



Emerald

Journal of  
Financial Management of  
Property and Construction

**The financial and economic challenges of housing provision  
for an ageing society**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Financial Management of Property and Construction</i>
Manuscript ID	JFMPC-04-2016-0017.R1
Manuscript Type:	General Review
Keywords:	Ageing society, economy, investment, housing provision

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

Review

## The financial and economic challenges of housing provision for an ageing society

### Introduction

By 2050, the world is projected to have over 2 billion older people, accounting for 14 per cent of the total global population and it is predicted, that by 2022, people aged 65 and older will outnumber children for the first time in history (United Nations, 2013). Population ageing is having an increasing impact on society and the economy and is particularly creating myriad challenges for the construction and property sectors. Of major concern to these sectors are the economic and financial impacts of an ageing population. These include the need to determine optimal pathways and financial models for improving and adapting the built environment, in order to meet the needs of older adults in the community. This requirement embraces all stakeholders, including those associated with financial, investment, supply, demand and ownership aspects.

There are exacting challenges for the construction industry and housing providers, which among other things, will represent new demand but equally, new market opportunities. In addition to the requirement for specialist housing, there is also a potentially growing market for spending on home adaptations, maintenance and improvements. Urban developments, especially, need to be planned for the longer term such that they will provide secure, healthy and stimulating environments. All of these new development and refurbishment aspects need to become more 'age-friendly', while remaining economically viable and financially controllable.

The implications of an ageing society for the economies of developed countries are well-documented in economics literature (see Bloom et al, 2010) and by policy makers. Their consumer spending power and asset holdings mean that older people have more market power than ever before (Meiners, 2014) and the economics of ageing (focusing on the 'silver economy') is a developing concept. In the European Union, for instance, the embracing of the 'Silver Economy' (Eatock, 2015) views the opportunities presented by the ageing society and its impact on policies concerned with the built environment in the context of providing opportunity to embrace new technologies, such as smart homes, and use them to lower costs, while improving lives and bolstering the economy. Age-specific products will benefit from the demographic development and spending will increase in that area.

An ageing population is a major issue in terms of its social impact, its consequences for the general economy and its consequences for the construction and property industries. The paper assesses the economic opportunities in the housing market and considers, on the demand side, how the market is changing and on the supply side, what is being asked of industry in order to meet this demand and what opportunities will arise for the sector. In particular, the focus is on the challenges that will have to be faced by the various stakeholders and on the resolution of these challenges.

### The changing demographic landscape of the UK

There is a shifting age profile of the population of the UK, in which the number of older people, particularly in the oldest age group, is growing at a faster rate than other groups. The Office for National Statistics (ONS, 2015a) predicts that the number of people aged 65-79 will rise by 42% from 6.5 million people in 2012 to 9.3 million by 2035 and the number aged 80 and over will nearly double over the same period, from 2.6 million to 4.9 million. Figure 1

1  
2  
3 shows the European Commission's (2015) projected trend for the proportion of the UK  
4 population in the 65+ years and 80+ years categories up to 2060.  
5  
6

7  
8 (Insert Figure 1 here)  
9

### 10 **Figure 1 The elderly as a percentage of the UK population**

11 Source: European Commission (2015)  
12

13 This raises key challenges in respect of housing. First, with regard to the number of houses,  
14 the UK already has an inadequate supply of dwellings and there has been a fall in housing  
15 starts and completions since the most recent economic recession. Second, is consideration  
16 of the type of housing that is being built and whether it will meet the needs of the changing  
17 demography. The necessary changes in house provision are not going to happen overnight,  
18 and there is a more immediate challenge of how to help current older people live comfortable  
19 lives in appropriate housing as well as meeting the financial costs of care and support in  
20 later life.  
21

22 The Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) provides household  
23 projections for the number of households by age type in England (DCLG, 2015a). The  
24 number of households headed by persons aged 65 and over is projected to increase by 162  
25 000 per year between 2012-37 with those in the aged 85 and over category to increase by  
26 57 000 per year and, as shown in Table 1, the older age groups are by far the fastest  
27 growing sectors of the population.  
28  
29

