics
458

Demographic Category	Frequency	Percentage
Age (years)		
16-19	62	7.84
20-24	215	27.18
25-28	124	15.68
29-32	131	16.56
33-36	82	10.37
37-40	77	9.73
41-over	100	12.64
Employment Status		
Employed	433	54.74
Unemployed	358	45.26
Education		
None	7	0.88
High/Secondary school	50	6.32
Some college or associate degree	200	25.28
Bachelor's degree	301	38.05
Master's degree	182	23.01
Doctoral degree	26	3.29
Other	25	3.16
Income		
£0-£14000	215	27.18
£15000-£25000	134	16.94
£26000-£36000	85	10.75
£37000-£47000	38	4.80
£48000-£58000	15	1.90
£59000-£69000	3	0.38
£70000-over	7	0.88
Rather not mention	294	37.17

459
460

461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490

Measures and Analysis

The measures are listed in Table 2. Autonomy was measured using three items adapted from La Guardia et al. (2000) and Burchardt et al. (2012). Self-disclosure was measured using four items adapted from Kwak et al. (2014), Park et al. (2011), and Zlatolas et al. (2015). Wasko and Faraj's (2005) scale was adopted to measure reciprocity using three items. Informed by Williams (2006), social bonding and social bridging were measured using three items each. To measure empowerment, four items adapted from Schuler and Rottach (2010) were used.

All the items were measured on a five-point scale. Kurtosis and skewness were checked—no value was beyond -2 and 2. Covariance-based structural equation modelling (SEM) was used to test the hypotheses. The analyses were done using AMOS.

For common method bias, the marker variable method was used. The questionnaire included three items to measure ethnocentrism, a construct which is not theoretically related to the study. This marker variable was included in the measurement model as well as in the path analysis. It had no impact on the main results. The study constructs showed weak correlations with ethnocentrism (see Table 3). Hence, common method bias was not a concern.

Results

Reliability, Validity and Model Fitness

As shown in Table 3, the study constructs demonstrated sufficient reliability and validity. Moreover, according to the cut-off values recommended by Hu and Bentler (1999), the model fit was excellent (CMIN/DF=2.383; ChiSq=953.295; CFI=0.952; NFI=0.921; TLI=0.940; SRMR=0.048; RMSEA=0.042; PClose=1.000).

491 Table 2: Measures and descriptive statistics
 492

Measures	M	SD	Loadings
<i>Autonomous self-expression</i>			
On Facebook, I feel free to be who I am.	2.87	1.29	0.80
On Facebook, I feel free to share my holiday experiences with people.	2.84	1.21	0.86
On Facebook, I feel free to plan my holidays.	3.31	1.23	0.80
<i>Self-disclosure</i>			
I always post about my holidays on Facebook.	3.14	1.41	0.90
I keep people updated on Facebook about what I am doing during holidays.	3.43	1.28	0.91
When I have to say anything about holidays, I use Facebook.	3.42	1.31	0.89
Uploading holiday related posts on Facebook, makes me feel more connected to my community.	3.27	1.25	0.87
<i>Reciprocity</i>			
I know other people will respond to my holiday related posts, so it's only fair to respond to their holiday related posts.	2.83	1.20	0.85
I believe that someone on Facebook will help me regarding holiday decision-making if I need help.	2.70	1.19	0.78
I expect other people to respond to my holiday related posts when I respond to their holiday related posts.	3.17	1.30	0.84
<i>Social bonding</i>			
Friends and family on Facebook provide ideas, excursions, and other leisure activities to do on holidays.	2.57	1.22	0.89
I trust information provided by friends and relatives on Facebook about holidays.	2.47	1.18	0.87
Friends and family on Facebook help in searching for ideas where to go for holidays.	2.85	1.36	0.88
<i>Social bridging</i>			
Facebook has increased the number of people/holiday pages I can contact to inquire about holidays.	2.92	1.25	0.89
After using Facebook, I got to know about new holiday pages.	2.89	1.30	0.89
Other people/holiday pages on Facebook provide ideas, excursions, and other leisure activities for holidays.	2.66	1.08	0.78
<i>Empowerment</i>			
Facebook provides awareness about the sources to improve holiday decision-making.	2.91	1.22	0.84
Online mobility such as seeing holiday places online, contacting people online for information, etc. on Facebook empowers me for a holiday.	3.11	1.17	0.86
Information from Facebook increases my involvement in family holiday decision-making.	3.18	1.20	0.89
Information from Facebook makes me able to make decisions about holidays.	3.19	1.18	0.90

493
494
495
496

Table 3: Reliability and validity of the scale items

	CR	α	AVE	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Self-disclosure (1)	0.9	0.91	0.69	0.83						
Autonomous self-expression (2)	0.79	0.76	0.56	0.75	0.75					
Reciprocity (3)	0.85	0.76	0.65	0.58	0.51	0.81				
Social bonding (4)	0.86	0.86	0.67	0.55	0.5	0.63	0.82			
Social bridging (5)	0.9	0.81	0.75	0.47	0.43	0.58	0.66	0.86		
Empowerment (6)	0.89	0.89	0.67	0.73	0.72	0.68	0.68	0.65	0.82	
Ethnocentrism (7)	0.87	0.87	0.7	0.18	0.14	0.02	-0.19	-0.03	0.10	0.84

497 Notes: CR: Composite Reliability; α : Cronbach's Alpha; AVE: Average Variance Extracted;
498 Bold values in diagonals represent the square roots of AVE.