30  
31 (Insert Table 1 here)  
32

33 Table 1 Household projections by age of head of household (thousands)

34 (Source: DCLG, 2015a)  
35  
36

37  
38 According to the English Housing Survey (DCLG, 2015b), in 2014 almost 90% of owners of  
39 pension age owned their property outright but there are marked differences between tenure  
40 groups by age. Most house buyers take out a mortgage and do not own their home outright  
41 until later in life but, as Figure 2 illustrates, there are now more households owning their  
42 property outright than there are still paying a mortgage. Significantly, 85% of outright owners  
43 were aged 55 or more.  
44

45  
46 (Insert Figure 2 here)  
47

### 48 **Figure 2 Trends in Tenure**

49 Source: English Housing Survey (DCLGb, 2015)  
50  
51

### 52 **Impact on the national and local economies**

53  
54 The strength of the silver economy is shown by the fact that older householders spend £121  
55 billion each year and are major consumers of goods and services, hence their importance to  
56 the economy (ONS, 2015b). Older people have become a major force in the housing market  
57 and the high levels of home ownership amongst older people provide significant market  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 potential. For the building industry this means that there are substantial new market  
4 opportunities both for specialist housing and also for home adaptations, maintenance and  
5 improvements. This also links to a potential market growth and increased demand for  
6 services provided by small and medium building firms, who carry out home repairs,  
7 decoration and maintenance. As well as the economic impact of increased home moves by  
8 older households, for those who 'stay put' product innovation, good design, and targeted  
9 retailing and installation of home adaptations, equipment and stylish age friendly products all  
10 have considerable economic growth potential. These economic benefits also feed through  
11 the housing chain and affect the whole housing market. However, recent housing market  
12 stimulus packages, such as FirstBuy and Help to Buy (Gov.UK, 2016), have primarily  
13 focussed on the first time buyer, often linked to the building of homes for this sector or for the  
14 family market. The majority of government backed initiatives have neglected the potential  
15 economic benefits of stimulating the growing seniors markets. Society needs to plan for and  
16 deliver housing of the right types, sizes and tenures to meet the changing housing and  
17 health needs of the population, particularly older people.

18  
19  
20 The long-term benefits of low level housing support offered by retirement and sheltered  
21 housing is a key factor for many older people. Well-located retirement housing coupled with  
22 the purchasing power and spending patterns of older people means that they have a  
23 significant impact on local economies as such factors as integrated public transport,  
24 accessible local shops and services, which are 'age friendly', should encourage older people  
25 to remain economically active and spend locally. One study has estimated that extra care  
26 housing can reduce the costs to other services by £550 per resident and that an average  
27 scheme of forty apartments brought investment of around £5 million into older people's  
28 housing and other services, providing fifty jobs for the duration of construction and  
29 employment of full and part time staff in a typical extra care scheme (Housing LIN, 2013).

### 30 31 32 33 **Market effects for new build and adaptation**

#### 34 35 36 *Downsizing and Last time buyers (LTBs)*

37 The low-rate of house building in the UK over the last decade has meant a general lack of  
38 housing provision across all sectors. Concern about the problems faced by the younger  
39 generation of first time buyers has dominated housing policy and the fact less than 2% of the  
40 housing built in Britain over the period 2010-15 was specifically for the seniors market has  
41 not received the same amount of attention. Helping elderly people to downsize would also  
42 be one of the best ways to free up the supply of housing for younger generations. There is a  
43 significant market for well-designed, attractive new housing which would appeal to the  
44 'young older' section of the population interested in downsizing or relocating. An estimated  
45 650 000 people reach the age of 65 years annually (ONS, 2015b). In addition to the demand  
46 for retirement housing and supported housing options such as extra care, building a wider  
47 variety of houses and flats which are better designed for older people would increase choice  
48 for those who wish to move, including those who wish to downsize, and thereby boost local  
49 housing markets with a range of resulting economic benefits.

50 LTBs constitute a potentially large market for purpose-built smaller housing (for which low-  
51 cost modular construction could be a feasible option) and many are stuck in oversized,  
52 energy-inefficient houses because suitable local properties do not exist. The Government's  
53 HAPPI (Housing our Ageing Population) reports (Home and Communities Agency, 2009 and  
54 2012) stressed the value to society of creating quality retirement housing with the effect of  
55 releasing thousands of homes for the younger family market and emphasised that a shift in  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 the attitude of builders to design homes for people with a desire to downsize is needed. A  
4 report by the Centre for Economics and Business Research (CEBR, 2014) on the LTB  
5 market estimated that, by 2020, the number of potential LTBs living in three bedroom  
6 properties would be almost three million and the results of a survey in this report found that  
7 almost one third of older homeowners (aged 55 plus) had considered downsizing within the  
8 previous five years but only 7% actually did. The main reasons for not downsizing were, the  
9 stress associated with moving, not wanting to give up the family home and the high level of  
10 transaction costs such as stamp duty on sales of homes. Additionally, in the social rented  
11 sector, many British renters have lived in council and social houses for long periods of time  
12 at subsidised rents with long waiting lists for new admissions and the disincentives to move  
13 home for these people may be quite high. Consequently, residential mobility amongst older  
14 households in the UK is relatively low compared to other developed countries with similar  
15 ageing population issues such as the USA (Banks et al, 2012).  
16  
17  
18

### 19 *Adaptation and building lifetime sustainable homes*

20 As well as too few new homes being built, those that are, are often not accessible or  
21 adaptable for old age. Older people need to be able to move to properties suitable to their  
22 changing needs if they wish to do so. To make most housing age-friendly, additional costs  
23 would be required, while the economic benefits are mainly in the form of savings of a variety  
24 of costs e.g. the difference between the costs of adapting buildings to meet mobility and  
25 accessibility needs and the saving in care costs that would otherwise be required for an  
26 ageing household. In the 1990s, the concept of Lifetime Homes was developed and  
27 promoted by the Joseph Rowntree Foundation and was based on the impact of an ageing  
28 society on design standards. It was not just concerned with technical factors but also cost  
29 considerations. A cost benefit analysis undertaken for the Foundation (Cobbold, 1997)  
30 assessed the major benefits of building to Lifetime Home standards in terms of the cost  
31 savings from delaying the need for older people to move into residential care, the cost of  
32 which is substantially higher than home-based care. The analysis found substantial net  
33 benefits. The extra cost of building to Lifetime Homes standards has been updated several  
34 times over recent years and Roys (2012) pointed out that various studies have put the cost  
35 at significantly different levels ranging up to £1,615, while the DCLG (2012) reported that  
36 cost levels for the lifetime home have been estimated from £760 to £2,300 per dwelling.  
37 Nevertheless, soaring levels of residential care costs over the last decade have increased  
38 still further the potential savings from ensuring that newly constructed homes comply with  
39 lifetime home design standards. Investment in aids and adaptations has considerable  
40 economic benefits with adaptations a key component in reducing hospital admissions,  
41 allowing the delivery of care and support in the home and promoting independence.  
42 Developments in assistive technology (AT) in the home have considerable potential to  
43 improve support at home for older people and reduce care and health costs (although this  
44 will depend on the type of AT and how it is implemented). AT can range from the very basic  
45 (such as grab rails and alarms) to more sophisticated telecare and telemedicine systems at  
46 the Smart Homes end of the spectrum. The case for smart homes relies on economic  
47 scenarios demonstrating benefits through savings in institutional care (see Le et al, 2012).  
48 In order to expand the self-pay market, older people need impartial information about what  
49 AT is available and how it can help them. Given the cost benefits, it makes sense for basic  
50 AT to be made freely available to low income groups, but with easier ways of choosing,  
51 buying and installing it for those who can afford to pay. Enabling UK designers and  
52 manufacturers of innovative AT to promote and export their products would also benefit the  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 economy. Accessibility to smart homes for older people is determined by their financial  
4 ability to afford the cost of the technology and innovation features can be very costly for  
5 older users due to the costs involved in initialising smart home devices. The costs of  
6 purchasing, implementing and maintaining smart devices, such as wireless cameras, light  
7 sensors and automated systems can be high. Also, there is little point in building a smart  
8 home, which is beyond the reach of potential users and so government agencies need to  
9 collaborate in implementing smart homes for older people to ensure that there is not a divide  
10 between those who can and those who cannot afford such technology. Incentives are  
11 needed for private investment in AT in low rent housing. The ability to provide personal care  
12 services through smart homes can be driven either through the supply side (the building and  
13 technology sectors) or through the demand side (the end users of the housing). Ultimately,  
14 cost savings in the area of care and nursing need to be demonstrated, to show that the  
15 hardware and support costs are less than the savings and the benefits of allowing people to  
16 remain independent.