499
500
501

502 *Direct Relations*

503 In the initial SEM model, the demographic variables of age, education level and income were
504 included as control variables. As they did not impact any relationship, they were excluded
505 from the final analysis.

506

507 With the full sample, autonomous self-expression was positively related to social bonding
508 ($\beta=0.21$; $p<0.01$) and social bridging ($\beta=0.11$; $p<0.05$). Therefore, H1 and H2 are supported.
509 Self-disclosure of hospitality and tourism consumption was positively related to social
510 bonding ($\beta=0.26$, $p<0.001$) and social bridging ($\beta=0.12$, $p<0.05$); lending support to H3 and
511 H4 respectively. Reciprocity to self-disclosure was also positively related to social bonding
512 ($\beta=0.52$, $p<0.001$) and social bridging ($\beta=0.42$, $p<0.001$); thereby supporting H5 and H6
513 respectively. Moreover, both social bonding ($\beta=0.43$, $p<0.001$) and social bridging ($\beta=0.40$,
514 $p<0.001$) were positively associated with Muslim women's empowerment. Therefore, H7 and
515 H8 are also supported. These direct relations are depicted in Table 4 and Figure 2. Overall,
516 the proposed research framework explained 59.9% of the variance in empowerment in
517 hospitality and tourism decision-making among Muslim women in the UK.


518

519

520 Table 4: Results from the path analysis
521

From	To	β	SE	t-Stat.	Sig.
Autonomous self-expression	Social Bonding	0.21	0.07	2.967	.003
Autonomous self-expression	Social Bridging	0.11	0.06	2.030	.042
Self-Disclosure	Social Bonding	0.26	0.06	4.281	<0.001
Self-Disclosure	Social Bridging	0.12	0.05	2.564	.010
Reciprocity	Social Bonding	0.52	0.06	9.187	<0.001
Reciprocity	Social Bridging	0.42	0.05	9.046	<0.001
Social Bonding	Empowerment	0.43	0.04	10.167	<0.001
Social Bridging	Empowerment	0.40	0.06	7.025	<0.001

522
523 Figure 2: Results from the path analysis.



544 *Moderating Effect of Employment Status*

545 To examine the moderating impact of employment status, the sample was divided into two
546 sub-samples comprising employed and unemployed women. Thereafter, separate path
547 analysis models were run on the sub-samples.

549 Before testing for the moderating effect, an invariance test was conducted to ensure that the
550 two sub-samples can be compared. The first step was to show configural invariance, which
551 proves that the overall factor structure of the two groups are comparable. A measurement
552 model with the sample divided into two groups was tested. The model showed a good fit
553 (CMIN/DF=2.383; CFI=0.95; NFI=0.92; TLI=0.94; RMSEA=0.042). This shows that the
554 basic factor structure was the same for the two groups (Steenkamp and Baumgartner, 1998).

556 In the next step, metric invariance was tested, where the assumption is that the structure of
557 loadings was the same across the two groups. Full metric invariance was established as there
558 was no significant difference between the model for configural invariance and that where the
559 loadings were constrained to be equal across the groups. The difference in the CMIN/DF was
560 non-significant (Δ CMIN/DF =1.19, $p>0.1$). Thus, based on Steenkamp and Baumgartner

561 (1998), we can conclude that the two groups responded to the items in a similar way, and
 562 hence the resultant loadings can be meaningfully compared.

563
 564 Based on the comparison of loadings, the results indicate a significant moderating effect on
 565 some of the relations, thereby **partially supporting** H9. Employed and unemployed Muslim
 566 women differed on the following fronts:

- 567
- 568 ● The paths from autonomous self-expression to both social bonding and social
 569 bridging were significant for employed women ($\beta=0.26$; $p<0.01$ and $\beta=0.17$; $p<0.01$
 570 respectively) but non-significant for the unemployed.
- 571 ● The path from self-disclosure to social bridging was significant for employed women
 572 ($\beta=0.13$; $p<0.05$) but non-significant for the unemployed.

573
 574 A few similarities were also noted. The path from self-disclosure to social bonding was
 575 significant for both the sub-samples. The paths from reciprocity to social-bonding and social-
 576 bridging were significant for both. Also, the paths from social bonding and social bridging to
 577 empowerment were significant for both employed and unemployed Muslim women.
 578 Nonetheless, the results suggest that social media activities can potentially help employed
 579 Muslim women in more ways than unemployed Muslim women when it comes to
 580 empowerment in hospitality and tourism decision-making.