17  
18  
19 The Government should support the development of housing adaptation, both by ensuring  
20 adequate public funding and by encouraging the growth of a secure and easy-to-understand  
21 equity release market that can unlock funds to pay for housing adaptations.  
22  
23

### 24 **Funding housing provision for an ageing population**

25  
26 A fundamental issue for funding housing provision for ageing households is one of whether  
27 individuals are accumulating enough assets to fund longer retirements but within that  
28 overarching issue are a number of other questions relating to the way in which resources are  
29 accumulated prior to retirement and the degree to which they are drawn on after retirement  
30 (potentially from housing wealth). The baby-boomer generation, born in the decade after  
31 World War Two, is a generation, for which house purchase in the 1970s and 80s with a  
32 mortgage was a realisable ambition. Consequently, a high proportion of householders from  
33 this generation (now of pensionable age) now own their house outright. As can be seen in  
34 Figure 3, the number of households in this category has grown considerably and now over  
35 70% of households in England in this age group are in this position.  
36  
37

38  
39 (Insert Figure 3 here)  
40  
41

### 42 **Figure 3 Tenure (000 households) of age group over 64 years of age**

43 (Source: English Housing Survey, 2015)  
44

45 As far back as the early 1990s, economists in the United States were undertaking research  
46 into the effects of real capital gains by home owners from house value appreciation as  
47 values had steadily risen over the previous decade. A study of consumer behaviour in the  
48 context of house price appreciation in the 1980s (Engelhardt, 1996) found that a house was  
49 commonly the major capital asset for most households and rising property values were used  
50 as savings accounts to fund future spending during retirement. A comparable period of  
51 house price inflation in the UK has occurred over the last couple of decades. Figure 4  
52 illustrates the growth in UK house prices since 1993 indicating the rising price of houses and  
53 their value as appreciating assets.  
54  
55

56  
57 (Insert Figure 4 here)  
58  
59  
60

**Figure 4** House Price Index 1993-2015

Source: ONS (2015c)

Many older people have seen the value of their homes increase considerably, making large windfall gains from their home ownership. The Dilnot Commission report (2011) considered that it is reasonable to expect those who have benefited in this way to support their own longer lives. With an estimated £250 billion of equity in older people's housing (The Smith Institute, 2012), this is increasingly seen by policy makers as a potential solution to a range of social issues, from meeting the cost of later life care, topping up pensions and supporting younger generations.

However, the issue of home equity use as a potential source of asset realisation is not a straightforward one. People need to be able to use their assets to help pay for the cost of their social care, to release money to adapt their homes and to support their incomes. Fungibility of housing wealth is important, with many older people having low savings and relying on house price appreciation. A house is by far the single largest asset that most home owners possess but households must be able to spend their realisable capital gains. Home equity, though, is very unevenly spread geographically. There is great diversity of economic circumstances amongst older people, including homeowners across the UK with a high proportion of housing equity concentrated in London and the South East of England, where house values are considerably higher compared to similar properties in other regions of the UK.

The release of housing equity can be accomplished through the use of financial vehicles to permit equity release such as reverse mortgages or through the sale of their homes. Some schemes exist, but are little used. There may be high transaction costs involved in such actions, both monetary but also psychological. A bequest motive or altruism toward future generations may be strong, as older householders experiencing real housing capital gains might wish to pass the gains down to the younger generation, who are facing difficulty in being able to afford to make the first steps onto the property ownership ladder. Cocco and Lopes (2015) studied the role of housing wealth for the financing of retirement consumption, especially concerned with the design of financial products that allow households to tap into their home equity. They found that there appears a reluctance to use such equity to purchase a low value property or to discontinue home ownership due to a precautionary saving motive (due to uncertainty of life span and medical reasons) (De Nardi and Jones, 2010) or as a hedge against future house price fluctuations (Sinai and Souleles, 2005).

*Equity release schemes*

The vast majority of poor quality housing in England is owned by people aged 50 years or above. For example, 12% of housing stock that fails to meet thermal standards and 10% that fails to meet repair standards is owned by people aged 65-79. So, while more older people own their homes than rent, this also means that more older people live in poor condition housing (Adams, 2009). In spite of this, the majority of older people, understandably, wish to remain in their own homes. Currently 90% of older people live independently but as they age in place this raises questions about the ability of older people to maintain their homes, and pay for any necessary adaptations.

Equity release schemes fall into two categories: One is a home reversion scheme, whereby a house owner can sell all or part of a property at less than its market value in return for a tax-free lump sum, a regular income, or both, but stay on in their home as a rent-free tenant with a lifetime lease. The other is a lifetime mortgage. This is a loan secured against a

1  
2  
3 person's home. The loan can either be taken as a lump sum or in amounts drawn down over  
4 a set period or for life. Interest is charged on the loan, which may be paid or allowed to  
5 accumulate. When a person dies or moves out, the home is sold and the money is used to  
6 pay off the loan.

7  
8 If older home owners are to have confidence in equity release schemes, an efficient and  
9 trusted equity release market is needed to provide the capital needed to stimulate the market  
10 in housing for older people, so that people with housing equity can be enabled to release it  
11 simply, without excessive charges or risk. The Government should work with the financial  
12 services industry to ensure such mechanisms are available, and to improve confidence in  
13 them. One proposal that has been put forward is for the setting up of a *UK Equity Bank*  
14 (Mayhew and Smith, 2014) to help low income pensioners utilise housing wealth for regular  
15 income. The premise being that an individual sells a portion of their home to the state in  
16 return for a guaranteed lifetime income. Upon death, the property would be sold, the debt to  
17 the state paid and any remaining value passed to the person's estate.

### 20 **The social housing sector**

21  
22  
23 Equity release products are, by their nature, mainly located in the private sector. In terms of  
24 the social sector, there has been little opportunity for housing associations to get involved in  
25 specifically housing older people. This is in contrast with other European countries (the  
26 Netherlands for example), which have direct, person-based long-term care and social health  
27 insurance. Not-for-profit housing agencies can enter this market because the individual has  
28 an assured flow of cash once they are independently assessed to be in need of a certain  
29 level of care.

30  
31 Social housing is though, especially affected by the impact of the ageing population, with  
32 tenants having a high prevalence of health conditions and a low level of financial security.  
33 Wheatley (2015) reported on a survey of the housing association sector that extolled the  
34 need for the development of financing models incorporating social impact funding meaning  
35 that capital funding reforms are needed because there is a mismatch between the scale of  
36 investment needed and current funding, which is much greater than that for housing  
37 generally. The HAPPI plan (Home and Communities Agency, 2012) set out practical  
38 proposals in order to encourage and enable expansion of the sector. This includes higher  
39 levels of grant funding from government to reduce the cost of borrowing for social housing to  
40 encourage retirement housing developments. The case is put that retirement housing  
41 stimulates local housing markets and confers wider economic benefits to local communities.  
42 Subsidised social rental accommodation (a system of subsidised housing where those who  
43 are allocated a property will pay a below-market rent) is important for older households but,  
44 once allocated a property, tenants can usually stay for life irrespective of any changes in  
45 circumstance. This means that social renters have a severely reduced incentive and ability  
46 to move or to downsize their property as existing tenants are treated in the same way as  
47 new applicants if they move.

### 52 **Local government planning**

53  
54  
55 Despite growing demand for specialist housing and the considerable wealth held by some  
56 older people, there is a gap in the market. There were just 106,000 units of specialist  
57 housing for home ownership and 400,000 units for rent in the UK as a whole in 2010. Build  
58 rates are lower now than in the 1980s. In 2010, just 6,000 units for rent and 1,000 for  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 ownership were built, whereas in 1989, 17,500 units for rent were built as well as 13,000 for  
4 ownership (Hughes, 2012). The housing shortage is a general one, though, and substantial  
5 change is not going to happen without reform of the planning system.

6 This is an issue not just for older residents but for the whole population. The Government  
7 have made efforts to improve access to housing for younger people but, if the country had  
8 an adequate supply of suitably located, well-designed, supported housing for older people,  
9 this could result in an increased release onto the market of currently under-occupied family  
10 housing, expanding the supply available for younger generations. This could be the key to  
11 unlocking the wider housing market.

12 Central and local government, housing associations and house builders need urgently to  
13 plan how to ensure that the housing needs of the older population are better addressed and  
14 to give as much priority to promoting an adequate market and social housing for older  
15 people as is given to housing for younger people.