581
 582 *Moderated Mediation*

583 To delve deeper, separate mediation analysis was carried out for the two sub-samples. For
 584 employed Muslim women, the indirect effect on empowerment via social bonding was
 585 significant for reciprocity ($\beta=0.11$, $p<0.01$), marginally significant for autonomous self-
 586 expression ($\beta=0.04$, $p<0.1$), and non-significant for self-disclosure. The mediation effect on
 587 empowerment through social bridging was significant for reciprocity ($\beta=0.05$, $p<0.01$) and
 588 autonomous self-expression ($\beta=0.02$, $p<0.05$), but non-significant for self-disclosure.

589
 590 For unemployed Muslim women, the indirect effect on empowerment via social bonding was
 591 consistently non-significant for autonomous self-expression, self-disclosure, and reciprocity.
 592 The mediation effect on empowerment through social bridging was significant for reciprocity
 593 ($\beta=0.09$, $p<0.01$), but non-significant for autonomous self-expression and self-disclosure.
 594 Overall, the findings lend support to our moderated mediation model. **These results are**
 595 **summarised in Table 5.**

596
 597
 598 **Table 5: Summary of the moderated mediation effects on empowerment**

	Employed Muslim women	Unemployed Muslim women
<i>Via Social Bonding</i>		
Autonomous self-expression	$\beta=0.04$, $p=0.06$	$\beta=0.003$, $p=0.46$
Self-Disclosure	$\beta=0.02$, $p=0.13$	$\beta=0.01$, $p=0.38$
Reciprocity	$\beta=0.11$, $p=0.001$	$\beta=0.01$, $p=0.43$
<i>Via Social Bridging</i>		
Autonomous self-expression	$\beta=0.02$, $p=0.04$	$\beta=-0.004$, $p=0.9$
Self-Disclosure	$\beta=0.01$, $p=0.55$	$\beta=0.001$, $p=0.97$
Reciprocity	$\beta=0.05$, $p=0.001$	$\beta=0.09$, $p=0.001$

600
 601

602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651

Discussion and Conclusions

This paper investigated the extent to which social media could empower Muslim women for holiday decision-making. It corroborates the literature by finding support for the ability of social media to empower the disempowered (Bühler and Pelka, 2014; Li, 2016; Nemer, 2016), specifically Muslim women in the UK. It also supports the premise that social capital accumulation is an important coping mechanism against powerlessness (Lewis et al., 2013; Wahl et al., 2010). Consistent with prior research (Putnam, 2000), both bonding and bridging social capital were positively associated with empowerment.

The paper further extends this literature by demonstrating the underlying mechanism through which social media communication can be associated with empowerment in hospitality and tourism decision-making. The results show that through autonomous self-expression, self-disclosure of hospitality and tourism consumption, and reciprocity to self-disclosure, Muslim women in UK accumulate bonding and bridging social capital, which in turn relate positively to empowerment.

This underlying mechanism was found to differ between employed and unemployed Muslim women. For the employed, both social bonding and social bridging served as significant mediators (particularly for reciprocity and autonomous self-expression). However, for the unemployed, only social bridging emerged as a significant mediator (particularly for reciprocity) while social bonding was consistently non-significant. The strength of weak ties seems to matter more for unemployed women compared with employed women who tend to have greater opportunities for harnessing both bonding and bridging social capital.

Autonomous self-expression and reciprocity in social networks seem to give greater rewards (through bonding and bridging) for employed Muslim women than unemployed Muslim women. Employed Muslim women are therefore much more likely to achieve empowerment than unemployed Muslim women through their participation in social networks. For unemployed Muslim women, participation in social media opens up fewer routes to empowerment than employed Muslim women. This could be because employed Muslim women may already be exposed to valuable information such that their social media activity attracts stronger bonds as well as greater attention. This could be due to the ‘multiplier effect’ (Crul et al., 2017) in social capital where individuals with existing social capital can expect to accumulate more social capital than individuals who lack social capital. Interestingly, regardless of employment status, the indirect effect of self-disclosure on empowerment remained consistently non-significant. This shows that among Muslim women, self-disclosure has little impact on empowerment.

Theoretical Contributions

The paper makes several theoretical contributions. First, it advances the hospitality and tourism literature by bringing insights from different research streams that include gender (Henderson, 2003; Tran and Walter, 2014), religion (Ahmad, 2001; Dwyer, 2000; Suid et al., 2017), and social media (Wang and Li, 2020; Zeng and Gerritsen, 2014). It empirically demonstrates the importance of social media in hospitality and tourism decision-making, and maps how social media usage is related to social capital accumulation, which in turn helps a disempowered segment in holiday planning.

Second, by investigating holiday planning behaviour among Muslim women, the paper contributes to the nascent literature in this domain. Save a few exceptions (e.g., Koc, 2004;

652 Ratthinan and Selamat, 2019), the literature that looks into hospitality and tourism decision-
653 making among Muslim women is limited. This is a significant deficiency as Muslim women
654 form a significant consumer segment with unique preferences and attitudes (Bakkar, 2017;
655 Mohamed et al., 2020). The current work will hopefully trigger more scholarly efforts around
656 Muslim women's leisure activities.

657
658 Third, the paper enriches the scholarly understanding on social media-induced empowerment
659 among Muslim women in the context of hospitality and tourism. It confirms the importance
660 of both weak and strong ties in generating empowerment for decisions such as where to travel
661 and where to stay.

662
663 Furthermore, the moderated mediation effect of employment status is an important theoretical
664 contribution in the context of research on empowerment through participation in social
665 media. This result tends to support the contention of several authors (e.g., Bala and Monga,
666 2004; Erman et al., 2002; Kumar and Maral, 2015) who have shown that employment has a
667 role in enhancing the self-efficacy and decision-making role of women in society. It also
668 shows that employment creates greater avenues for empowerment via social media among the
669 disempowered. This provides further evidence for the multiplier effect (Curl et al, 2017) in
670 social capital formation.

671
672 The paper finds that the strength of weak ties is more important for unemployed Muslim
673 women compared with their employed counterpart. While social bridging has been studied in
674 the past (e.g., Ellison et al., 2014), this paper is probably the first of its kind that highlights
675 the relatively greater importance of social bridging than social bonding among unemployed
676 Muslim women. Interestingly for unemployed Muslim women, it is reciprocity which helps
677 to develop greater social bridging. This result offers new insights into how individuals who
678 lack social capital try to accumulate social capital by relying on reciprocal behaviour within
679 their networks.

680
681 *Practical Implications*

682 On the practical front, the paper has implications for Muslim women and travel marketers. To
683 Muslim women, the paper shows that social media usage is a great mechanism to accumulate
684 social capital, which in turn can enhance their confidence to participate in holiday planning.
685 Employment promotes their agency through both social bonding and social bridging.
686 Unemployed Muslim women are particularly recommended to proactively leverage the
687 strength of weak ties in order to cope with their powerlessness in holiday planning.

688
689 Moreover, the paper offers insights to develop marketing strategies focused on Muslim
690 women. Hospitality and tourism marketers are encouraged to pay special attention to Muslim
691 women who are woefully under-represented in terms of targeted marketing strategies
692 (Bakkar, 2017). They should seek ways to actively engage with this demographic segment
693 online in order to harness the community value.

694
695 The results also point to the importance of informal social networks with strong bonds in
696 empowering Muslim women. The paper thus highlights the possibility of targeting Muslim
697 women through informal community-based networks. Participation in such community-based
698 networks enable Muslim women to freely exchange information, share views, opinions and
699 build sufficient agency to make decisions. Hospitality and tourism marketers should therefore
700 strive to develop such informal community-based networks for promoting their products to
701 Muslim women. They could also think of developing employed Muslim women as a specific

702 target segment. The paper shows how social networks can provide an effective platform for
703 targeting employed Muslim women.

704

705 Furthermore, the paper shows that marketing messages that are geared towards increasing
706 self-confidence and agency among Muslim women can be very effective in influencing
707 Muslim women. The communication should focus on themes that Muslim women easily
708 relate to. It must also respect the unique socio-cultural setting in which this demographic
709 segment operates. Brands could share posts on social media related to Islamic tourism, halal
710 offerings, as well as the two iterations of Eid, namely, Eid al-Fitr and Eid al-Adha for
711 marketing purposes. Social media influencers could also be used to catalyse Muslim
712 women's social capital accumulation online. This could work well, like the example of
713 Hijabers in Indonesia (Beta, 2014). All such efforts on the part of travel marketers are
714 expected to support the UNWTO's goal of promoting tourism among Muslim population
715 (UNWTO, 2017).

716

717 *Limitations and Future Research Directions*

718 Two limitations in the paper open opportunities for further research. One, to study how social
719 media can empower the disempowered in tourism decision-making, the paper relied on the
720 use of Facebook among Muslim women in the UK. Caution is recommended in generalising
721 the findings. Future research could compare the perceptions of Muslim women as a function
722 of the site of investigation (e.g., Pakistan versus the UK), the purpose of tourism (e.g.,
723 pilgrimage versus leisure), the nature of travel (e.g., family versus solo), and the type of
724 social media (e.g., Facebook versus Instagram).

725

726 Two, the paper collected data using a cross-sectional survey. Future research could design
727 experiments to draw causal inferences. Longitudinal studies could also be conducted to
728 understand how Muslim women's self-esteem and agency in tourism decision-making
729 evolves over time through continuous use of social media. Moreover, given the purely
730 quantitative nature of this study, we could not develop a rich understanding of Muslim
731 women's role in holiday planning. Scholars interested in replicating our conceptual model are
732 encouraged to employ a mixed-methods approach by complementing quantitative surveys
733 with in-depth interviews and/or focus group discussions.