16 Local government should signal their intention to ensure better housing provision for older  
17 people by insisting that local planning agents both encourage the private market in housing  
18 provision for older people, and by making specific mention of older people's needs when  
19 drawing up their planning strategies. New housing for older people should be exempt from  
20 the planning restrictions that apply to mainstream housing. Central and local government  
21 should jointly review how the National Planning Policy Framework's suggestions might be  
22 clarified and tightened to do more to ensure sufficient housing provision for older people.  
23  
24  
25  
26

## 27 **Conclusion and challenges**

28  
29 Unless there are measures initiated to influence the market, the required increase in the  
30 stock of age-appropriate housing in the face of rapid demographic change will not happen.  
31 The issues involved in bringing this about have been considered and reviewed in previous  
32 sections of this paper but the findings of this review lead to a number of challenges for  
33 initiating activity on the part of all the various stakeholders concerned with housing provision.

34 1. From the Government's standpoint, it cannot assume that the housing market will self-  
35 regulate to deal with the issue of ageing persons' housing needs and it must provide  
36 incentivisation for expenditure on housing improvements and adaptations as well as newly-  
37 built properties. As the population is ageing, the housing market is having trouble keeping  
38 up. At present three quarters of the UK's housing stock is not considered to be age-friendly.  
39 At its current rate of replacement of about three per cent per annum, the UK's housing stock  
40 needs a major update because it is not fit to support independent living over a life course.  
41 With appropriate stimulative targeted actions and investment, such expenditure could be a  
42 vital way to promote economic growth and job creation. A strategic approach to housing for  
43 the ageing society is needed to take account of the wider economic benefits.

44 Given the speed and scale of the demographic change, all new homes and renovation  
45 projects should be built to lifetime homes standards – it is a disappointment that the  
46 Government's Housing Standards Review in 2014 did not enshrine a standard similar to  
47 Lifetime Homes. These standards should include the opportunity for full use of technological  
48 innovation and, in the near future, standards/guidelines will have to be developed with  
49 scalable market of new products. Accessible environments and good transport make it  
50 easier for older people to stay active and healthy and able to spend in shops and services. It  
51 is important that future reviews of building standards consider the longer term social benefits  
52 of accessible design as well as the immediate upfront costs to developers.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 In terms of its fiscal policy, in order to influence the market to encourage down-sizing,  
4 granting exemption from stamp duty for retirement homes would reduce the upfront cost  
5 required for a move and could also stimulate the market along the housing chain.

6  
7 2. For the construction sector, given the pace at which the ageing population is beginning to  
8 have a societal and economic impact in the UK, it is surprising that the industry is still not  
9 viewing seniors housing as an area providing good market opportunities. While there are a  
10 small number of construction companies specialising in retirement housing developments,  
11 there has been a general reluctance amongst private commercial developers to become  
12 involved with such developments. From the developer's viewpoint, retirement properties can  
13 take longer to sell and do not generate volume sales.

14  
15 The construction sector must aim to develop sustainable new business models and it needs  
16 to work with both the ICT and health sectors in this area of activity. A specific challenge for  
17 the construction sector is the development of new partnerships involving all relevant  
18 stakeholders (including financial institutions), to explore and agree upon new modes of  
19 providing large-scale renovation projects. By utilising the equity held by older householders  
20 to provide purpose-built accommodation there would be potential benefits from innovative  
21 alternative models of housing for older people such as cooperative housing provision, where  
22 older people join together to build or manage the building of their own housing development.

23  
24 3. In both the social housing and private rental sectors, building more properties at lower  
25 rents is the challenge. For the current older age groups, home ownership is the most  
26 prevalent form of tenure but this may not necessarily be the case for following generations  
27 as they move into the older age category. Unless the housing market is able to respond to  
28 their home ownership needs they may have to continue to be home renters for the rest of  
29 their lives. It will become necessary to ensure improved tenure arrangements in the private  
30 rented sector if this form of tenure is to adapt to the ageing society.

31  
32 4. Householders themselves. Older people need to be convinced that they should be looking  
33 at retirement housing as a lifestyle choice rather than being forced into such housing  
34 because of their future care needs. Also, when they are considering such a move, they may  
35 not fully appreciate their needs five to ten years into the future, when assessing the value of  
36 a home with smart home technology. Instead they may short-sightedly base their decisions  
37 on current needs. They need to be encouraged to invest in age-friendly technology based on  
38 sound evidence of the benefits.