734

735 In addition, scholars are recommended to replicate the current study with various
736 disempowered segments of society other than Muslim women. This will help enhance the
737 generalisability of the proposed conceptual model. Future research could also empirically
738 compare how disempowered segments (e.g., Muslim women) differ from identifiable
739 empowered segments in terms of the strength of relationships among the constructs.

740

741

742

743 **References**

744

745 Achour, M., Nor, M., & Yusoff, M. (2015). Work–family demands and subjective well-being
746 among female academicians: The role of Muslim Religiosity. *Review of Religious Research*,
747 57(3), 419-433.

748

749 Ahmad, F. (2001). Modern traditions? British Muslim women and academic
750 achievement. *Gender and Education*, 13(2), 137-152.

751

752 Akhtar, P. (2014). ‘We were Muslims but we didn't know Islam’: Migration, Pakistani
753 Muslim women and changing religious practices in the UK. In *Women's Studies International*
754 *Forum* (Vol. 47, pp. 232-238). Pergamon.

755

756 Arifeen, S., & Gatrell, C. (2020). Those glass chains that bind you: How British Muslim
757 women professionals experience career, faith and family. *British Journal of*
758 *Management*, 31(1), 221-236.

759

760 Bachrach, P., & Baratz, M. (1962). The two faces of power. *American Political Science*
761 *Review*, 56(4), 947–952.

762

763 Bakkar, N. (2017, November 14). How to reach Muslim women. Retrieved from
764 <https://adage.com/article/viewpoint/muslims-aesthetic/311236>

765

766 Bala, M., & Monga, O. (2004). Impact of women’s employment on decision making in
767 families. *Social Welfare*, 51(5), 13-16.

768

769 Berg, J., & Derlaga, V. (2013). Themes in the study of self-disclosure. In Derlaga, V. J., &
770 Berg, J. H. (Eds.). *Self-Disclosure: Theory, Research, and Therapy*. Springer Science &
771 Business Media.

772

773 Beta, A. (2014). Hijabers: How young urban Muslim women redefine themselves in
774 Indonesia. *International Communication Gazette*, 76(4-5), 377-389.

775

776 Blokland, T. (2008). Gardening with a little help from your (middle class) friends: Bridging
777 social capital across race and class in a mixed neighbourhood. *Networked urbanism: Social*
778 *capital in the city*, 147-170.

779

780 Boneham, M. A., & Sixsmith, J. (2006). The voices of older women in a disadvantaged
781 community: Issues of health and social capital. *Social Science & Medicine*, 62(2), 269-279.

782

783 Breuer, A., & Asiedu, E. (2017). Can gender-targeted employment interventions help
784 enhance community participation? Evidence from urban Togo. *World Development*, 96, 390-
785 407.

786

787 Brown, K. (2006). Realising Muslim women's rights: The role of Islamic identity among
788 British Muslim women. In *Women's studies international forum* (Vol. 29, No. 4, pp. 417-
789 430). Pergamon.

790

- 791 Bühler, C., & Pelka, B. (2014). Empowerment by digital media of people with disabilities.
792 In *International Conference on Computers for Handicapped Persons* (pp. 17-24). Springer,
793 Cham.
- 794
- 795 Burchardt, T., Evans, M., & Holder, H. (2012). Measuring inequality: Autonomy. Retrieved
796 from <http://sticerd.lse.ac.uk/dps/case/cr/CASEREport74.pdf>
797
- 798 Chen, H., & Li, X. (2017). The contribution of mobile social media to social capital and
799 psychological well-being: Examining the role of communicative use, friending and self-
800 disclosure. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 75, 958-965.
- 801
- 802 Corfman, K., & Lehmann, D. (1987). Models of cooperative group decision-making and
803 relative influence: An experimental investigation of family purchase decisions. *Journal of*
804 *Consumer Research*, 14(1), 1-13.
- 805
- 806 Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, D. J. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative and*
807 *mixed methods approaches*. Sage.
- 808
- 809 Crittenden, V., Crittenden, W., & Ajjan, H. (2019). Empowering women micro-entrepreneurs
810 in emerging economies: The role of information communications technology. *Journal of*
811 *Business Research*, 98, 191-203.
- 812
- 813 Crul, M., Schneider, J., Keskiner, E., & Lelie, F. (2017). The multiplier effect: How the
814 accumulation of cultural and social capital explains steep upward social mobility of children
815 of low-educated immigrants. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 40(2), 321-338.
- 816
- 817 Dagkas, S., Benn, T., & Jawad, H. (2011). Multiple voices: Improving participation of
818 Muslim girls in physical education and school sport. *Sport, Education and Society*, 16(2),
819 223-239.
- 820
- 821 Dooley, D., & Prause, J. (1995). Effect of unemployment on school-leavers' self-esteem.
822 *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 68, 177-192.
- 823
- 824 Döveling, K. (2015). "Help me. I am so alone." Online emotional self-disclosure in shared
825 coping-processes of children and adolescents on social networking
826 platforms. *Communications*, 40(4), 403-423.
- 827
- 828 Dwyer, C. (2000). Negotiating diasporic identities: Young British South Asian Muslim
829 women. In *Women's Studies International Forum* (Vol. 23, No. 4, pp. 475-486). Pergamon.
- 830
- 831 Ellison, N., Gray, R., Lampe, C., & Fiore, A. (2014). Social capital and resource requests on
832 Facebook. *New Media & Society*, 16(7), 1104-1121.
- 833
- 834 Erickson, B. (2017). Good networks and good jobs: The value of social capital to employers
835 and employees. In *Social capital* (pp. 127-158). Routledge.
- 836
- 837 Erman, T., Kalaycıoğlu, S., & Rittersberger-Tılıç, H. (2002). Money-earning activities and
838 empowerment experiences of rural migrant women in the city: The case of Turkey.
839 In *Women's Studies International Forum* (Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 395-410). Pergamon.
- 840

841 Ghaderi, Z., Hall, C., Scott, N., & Béal, L. (2020). Islamic beliefs and host-guest
842 relationships in Iran. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 90, 102603.
843

844 Gouldner, A. (1960). The norm of reciprocity: A preliminary statement. *American*
845 *Sociological Review*, 161-178.
846

847 Gruss, R., Kim, E., & Abrahams, A. (2020a). Engaging restaurant customers on Facebook:
848 The power of belongingness appeals on social media. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism*
849 *Research*, 44(2), 201-228.
850

851 Gruss, R., Abrahams, A., Song, Y., Berry, D., & Al- Daihani, S. M. (2020b). Community
852 building as an effective user engagement strategy: A case study in academic libraries. *Journal*
853 *of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 71(2), 208-220.
854

855 Gruss, R., Kim, E., Abrahams, A., Song, Y., & Berry, D. (2018). Capturing customer
856 engagement on social media: the power of social communities' activation words. Global
857 Marketing Conference (pp. 894-894).
858

859 Henderson, J. (2003). Managing tourism and Islam in peninsular Malaysia. *Tourism*
860 *Management*, 24(4), 447-456.
861

862 Horng, S., & Wu, C. (2020). How behaviors on social network sites and online social capital
863 influence social commerce intentions. *Information & Management*, 57(2), 103176.
864

865 Hu, L., & Bentler, P. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis:
866 Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A*
867 *Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), 1-55.
868

869 Jackson, T. (1999). Differences in psychosocial experiences of employed, unemployed, and
870 student samples of young adults. *The Journal of Psychology*, 133(1), 49-60.
871

872 Jovanović, T., Božić, S., Bodroža, B., & Stankov, U. (2019). Influence of users' psychosocial
873 traits on Facebook travel-related behavior patterns. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 25(2),
874 252-263.
875

876 Jóhannesson, G., Skaptadóttir, U., & Benediktsson, K. (2003). Coping with social capital?
877 The cultural economy of tourism in the north. *Sociologia Ruralis*, 43(1), 3-16.
878

879 Kay, T. (2006). Daughters of Islam: Family influences on Muslim young women's
880 participation in sport. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, 41(3-4), 357-373.
881

882 Kaye, L., Kowert, R., & Quinn, S. (2017). The role of social identity and online social capital
883 on psychosocial outcomes in MMO players. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 74, 215-223.
884

885 Khatwani, M. (2017). Professional women's experience of autonomy and independence in
886 Sindh-Pakistan. *Gender Differences in Different Contexts*, 93.
887

888 Koc, E. (2004). The role of family members in the family holiday purchase decision-making
889 process. *International Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Administration*, 5(2), 85-102.
890

891 Kumar, N. (2014). Facebook for self-empowerment? A study of Facebook adoption in urban
892 India. *New media & society*, *16*(7), 1122-1137.

893
894 Kumar, V., & Maral, P. (2015). Involvement in decision making process: Role of non-
895 working and working women. *Journal of Psychosocial Research*, *10*(1), 73.

896
897 Kwak, K., Choi, S., & Lee, B. (2014). SNS flow, SNS self-disclosure and post hoc
898 interpersonal relations change: Focused on Korean Facebook user. *Computers in Human*
899 *Behavior*, *31*, 294-304.

900
901 Kwok, L., Huang, Y., & Hu, L. (2016). Green attributes of restaurants: What really matters to
902 consumers? *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *55*, 107-117.

903
904 Ladhari, R., & Michaud, M. (2015). eWOM effects on hotel booking intentions, attitudes,
905 trust, and website perceptions. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *46*, 36-45.

906
907 La Guardia, J. G., Ryan, R., Couchman, C., & Deci, E. (2000). Within-person variation in
908 security of attachment: A self-determination theory perspective on attachment, need
909 fulfillment, and well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *79*(3), 367-384.

910
911 Lazarus, R., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer.

912
913 Lee, K., Noh, M., & Koo, D. (2013). Lonely people are no longer lonely on social
914 networking sites: The mediating role of self-disclosure and social support. *Cyberpsychology,*
915 *Behavior, and Social Networking*, *16*(6), 413-418.

916
917 Lévi-Strauss, C. (1969). *The elementary structures of kinship*. Beacon Press.