39  
40 5. All local authorities, with their ability to control public land, should have a housing strategy  
41 for older people with targets for retirement housing in the local plan. In the same way as  
42 Section 106 agreements (regarding planning obligations with developers) are used by  
43 planning authorities to ensure the provision of affordable housing in England and Wales to  
44 incentivise a greater supply, the subjection of retirement housing to such agreements should  
45 be permitted. Local authorities have an obligation to coordinate the delivery of support  
46 services that include housing and with over £400 million a year currently spent in England  
47 alone on adapting the homes of people who become disabled (the majority of it from public  
48 funds), there is a strong financial incentive to develop complementary economies from these  
49 activities.

50  
51 6. Financial institutions. At present, the added value of an age-friendly aspect to renovation  
52 or new housing is not always obvious to private (or public) home owners. This makes it  
53 difficult for potential investors to secure the necessary funds and ensure that their  
54 investment is adequately reflected in an increased market value of the property.

55  
56 The implementation of consistent design standards for age-friendly homes (to Lifetime  
57 Homes standards) could be taken up by financial institutions to develop loan and financing  
58  
59  
60


schemes for older home owners to be able to invest in age-friendly homes. Investment is needed to finance smart homes and this could be based on the setting up of innovation funds, encouraging social investment from pension funds or the mobilisation of alternative sources of finance, such as Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) specifically for seniors residential property.

All the relevant, aforementioned stakeholders in the age-friendly housing sector need to work together to ensure that age-friendly home environments can be provided in a cost-effective way and only through a multi-stakeholder exploration of innovative business models and partnerships can the high quality built environments that fit people's needs across their life course be developed.

## References

- Adams S. (2009), "No Place like home? Housing Inequality in later life", In Cann, P. and Dean, M. (Eds) *Unequal Ageing: the untold story of exclusion in old age*, Policy Press, Bristol.
- Banks, J., Blundell, R. Oldfield, Z. and Smith, J.P. (2012), "Housing Mobility and Downsizing at Older Ages in Britain and the USA", *Economica*, Vol. 79 Issue 3, pp.1-26.
- Bloom, D.E., Canning, D. and Fink, G. (2010), "Implications of population ageing for economic growth", *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, Vol. 26 (4), pp.183-212.
- Centre for Economics and Business Research (CEBR) (2014), *The Last Time Buyers Market: Downsizing preferences amongst older homeowners in England, Scotland and Wales*, CEBR, London.
- Cobbold, C. (1997), *Cost Benefit Analysis of Lifetime Homes*, Joseph Rowntree Foundation, York.
- Cocco, J.F. and Lopes, P. (2015), *Reverse Mortgage Design*, Working Paper, London Business School.
- DCLG (2012), *Assessing the cost of Lifetime Homes Standard*, Building Cost Information Service, Department for Communities and Local Government, London.
- DCLG (2014), *Housing standards: technical consultation*, available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/housing-standards-review-technical-consultation>, (accessed 20 March 2016).
- DCLG (2015a), *2012-based Household Projections – England: Housing Types (Stage 2) and National Variants*, Department for Communities and Local Government, London.
- DCLG (2015b). *English Housing Survey 2013 to 2014: Household Report*, Department for Communities and Local Government, London.
- DCLG (2015c), *The Housing Standards Review*, available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/housing-standards-review-technical-consultation>, (accessed 8 March 2016).
- De Nardi, E.F. and Jones, J.B. (2010), "Why Do the Elderly Save?", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol.118 (1), pp.39-75.
- Dilnot Commission (2011), *Funding of Care and Support*, Department of Health, London.
- Eatock, D. (2015), *The Silver Economy: Opportunities from Ageing*, European Parliamentary Research Service, Brussels.
- Engelhardt, G.V. (1996), "House prices and home owner saving behaviour", *Regional Science and Urban Economics* Vol.26, pp.305-324.