918
919 Lewis, J., DiGiacomo, M., Luckett, T., Davidson, P., & Currow, D. (2013). A social capital
920 framework for palliative care: supporting health and well-being for people with life-limiting
921 illness and their carers through social relations and networks. *Journal of Pain and Symptom*
922 *Management*, *45*(1), 92-103.

923
924 Li, Z. (2016). Psychological empowerment on social media: Who are the empowered
925 users? *Public Relations Review*, *42*(1), 49-59.

926
927 Lin, N. (2001). *Social capital: A theory of social structure and action*. Cambridge University
928 Press.

929
930 Livingstone, S. (2008). Taking risky opportunities in youthful content creation: teenagers' use
931 of social networking sites for intimacy, privacy and self-expression. *New Media and Society*,
932 *10*, 393-411.

933
934 Lorasdađi, B. (2009). The headscarf and emancipation in the Netherlands. *Feminism &*
935 *Psychology*, *19*(3), 328-334.

936
937 Lubell, M., & Scholz, J. (2001). Cooperation, reciprocity, and the collective-action heuristic.
938 *American Journal of Political Science*, *45*, 160-178.

939

940 Mainiero, L. (1986). Coping with powerlessness: The relationship of gender and job
941 dependency to empowerment-strategy usage. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 31(4), 633-
942 653.

943
944 Mason, K. (1996). *Wives' economic decision-making power in the family in five Asian*
945 *countries* (No. 86). East-West Center.

946
947 Mehraliyev, F., Choi, Y., & King, B. (2020). Theoretical foundations of social media power
948 in hospitality and tourism: A hierarchical model. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*,
949 1938965520924650.

950
951 Mohamed, N., Taheri, B., Farmaki, A., Olya, H., & Gannon, M. (2020). Stimulating
952 satisfaction and loyalty: Transformative behaviour and Muslim consumers. *International*
953 *Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 32(9), 2903-2923.

954
955 Morgan, N., & Pritchard, A. (2019). Gender matters in hospitality. *International Journal of*
956 *Hospitality Management*, 76, 38-44.

957
958 Musembwa, S., & Paul, S. (2012). Social networks: Cultural diversity, trust, reciprocity and
959 social capital. *AMCIS Proceedings*.

960
961 Nemer, D. (2016). Online favela: The use of social media by the marginalized in
962 Brazil. *Information Technology for Development*, 22(3), 364-379.

963
964 Nieminen, T., Martelin, T., Koskinen, S., Simpura, J., Alanen, E., Härkänen, T., & Aromaa,
965 A. (2008). Measurement and socio-demographic variation of social capital in a large
966 population-based survey. *Social Indicators Research*, 85(3), 405-423.

967
968 Oktadiana, H., Pearce, P., & Li, J. (2020). Let's travel: Voices from the millennial female
969 Muslim travellers. *International Journal of Tourism Research*.

970
971 Pai, P., & Tsai, H. (2016). Reciprocity norms and information-sharing behavior in online
972 consumption communities: An empirical investigation of antecedents and
973 moderators. *Information & Management*, 53(1), 38-52.

974
975 Park, H., Seo, S., & Kandampully, J. (2016). Why post on social networking sites (SNS)?
976 Examining motives for visiting and sharing pilgrimage experiences on SNS. *Journal of*
977 *Vacation Marketing*, 22(4), 307-319.

978
979 Park, N., Jin, B., & Jin, S. (2011). Effects of self-disclosure on relational intimacy in
980 Facebook. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27(5), 1974-1983.

981
982 Phua, J., Jin, S., & Kim, J. (2017). Uses and gratifications of social networking sites for
983 bridging and bonding social capital: A comparison of Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and
984 Snapchat. *Computers in human behavior*, 72, 115-122.

985
986 Rashid, N. (2014). Giving the silent majority a stronger voice? Initiatives to empower
987 Muslim women as part of the UK's 'War on Terror'. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 37(4), 589-
988 604.

989

- 990 Ratthinan, S., & Selamat, N. (2019). Negotiating travel constraints via technology: A study of
991 Malay Muslim women through a hierarchical constraint model perspective. *Asian Journal of*
992 *Business Research*, 9(2), 55-75.
- 993
- 994 Remoaldo, P., Vareiro, L., Ribeiro, J., & Santos, J. (2014). Does gender affect visiting a
995 world heritage site? *Visitor Studies*, 17(1), 89-106.
- 996
- 997 Ren, Y., Kraut, R., & Kiesler, S. (2007). Applying common identity and bond theory to
998 design of online communities. *Organization studies*, 28(3), 377-408.
- 999
- 1000 Revive.digital. (2020). Most popular social media networks (updated for 2020) – digital
1001 marketing’s most powerful tool. Retrieved from [https://revive.digital/blog/most-popular-](https://revive.digital/blog/most-popular-social-media/)
1002 [social-media/](https://revive.digital/blog/most-popular-social-media/)
- 1003
- 1004 Saris, W. E., & Gallhofer, I. N. (2014). Design, evaluation, and analysis of questionnaires for
1005 survey research. John Wiley & Sons.
- 1006
- 1007 Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). Research methods for business students.
1008 Pearson.
- 1009
- 1010 Sassenberg, K., & Postmes, T. (2002). Cognitive and strategic processes in small groups:
1011 Effects of anonymity of the self and anonymity of the group on social influence. *British*
1012 *Journal of Social Psychology*, 41, 463–480.
- 1013
- 1014 Schuler, S., & Rottach, E. (2010). Women's empowerment across generations in
1015 Bangladesh. *The Journal of Development Studies*, 46(3), 379-396.
- 1016
- 1017 Shockley, B., Lari, N., El-Maghraby, E., & Al-Ansari, M. (2020). Social media usage and
1018 support for women in community leadership: Evidence from Qatar. In *Women's Studies*
1019 *International Forum* (Vol. 81, p. 102374). Pergamon.
- 1020
- 1021 Statista. (2021). Most popular social networks worldwide as of January 2021, ranked by
1022 number of active users. Retrieved from [https://www.statista.com/statistics/272014/global-](https://www.statista.com/statistics/272014/global-social-networks-ranked-by-number-of-users/)
1023 [social-networks-ranked-by-number-of-users/](https://www.statista.com/statistics/272014/global-social-networks-ranked-by-number-of-users/)
- 1024
- 1025 Steenkamp, J., & Baumgartner, H. (1998). Assessing measurement invariance in cross-
1026 national consumer research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 25(1), 78-90.
- 1027
- 1028 Suid, I., Nor, N., & Omar, H. (2017). A review on Islamic tourism and the practical of
1029 islamic attributes of destination in tourism business. *International Journal of Academic*
1030 *Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 7(12), 255-269.
- 1031
- 1032 Surma, J. (2016). Social exchange in online social networks. The reciprocity phenomenon on
1033 Facebook. *Computer Communications*, 73, 342-346.
- 1034
- 1035 Swain, M. (1995). Gender in tourism. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 22(2), 247–266
- 1036
- 1037 Tran, L., & Walter, P. (2014). Ecotourism, gender and development in northern Vietnam.
1038 *Annals of Tourism Research*, 44, 116-130.
- 1039

- 1040 Turner, J. C., Hogg, M., Oakes, P., Reicher, S., & Wetherell, M. (1987). *Rediscovering the*
1041 *social group: A self-categorization theory*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- 1042
- 1043 UNWTO. (2017). Contribution of Islamic culture and its impact on the Asian tourism market.
1044 Madrid, UNWTO.
- 1045
- 1046 Wahl, A., Bergland, A., & Løyland, B. (2010). Is social capital associated with coping, self-
1047 esteem, health and quality of life in long- term social assistance recipients? *Scandinavian*
1048 *Journal of Caring Sciences*, 24(4), 808-816.
- 1049
- 1050 Wang, Y., Kraut, R., & Levine, J. (2015). Eliciting and receiving online support: Using
1051 computer-aided content analysis to examine the dynamics of online social support. *Journal of*
1052 *Medical Internet Research*, 17(4), e99.
- 1053
- 1054 Wang, Y., & Li, M. (2020). Family identity bundles and holiday decision making. *Journal of*
1055 *Travel Research*, 0047287520930091.
- 1056
- 1057 Wasko, M., & Faraj, S. (2005). Why should I share? Examining social capital and knowledge
1058 contribution in electronic networks of practice. *MIS Quarterly*, 29(1), 35-57.
- 1059
- 1060 Williams, D. (2006). On and off the 'Net: Scales for social capital in an online era. *Journal of*
1061 *Computer-Mediated Communication*, 11(2), 593-628.
- 1062
- 1063 Williams, J. (2019). The use of online social networking sites to nurture and cultivate
1064 bonding social capital: A systematic review of the literature from 1997 to 2018. *New Media*
1065 *& Society*, 21(11-12), 2710-2729.
- 1066
- 1067 Yan, L., Peng, J., & Tan, Y. (2015). Network dynamics: how can we find patients like
1068 us? *Information Systems Research*, 26(3), 496-512.
- 1069
- 1070 Yang, D., Yao, Z., Seering, J., & Kraut, R. (2019). The channel matters: Self-disclosure,
1071 reciprocity and social support in online cancer support groups. *Proceedings of the Conference*
1072 *on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1-15).
- 1073
- 1074 Yuki, M., Maddux, W., Brewer, M., & Takemura, K. (2005). Cross-cultural differences in
1075 relationship-and group-based trust. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 31(1), 48-62.
- 1076
- 1077 Zeng, B., & Gerritsen, R. (2014). What do we know about social media in tourism? A
1078 review. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 10, 27-36.
- 1079
- 1080 Zlatolas, L., Welzer, T., Heričko, M., & Hölbl, M. (2015). Privacy antecedents for SNS self-
1081 disclosure: The case of Facebook. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 45, 158-167.