- 1  
2  
3 European Commission (2015), *The 2015 Ageing Report: Economic and budgetary*  
4 *projections for the 28 EU Member States (2013-2060)*, European Economy3|2015,  
5 Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.  
6  
7 Home and Communities Agency (2009) HAPPI - *Housing our Ageing Population: Panel for*  
8 *Innovation, Final Report*, Home and Communities Agency, London.  
9  
10 Home and Communities Agency (2012) HAPPI - *Housing our Ageing Population: Plan for*  
11 *Implementation*, Home and Communities Agency, London.  
12  
13 Housing LIN (2012), *Housing in later life: Planning ahead for specialist housing for older*  
14 *people*, Housing Learning and Improvement Network, London.  
15  
16 Gov.UK (2016), *Affordable home ownership schemes*, available at:  
17 <https://www.gov.uk/affordable-home-ownership-schemes/overview>, (accessed 20  
18 March 2016).  
19  
20 Hughes, M. (2012), *A better fit? Creating housing choices for an ageing population*,  
21 McCarthy and Stone / Shelter, London.  
22  
23 Le, Q., Nguyen, H.B. and Barnett, T. (2012), Smart Homes for Older People: Positive Aging  
24 in a Digital World, *Future Internet* 4, pp. 607-617.  
25  
26 Mayhew, L. and Smith, D. (2014), *The UK Equity Bank: Towards income security in old age*,  
27 ILC-UK, London.  
28  
29 Meiners, N. (2014), "Economics of Ageing: Research Area and Perspectives", *Indian Journal*  
30 *of Gerontology*, Vol.28 No. 1, pp.139-168.  
31  
32 O'Mahony, L.F. (2013), *Paying for care: what matters is how you get there*, available at:  
33 <http://wealthgap.wp.st-andrews.ac.uk/paying-for-care/>, (accessed 20 March 2016).  
34  
35 ONS (2015a), *National Population Projections 2014*, available at:  
36 [http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/npp/national-population-projections/2014-based-](http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/npp/national-population-projections/2014-based-projections/rft)  
37 [projections/rft](http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/npp/national-population-projections/2014-based-projections/rft), (accessed 6 March 2016).  
38  
39 ONS (2015b), *Family Spending Report*, available at: [www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/family-](http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/family-spending/family-spending/)  
40 [spending/family-spending/](http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/family-spending/family-spending/), (accessed 6 March 2016).  
41  
42 ONS (2015c), House Price Index, available at:  
43 [http://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/inflationandpriceindices/bulletins/housepriceindex/20](http://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/inflationandpriceindices/bulletins/housepriceindex/2015-09-15)  
44 [15-09-15](http://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/inflationandpriceindices/bulletins/housepriceindex/2015-09-15), (accessed 6 March 2016).  
45  
46 Roys, M. (2012), *Assessing the health benefits of Lifetime Homes*, DCLG, London.  
47  
48 Sheiner, L. and Weil, D.N. (1991), *The housing wealth of the aged*, Mimeo, Brown  
49 University, USA.  
50  
51 Sinai, T. and Souleles, N. (2005), Owner-occupied Housing as a Hedge against Rent Risk,  
52 *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 120 (2), pp. 763-789.  
53  
54 The Smith Institute (2012), *Making the Most of Equity Release: Perspectives from Key*  
55 *Players*, The Smith Institute, London.  
56  
57 United Nations (2013), *World Population Ageing 2013*, Department of Economic and Social  
58 Affairs, United Nations, New York.  
59  
60 Wheatley, M. (2015), *Are Housing Associations ready for an ageing population?*, The Smith  
Institute, London.



**Figure 1 The elderly as a percentage of the UK population**

Source: European Commission (2015)

Review

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


**Table 1 Household projections by age of head of household (thousands)**

<b>Age group (years)</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2037</b>	<b>Total change 2012-37 (%)</b>
Under 25	801	867	8
25-34	3 111	2 838	-9
35-44	4 135	4 348	5
45-54	4 413	4 979	13
55-64	3 658	4 285	17
65-74	3 116	4 309	38
75-84	2 184	3 611	65
85+	888	2 313	161
<b>Total</b>	<b>22 305</b>	<b>27 548</b>	<b>24</b>

(Source: DCLG, 2015a)

For Peer Review


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Figure 2** Trends in Tenure  
Source: English Housing Survey (DCLGb, 2015)

Peer Review

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Figure 3 Tenure (000 households) of age group over 64 years of age**  
(Source: English Housing Survey, 2015)

Peer Review






Figure 4 House Price Index 1993-2015

Source: ONS (2015c)

Peer Review

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60